## Weill Cornell Medicine



# **Actinium-225 in Prostate Cancer**

## Scott T. Tagawa, MD, MS, FASCO, FACP

Professor of Medicine & Urology weillcornellgucancer.org @DrScottTagawa





# Critical Differences in Alpha- and Beta-Particles



	α	β
Relative particle mass	7300	1
Initial energy (MeV) per particle	3-8	0.01-2.5
Range in tissue (µm)	40-100	50-5000
LET (KeV/µm)	60-230	0.015-0.4
DNA hits to kill cells	1-10	100-1000

LET, linear energy transfer.

Actinium-225 = 4 alpha emissions (also 2 gamma and beta)

# Targeted alpha's for PC (partial list)

- Radium-223 dichloride
- <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 (aka Conv-01α)
  - BAY 2315497 (<sup>227</sup>Th-pelgifatamab) dead
- → <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-617 early Ph 1 EANM, Ph 3 planned
- <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-I&T TACIST beyond Houston, Ph 3 planned
- ◆ <sup>25</sup>Ac-PSMA-R2
- → <sup>225</sup>Ac-pelgifatamab (BAY3546828) Ph 1 in Europe
- → <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-trillium (BAY3563254) AACR 2024, Ph 1 in Europe
  - <sup>212</sup>Pb-ADVC001 Ph 1
- → JNJ-69086420 (<sup>225</sup>Ac hK2) ASCO 2024, redesign

### UniversitätsKlinikum Heidelberg



# <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-617 case reports



Patient-A LHRH (urupeptyl, leuprorelin) zoledronate Docetaxel (50 cycles) Carmustin/Epirubicin in hyperthermia Arbiraterone Enzalutamide Ra-223 (6 cycles) Arbiraterone re-exposition Estramustine

12/2014 PSA = 2923 ng/ml 7/2015 PSA = 0.26 ng/ml 9/2015 PSA < 0.1 ng/ml

# <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-617: Retrospective from Germany and South Africa





50 0 -50

> Kratochwil et al J Nuc Med 2017 Kratochwil et al J Nuc Med 2018 Sathekge et al, Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2018

## Actinium-225-PSMA radioligand therapy of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (WARMTH Act): a multicentre, retrospective study

Mike M Sathekae, Ismaheel O Lawal, Chandrasekhar Bal, Frank Bruchertseifer, Sajana Ballal, Giuseppe Cardaci, Cindy Davis, Mathias Eiber, 100 -Türkay Hekimsoy, Otto Knoesen, Clemens Kratochwil, Nat P Lenzo, Johncy Mahapane, Letjie C Maserumule, Amanda H Mdlophane, Change from baseline in PSA (%) Kgomotso M G Mokoala, Honest Ndlovu, Vineet Pant, Hendrik Rathke, Janet Reed, Ishita B Sen, Aviral Singh, Ashwani Sood, Robert Tauber, Parul Thakral, Madhav Prasad Yadav, Alfred Morgenstern 50-0 57% PSA50 -50--100

Patient

Leukopenia in 18.2% at baseline  $\rightarrow$  44.5% after treatment

Thrombocytopenia in 30.7% at baseline  $\rightarrow$  53.4% after treatment

Chart data available in 71% - of those, 68% with xerostomia after cycle 1, 86% after cycle 2, 91% after cycle 3

Sathekge et al, Lancet Oncol 2024

# <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-I&T (FPI-2265) TACIST trial

## Figure 4. Maximum percent PSA change from baseline during the treatment period (weeks 0–28) by prior Lu treatment



\*% maximum PSA increase shown to 100%.

#### Figure 6. TRAEs occurring in >10% of participants (safety population)



\*Participants were counted once in a preferred term category for the worst severity if >1 event occurred in that category.

#### Table 4. Independent reviewer response by RECIST v1.1 criteria

Best Response by RECIST v1.1	Participants Evaluable by RECIST 1.1 (n=9)
PR, n (%)	3 (33)
SD, n (%)	4 (44)
PD, n (%)	2 (22)

PD, progressive disease; SD, stable disease.

