

# PI3K / AKT: Choices, Sequencing, and New Agents

### Neil M. Iyengar, MD

Associate Attending Program Lead, MSK Healthy Living Breast Medicine Service Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Associate Professor of Medicine Weill Cornell Medicine Associate Physician Rockefeller University

➢ iyengarn@mskcc.org
 ➢ @neil-iyengarmd.bsky.social
 ➢ @Neil\_Iyengar

## **PI3K Pathway in Breast Cancer**



#### The ER and PI3K/AKT pathways in BC1-4

#### FDA approved agents for MBC targeting PI3K pathway

Alpelisib : PIK3CA mutant ER+/HER2- MBC after PD on prior CDK4/6i

Capivasertib: ER+/HER2- MBC post CDK 4/6i w/ PIK3CA, PTEN or AKT1 mutatoins

Inavolisib: PIK3CAm ER+MBC without prior therapy for MBC and quick relapse

Slide credit: Res to Practice satellite symposium at SABCS 2024

### SOLAR-1 Phase 3 Trial of Alpelisib + Fulvestrant in HR+/HER2– MBC

<ul> <li>Key Eligibility Criteria</li> <li>Eligible to receive ET after relapse or progression</li> <li>Received AI treatment in neo/adjuvant or metastatic setting</li> <li>No previous chemotherapy for advanced disease</li> <li>No previous fulvestrant or PI3K, AKT, or mTOR inhibitors</li> </ul>			W PIK30	ith CAmut	Without <i>PIK3CA</i> mut		
		Patient Characteristics, n (%)		A+F (n=169)	P+F (n=172)	A+F (n=115)	P+F (n=116)
<ul> <li>No type 1 or und</li> <li>Fasting glucose</li> </ul>	≤140 mg/dL or HbA1c <6.5%ª	Median age (range	Median age (range), years		64 (38-92)	62 (39-82)	63 (32-88)
R 1:1 N=572	Alpelisib + Fulvestrant (n=284)	Motastatic sitos	Bone only	25%	20%	23%	20%
	Alpelisib 300 mg qd Fulvestrant 500 mg q4w <sup>b</sup>	Metastatic Sites	Visceral	55%	58%	57%	64%
		Endocrine status	Primary	14%	13%	27%	22%
	Placebo + Fulvestrant (n=288)		Secondary	71%	74%	57%	56%
	Placebo qd Fulvestrant 500 mg q4w <sup>b</sup>		Sensitivity	12%	11%	14%	17%
Drimony on design	• PES by investigator in patient cohort with	Line of treatment	First line	52%	52%	62%	53%
PIK3CA-mutated cancer Secondary endpoints: OS in patient cohort with PIK3CA-mutated cancer, PFS in patient cohort without PIK3CA-mutated cancer, ORR, CBR, safety Stratification factors: Lung or liver metastases, prior CDK4/6i		disease	Second line	47%	48%	37%	46%
		Drier treatment	Any CDK4/6i	5.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.9%
		FIOR LEAUNERL	Chemotherapy	60%	62%	68%	62%

<sup>a</sup> HbA1c levels was an amendment to the original protocol implemented after the start of the study to lower rates of treatment discontinuation.<sup>2</sup> <sup>b</sup> Administered as intramuscular injection on days 1 and 15 of cycle 1 and on day 1 of subsequent cycles.

1. Andre F, et al. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(20):1929-1940. 2. Rugo HS, et al. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(8):1001-1010.

### SOLAR-1 Phase 3 Trial of Alpelisib + Fulvestrant in HR+/HER2– MBC



PFS in Patient Cohort With PIK3CA-Mutated Cancer

No. at Risk																	
Alpelisib+fulvestrant	169	145	123	97	85	75	62	50	39	30	17	14	5	3	1	1	0
Placebo+fulvestrant	172	120	89	80	67	58	48	37	29	20	14	9	3	2	0	0	0

With PIK3CA-Mutated Cancer	A+F (n=169)	P+F (n=172)		
12 mo PFS rate	46.3%	32.9%		
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	11.0 (7.5-14.5)	5.7 (3.7-7.4)		
Adjusted HR (95% CI)	0.65 (0.50-0.85)			
<i>P</i> value	<0.001			

#### PFS in Patient Cohort Without PIK3CA-Mutated Cancer



### Most Common AEs (≥20%)<sup>1</sup>

	A+F (n	=284)	P+F (n=287)		
AE, %	All grades	Grade 3-4	All grades	Grade 3-4	
Any AE	99%	78%	93%	37%	
Hyperglycemia	65%	37%	9.4%	1.0%	
Diarrhea	60%	7.0%	16%	0.7%	
Nausea	47%	2.8%	23%	0.3%	
Decreased appetite	36%	0.7%	11%	0.3%	
Rash	36%	9.9%	7.0%	0.3%	
Vomiting	29%	0.7%	10%	0.3%	
Weight decreased	28%	5.3%	2.4%	0	
Fatigue	25%	3.5%	18%	1.0%	
Stomatitis	25%	2.5%	7.0%	0	
Asthenia	23%	2.5%	14%	0	
Alopecia	20%	0	2.4%	0	

### Safety Summary

- AEs of any grade leading to discontinuation of 1 or both treatments in the safety population (both patients with and without *PIK3CA*-mutant cancers) occurred in **75 patients (26.4%)** in the alpelisib + fulvestrant arm and **16 patients (5.6%)** in the placebo + fulvestrant arm<sup>1</sup>
- Safety profile was similar to previous trials of alpelisib + fulvestrant and no new safety signals were observed with longer follow up<sup>1,2</sup>

### Alpelisib Hyperglycemia Rates in Standard of Care vs Clinical Trials

- Methods/Study Design
  - A single center completed a retrospective cohort study of adult patients with MBC who received alpelisib either as part of standard of care or part of a clinical trial
- Key Findings
  - Hyperglycemia occurred at a significantly higher rate in patients receiving alpelisib as part of standard of care than in patients enrolled in clinical trials (80.3% vs 34.0%, P<0.001)</li>
  - HbA1c in the prediabetes/diabetes range was significantly associated with hyperglycemia occurrence
  - Hyperglycemia occurrence did not impact PFS

	Standard of Care (n=147)	Clinical Trial (n=100)				
Hyperglycemia, %	80.3	34.0				
P value	<0.001					
Overweight/Obese BMI, %	55.7	48.0				
P value	0.09					
HbA1c≥5.7%, %	30.6	15.0				
P value	alue 0.041					

Rates of Hyperglycemia in Patients Treated With Alpelisib as Part of Standard of Care or While on a Clinical Trial



<ul> <li>Key Eligibility Criteria</li> <li>Men or pre/postmenopausal women with HR+/HER2 – MBC</li> </ul>		Patient Characterist	ics	Cohort A (n=127)	Cohort B (n=126)
• PIK3CAmut in tum	or tissue or blood	Median age (range),	years	58 (33-83)	61 (37-80)
<ul> <li>Last line of prior the second s</li></ul>	nerapy: CDK4/6i + ET, systemic CT, or ET	Metastatic sites	Bone Bone only	86% 18%	75% 8.7%
D 1:1:1	Patients who received CDK4/6i + AI as immediate prior treatment Alpelisib + fulvestrant		Visceral Lung Liver	68% 34% 47%	78% 37% 60% 7.1%
	<u>Cohort B</u> Patients who received CDK4/6i + fulvestrant as immediate prior treatment Alpelisib + letrozole	Number of lines of prior therapy in	0	1.6%	0.8%
			1	80%	52%
N=336	Cohort C	advanced setting,	2	18%	45%
	Patients who progressed on/after AI and received	n (%)	≥3	0.8%	1.6%
	chemotherapy of ET as immediate prior treatment Alpelisib + fulvestrant		Targeted	91%	92%
<b>Primary endpoint:</b> Proportion of patients alive without PD at		Theremytype	Hormonal	78%	85%
		at last treatment	Biologics	3.1%	0
meaningful if the lo	ower bound of the CI was >30% hts: PFS, PFS2, ORR, CBR, DOR, OS, Safety		Chemotherapy	0	0.8%