Delpassand et al, AACR 2024

Image-based dosimetry for 225Ac-PSMA-I&T and the effect of daughter-specific pharmacokinetics





Liubchenko et al, EJNMMI 2024

# AcTION: A phase 1 study of 225Ac-PSMA-617 for PSMApositive mCRPC with or without prior 177Lu-PSMA-617

### **Approximately 60 patients**

#### **Key inclusion criteria**

- Confirmed diagnosis of mCRPC with disease progression on prior treatment
- ECOG performance status 0–2
- PSMA-positive by <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11<sup>a</sup> PET/CT scan (within 28 days of study entry)
  - PSMA-positive soft tissue or visceral disease

#### Key exclusion criteria

- PSMA-negative disease at baseline in any of the following regions:
  - One or more PSMA-negative lymph nodes > 2.5 cm
  - Bone metastasis with a PSMA-negative soft tissue component > 1 cm
  - PSMA-negative solid organ metastases ≥ 1 cm
- Previous treatment with bone-targeting radiopharmaceuticals

### <u>Group A (n = ~20)</u>

- Prior chemotherapy and a novel ARPI
- No prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA radioligand therapy

#### <u>Group B (n = ~20)</u>

- No prior chemotherapy or novel ARPI
- No prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA radioligand therapy

#### <u>Group C (n = ~20)</u>

- Prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA radioligand
- therap
- Prior chemotherapy and/or ARPI not required

#### **Primary endpoint**

 Recommended phase 2 dose and schedule of administration

#### Secondary endpoints

- Safety and tolerability
- Anti-tumour activity
  - RECIST 1.1 response rate (ORR, DOR, DCR)
  - PFS (radiographic, clinical, PSA)
  - Biochemical response (PSA, ALP, LDH)
- Health-related quality of life (FACT-P, BPI-SF, EQ-5D-5L and XeQOLS)

#### **Exploratory endpoint**

Correlation between repeat
 <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT scans and
 <sup>225</sup>Ac-PSMA-617 anti-tumour activity

#### a[68Ga]Ga-PSMA-11.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; BPI-SF, Brief Pain Inventory – Short Form; CT, computed tomography; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EQ-5D-5L, 5-dimension, 5-level EuroQol questionnaire; FACT-P, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Prostate; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ORR, objective response rate; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; PET, positron emission tomography; PFS, progression-free survival; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours; XeQOLS, Xerostomia Quality of Life Scale.