100 80-60-40-20-0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 Time, mo

**Cohort A PFS** 

Alpelisib + Fulvestrant in Patients Who Received CDK4/6i + Al

Number at risk

Cohort A 119 93 77 59 52 38 31 27 27 21 15 15 14 11 10 8 5 4 3 3 2 1 1 0

Cohort A PFS	A+F (n=119)			
Events, n (%)	98 (82.4)			
Median follow-up, mo	5.95			
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	8.0 (5.6-8.6)			
Cohort A OS				
Events, n (%)	71 (59.7)			
Median follow-up, mo	21.78			
Median OS, mo (95% CI)	27.3 (21.3-32.7)			

**Cohort B PFS** Alpelisib + Letrozole in Patients Who Received CDK4/6i + Fulvestrant



Cohort B PFS	A+L (n=114)			
Events, n (%)	97 (85.1)			
Median follow-up, mo	5.19			
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	5.6 (3.7-7.1)			
Cohort B OS				
Events, n (%)	66 (57.9)			
Median follow-up, mo	25.33			
Median OS, mo (95% Cl)	29.0 (24.5-34.8)			



<sup>a</sup> 4 days on, 3 days off. <sup>b</sup> Cycle 1, days 1 & 15; then q4w. <sup>c</sup> AKT pathway-altered tumors: ≥1 qualifying *PIK3CA*, *AKT*1, or *PTEN* alteration. <sup>d</sup> Baseline stratification factor. <sup>e</sup> One patient in the C+F group was ER negative.

Turner NC, et al. SABCS 2022. Abstract GS3-04.

### CAPItello-291 Phase 3 Trial of Capivasertib + Fulvestrant in AI-Resistant HR+/HER2– MBC: Primary Endpoint



#### PFS by Investigator in Overall Population

Overall Population	C+F (n=355)	P+F (n=353)		
PFS events	258	293		
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	7.2 (5.5-7.4)	3.6 (2.8-3.7)		
Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	l) 0.60 (0.51-0.71)			
Two-sided <i>P</i> value	<0.001			

#### PFS by Investigator in the AKT Pathway-Altered Population



<b>Overall Population</b>	C+F (n=155)	P+F (n=134)		
PFS events	121	115		
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	7.3 (5.5-9.0)	3.1 (2.0-3.7)		
Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	0.50 (0.	38-0.65)		
Two-sided P value	<0.001			

• PFS benefit was observed in all key subgroups, including regardless of prior use of CDK4/6i and liver metastases

HR was estimated using the Cox proportional hazard model stratified by the presence of liver metastases, prior use of CDK 4/6j and geographic

region.

Turner NC, et al. SABCS 2022. Abstract GS3-04.

### AEs (>10% of Patients)

	Capivase	ertib + fulvestrant (N=355)	Placebo + fulvestrant	(N=350)		C+F	P+F
Total (%)/Grade 3 (%)		Grade 2 Grade 3 <sup>†</sup>	Grade 3 <sup>†</sup> Grade 2	Grade 1	Salety Summary, n (%)	(n=355)	(n=350)
Diarrhea	72.4/9.3		20.0/0.3		Any AE	343 (96.6)	288 (82.3)
Nausea		34.6/0.8	15.4/0.6		Serious AE	57 (16.1)	28 (8.0)
Rash		22.0/5.4	4.3/0.3		AE leading to death <sup>®</sup>	4 (1.1)	1(0.3)
Fatigue		20.8/0.6	12.9/0.6		AE leading to discontinuation	46 (13.0)	8(2.3)
Headache		16.9/0.3	12.3/0.6		Discontinuation of C/P only	33 (9.3)	2 (0.6)
Decreased appetite		16.6/0.3	6.3/0.6		Discontinuation of both C/P and F	13 (3.7)	6 (1.7)
Hyperglycemia		16.3/2.3	3.7/0.3		AE leading to dose interruption of		
Rash maculo-papular		16.1/6.2	2.6/0		C/P only	124 (34.9)	36 (10.3)
Stomatitis		14.6/2.0	4.9/0		AE leading to dose reduction of		<u> </u>
Asthenia		13.2/1.1	10.3/0.6		C/P only	70 (19.7)	6 (1.7)
Pruritus		12.4/0.6	6.6/0				
Anemia		10.4/2.0	4.9/1.1				
Urinary tract infection		10.1/1.4	6.6/0				
100	0 80	60 40 20 Percentag	0 0 20 40 60	80 10	0		

<sup>a</sup> Grade 5 events included acute myocardial infarction, cerebral hemorrhage, pneumonia aspiration, and sepsis (all n=1) in the C+F group and COVID-19 (n=1) in the P+F group. No grade 5 events were classified as related to C/P by local investigator. The safety analysis population included all patients who received at least 1 dose of the study drug. Turner NC, et al. SABCS 2022. Abstract GS3-04.

### Key Eligibility Criteria

- PIK3CAmut, HR+, HER2- ABC by central ctDNA or local tissue/ctDNA test<sup>a</sup>
- Measurable disease
- Progression during/within 12 months of adjuvant ET completion; no prior therapy for MBC
- Fasting glucose <126 mg/dL and HbA1c <6.0%</p>



**Primary endpoint:** PFS by investigator **Secondary endpoints:** OS (if PFS is positive), ORR, BOR, CBR, DOR, PROs

Patient Cha	aracterist	ics, %	Inavo + Palbo + Fulv (n=161)	Pbo + Palbo + Fulv (n=164)
Median ag	ge (range	), years	53.0 (27-77)	54.5 (29-79)
	Asian		38%	38%
Race	Black/A	frican American	0.6%	0.6%
	White		58%	<b>59</b> %
	0		62%	65%
ECUG PS	1		37%	35%
Postmenopausal at randomization			57%	63%
Visceral d	isease		82%	78%
CD and Da		ER+/PgR+	70%	69%
ER and Pg	R status	ER+/PgR-	28%	27%
Endocrine	!	Primary	33%	35%
resistance	<del>)</del>	Secondary	67%	64%
Prior (neo	)adjuvant	Chemo	82%	84%
Duinu		Al only	37%	43%
Prior (race) adjum	out CT	Tamoxifen only	51%	45%
(neo)adju	Vanitei	Al and tamoxifen	11%	12%
Prior adju	vant CDK	4/6i	1.9%	0.6%

<sup>a</sup> 301 patients (92.6%) were enrolled by ctDNA testing (284 central, 17 local); 24 (7.4%) were enrolled by local tissue testing. Jhaveri K, et al. SABCS 2023. Abstract GS03-13.

### Phase 3 INAVO120 Trial of Inavolisib in PIK3CAmut HR+/HER2– MBC





PFS	Inavo + Palbo + Fulv (n=161)	Pbo + Palbo + Fulv (n=164)		
PFS events, n (%)	82 (50.9)	113 (68.9)		
Median PFS (95% CI), mo	15.0 (11.3-20.5)	7.3 (5.6-9.3)		
Stratified HR (95% CI)	0.43 (0.3	32-0.59)		
P value	P<0.0	0.0001		

OS	Inavo + Palbo + Fulv (n=161)	Pbo + Palbo + Fulv (n=164)	
Events, n (%)	42 (26.1)	55 (33.5)	
Median OS (95% Cl), mo	NE (27.3-NE)	31.1 (22.3-NE)	
Stratified HR (95% CI)	0.64 (0.4	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	
P value	<i>P</i> =0.0338		

Data cutoff date: September 29, 2023. Median follow-up: 21.3 months.

<sup>a</sup> The prespecified boundary for OS (*P*=0.0098 or HR=0.592) was not crossed at this interim analysis. Jhaveri K, et al. SABCS 2023. Abstract GS03-13.

### Phase 3 INAVO120 Trial of Inavolisib in PIK3CAmut HR+/HER2– MBC

AEs ≥20% Incidence	Inavo + Palbo + Fulv (n=162)		Pbo + Palbo + Fulv (n=162)		Overview of AEs, %	Inavo + Palbo + Fulv	Pbo + Palbo + Fulv	
in Lither Group, %	All Grades	Grade 3-4	All Grades	Grade 3-4		(n=162)	(n=162)	
Neutropenia	89%	80%	91%	78%	Any AEs	99%	100%	
Thrombocytopenia	48%	14%	45%	4%	Grade 3-4 AEs	88%	82%	
Anemia	37%	6%	36%	2%	Grade 5 AE <sup>a</sup>	4%	1%	
Stomatitis/Mucositis	51%	6%	27%	0	Serious AE	24%	11%	
Hyperglycemia	59%	6%	9%	0	Leading to discontinuation	7%	0.6%	
Diarrhea	48%	4%	16%	0	Inavolisib/placebo	6%	0.6%	
Nausea	28%	<2%	17%	0	Palbociclib	5%	0	
Rash	25%	0	17%	0		570	0	
Decreased appetite	24%	<2%	9%	<2%	Fulvestrant	3%	0	
Fatigue	24%	<2%	13%	<2%	Leading to dose modification/	83%	75%	
COVID-19	23%	<2%	11%	<2%	interruption of treatment			
Headache	21%	<2%	14%	<2%	Inavolisib/placebo	70%	35%	
Leukopenia	17%	7%	25%	11%	Palbociclib	77%	72%	
Ocular toxicities	22%	0	13%	0	Fulvestrant	32%	21%	

<sup>a</sup> None of the grade 5 AEs were reported as related to study treatment by investigators. Jhaveri K, et al. SABCS 2023. Abstract GS03-13.

# Summary of AKTi / PI3Ki Adverse Effects

	Alpelisib + Fulvestrant			Capivasertib + Fulvestrant		
	SOLAR-1 <sup>1</sup> (n=284)		BYLieve <sup>2</sup> (Cohorts A+C, n=253)		CAPItello-291 <sup>3</sup> (n=355)	
Median treatment duration, mo	5.5	6	NR		5.4	
Discontinuations due to AEs, %	26.4	%	NR		13%	
Dose reductions due to AEs, %	NR		Ν	R	20%	
Most Common AEs (≥25%), %	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3
Any AE	99%	78%	99%	69%	97%	42%
Hyperglycemia	65%	37%	64%	27%	16%	2.3%
Diarrhea	60%	7.0%	59%	4.3%	72%	9.3%
Nausea	47%	2.8%	44%	1.2%	35%	0.8%
Decreased appetite	36%	0.7%	31%	3.6%	17%	0.3%
Rash	36%	9.9%	36%	12%	38%	12%
Vomiting	29%	0.7%	25%	1.6%	21%	1.7%
Weight decreased	28%	5.3%	NR	NR	NR	NR
Fatigue	25%	3.5%	33%	2.4%	21%	0.6%
Stomatitis	25%	2.5%	29%	1.6%	15%	2.0%

# **Tumor/Mutant Selective PI3Kα Inhibitors**

### Selective targeting of oncogenic PI3K activation without inhibiting normal PI3K function in host tissues

Selective tumor targeting of PI3Kα H1047R should:

- Permit higher and uninterrupted dosing
- Permit continuous and more complete target engagement
- Enable long-term dosing with novel combination regimens (CDK4/6 inhibitors, etc)

Increased efficacy and improved safety



occurring ~15% of breast cancer

# LOXO-783: H1047-mutant selective PI3K inhibitor

- ER+/HER2- MBC: 85%
- mTNBC: 11%
- Other solid tumors: 5%
- Prior ET+ CDK4/6i: 76%
- Prior SERD: 42%

Efficacy

- Prior chemo/ADC: 71%
- Prior PI3K pathway inh: 7%

Enicacy				
			LOXO-783 +	LOXO-783 +ET+
	LOXO-783 (n=31)	LOXO-783 +ET (n=79)	paclitaxel (n=17)	abema (n=18)
ORR (%)	3	6	24	17
DCR (%)	47	52	71	56
CBR (%)	16	52	69	100
Cofoty				

Sarety Hyperglycemia: all grades:3-8%; G $\geq$ 3:none Rash: all grades 15-20% G $\geq$ 3: 1% (w/ET) Fatigue: all grades 24-38% G $\geq$ 3: 1-5% Diarrhea: all grades 71-89%; G $\geq$ 3: 5-21%



### LOXO-783

- Limited efficacy as monotherapy or w/ET only
- Demonstrated proof of concept of mutant selectivity - no hyperglycemia
- High rates of diarrhea observed limit the utility in clinic

✓ Not moving forward w/ this compound

### STX-478 Mutant selective PI3Kα inhibitor

#### Ph 1/ 2 study - Monotherapy

- PIK3CA helical or kinase domain mutant advanced solid tumors (including BC)
- Fasting glucose < 140 mg/dL and HbA1c < 7.0%
- Type 2 DM permitted
- Prior PI3K/AKT/mTORi permitted if stopped due to intolerance

#### CDK 4/6i treated\* HR+/HER2- MBC (n=29)

Prior fulvestrant/SERD: 72% Prior chemo 90% Prior PI3K/Akt/mTORi: 41%

#### Safety: No grade ≥3 hyperglycemia, diarrhea or rash

*Hyperglycemia:* all grades: 23% *Fatigue:* all grades 30% G3: 8% *Rash:* all grades 10% *Diarrhea: all* grades 15%





#### STX-478 monotherapy

✓ Good efficacy

Monotherapy ORR exceeds approved PI3K pathway inhibitors Activity against PIK3CA kinase and helical domain mutations

#### ✓ Good safety profile

Limited toxicities in high risk pt popn including those with diabetes

✓ STX-748 combinations under investigation in HR+/HER2- MBC

# **RLY-2608 Pan-mutant selective PI3K inhibitor**



RLY-2608 selectively targets mutant PI3K $\alpha$ , via binding to a novel pocket, distinct from approved orthosteric inhibitors and emerging inhibitors that target only H1047R





Saura C et al. SABCS 2024

# BBO-10203 selective blocker of PI3Kα:RAS interaction

### BBO-1023

• Selective inhibitor of the physical interaction between PI3K $\alpha$  (not  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ , or  $\gamma$ ) and RAS which is critical for malignancy

- Covalently binds PI3Kα on cysteine 242 in the Ras binding domain, which prevents the interaction of PI3Kα with RAS
- Does not inhibit kinase activity of  $\text{PI3K}\alpha$



- BBO-10203 blocks RAS-mediated activation of PI3Kα, strongly inhibits pAKT signaling in tumor cells without affecting glucose metabolism
- Shows robust monotherapy activity and combination activity with SOC in HER2+ or HER2- breast cancer models with PI3Kα mutations
- ✓ Phase 1 BREAKER-101 (NCT06625775) trial is underway

**BBO-10203** monotherapy and combination activity in **BC** models



PI3K inhibitor	Туре	Status
СҮНЗЗ	PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitor	Phase 2
JS105	PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitor	Phase 1/ 2
Serabelisib	PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitor	Phase 2
TOS-358	PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitor	Phase 1
RLY-2608	PI3K $\alpha$ mutant selective	Phase 1
RLY-5836	PI3K $\alpha$ mutant selective	Discontinued
STX-478	PI3K $\alpha$ mutant selective	Phase 1
CGT6297	PI3K $\alpha$ H1047R mutant specific	Preclinical
OKI-219	PI3K $\alpha$ H1047R mutant specific	Phase 1
LOXO-783	PI3K $\alpha$ H1047R mutant specific	Discontinued
LY4045004	PI3K $\alpha$ H1047R and E545K mutant	Preclinical

### Incorporating AKT/PI3K Inhibition into Treatment Paradigm for HR+/HER2–MBC



X@Neil\_lyengar