Sathekge et al

	Group A			4 MBq <sup>a</sup> (n = 3)		6 to 4 (n	Mbq = 3)	a,b	6 M (n :	lBq <sup>a</sup> = 1)		8 MI (n =	3q <sup>c</sup> 4)		10 M (n	vIBq <sup>c</sup> = 3)	(1	Total n = 14)
Ί	• P • N	rior chemotherapy and a novel ARPI Io prior <sup>177</sup> Lu-PSMA radioligand therapy	A gra	ll Gra des ≥	des 3	All grades	G	rades ≥3	All grades	Grades ≥3	gı	All rades	Grade: ≥3	g	All rades	Grade ≥3	s g	All grades
Any	• AE, n (%	6)	3 (10	0.0) 0 (0)		3 (100.0)	1 (3	33.3)	1 (100.0)	0 (0)	4 (:	100)	0 (0)	2 (	66.7)	0 (0)	13	3 (92.9)
Dry	mouth,	n (%)	1 (33	3.3) 0 (0)		2 (66.7)	0 (0	0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0)	3 (	75.0)	0 (0)	2 (	66.7)	0 (0)	9	(64.3)
Fati	gue		2 (66	5.7) 0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0	D)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (:	100)	0 (0)	1 (3	33.3)	0 (0)	7	(50.0)
Nau	ısea, n (%	6)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0	D)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (!	50.0)	0 (0)	0 ((	0)	0 (0)	2	(14.3)
		Group B			4 M (n =	Bq <sup>a</sup> 3)			6 to 4 M (n = 3	lbq <sup>a,b</sup> 3)			8 ME (n =	iq <sup>c</sup> 3)		Tota (n = 1	al 9)	
		<ul> <li>No prior chemotherapy or novel ARF</li> <li>No prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA radioligand the</li> </ul>	Pl rapy	All grades		Grades ≥3	5	gı	All rades	Grad ≥ 3	es	Al grac	l les	Grac ≥∶	des 3	All grade	es	
	Any AE	E, n (%)		3 (100.0)		0 (0)		3 (100.0	D)	0 (0)		3 (100.	0)	0 (0)		9 (100.0	)	
	Dry mo	outh, n (%)		3 (100.0)		0 (0)		3 (100.0	0)	0 (0)		3 (100.	0)	0 (0)		9 (100.0	)	
	Urinar	y tract infection, n (%)		2 (66.7)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0 (0)		2 (22.2)		
	Group C		igand therapy			4 M (n =	1Bq = 3)			8 MBa (n = 6	l <sup>a</sup> 5)			10 I (n	MBq = 6)		To (n =	otal = 15)
		Prior chemotherapy and/or A	ARPI no	ot required		All grades	(	Grades ≥3	gra	All ades	Gr	ades ≥3	A gra	ll des	Gra ≥	ades ≥3	A gra	All Ides
		Any AE, n (%)			3 (1	.00.0)	1 (3	3.3)	6 (100.	0)	1 (16	.7)	6 (100	0)	1 (16.	7)	15 (10	0.0)
	Trial ªPart	Dry mouth, n (%)			3 (1	.00.0)	0 (0	).0)	5 (83.3)	)	0 (0.0	))	5 (83.3	)	0 (0.0	)	13 (86	5.7)
	AE, a	Nausea, n (%)			2 (6	6.7)	0 (0	).0)	3 (50.0)	)	0 (0.0	))	3 (50.0	)	0 (0.0	)	8 (53.3	3)
		Fatigue, n (%)			0 (0	0.0)	0 (0	).0)	5 (83.3)	)	0 (0.0	))	3 (50.0	)	0 (0.0	)	8 (53.3	3)
		Decrease appetite, n (%)			1 (3	3.3)	0 (0	).0)	2 (33.3)	)	0 (0.0	))	1 (16.7	)	0 (0.0	)	4 (26.7	7)
Sathek	ge	Anaemia, n (%)			1 (3	3.3)	0 (0	).0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0	))	2 (33.3	)	1 (16.	7)	3 (20.0	0)
	23	Diarrhoea, n (%)			1 (3	3.3)	1 (3	3.3)	1 (16.7)	)	0 (0.0	))	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0	)	2 (13.3	3)
NM	NOTES CONTRACTOR	Neutropenia, n (%)			0 (0	).0)	0 (0	).0)	1 (16.7	)	1 (16	.7)	1 (16.7	)	0 (0.0	)	2 (13.3	3)



- SatisfACtion: Phase I/II, Open-label, Multi-center Study of [225Ac]Ac-PSMA-R2 in Men With mHSPC and Heavily Pre-treated PSMA-positive mCRPC, With/Without Prior 177Lu-labelled PSMA-targeted Radioligand Therapy
- ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: <u>NCT05983198</u>
- Novartis Reference Number: CAAA802A1210

# **Antibody vs Small Molecule**



- Large size → in blood for days
   Optimal imaging days later
- Target via blood vessels
- Exposure / Predicted side effects:
  - Infusion reaction
  - Off tumor exposure
    - Bone marrow (myelosuppression)
    - Liver
- Small size → in blood for hours
   Optimal imaging within hours
- Rapidly penetrate tissues
- Exposure / Predicted side effects:
  - Non-tumor PSMA uptake:
    - Kidney
    - Salivary glands (dry mouth, altered tase)
    - Lacrimal glands (dry eye)
    - Small intestine (nausea)







Baseline Demographics (n=32) <sup>¥</sup>					
Age, median (range)	69.5 (52-89)				
PSA, median (range)	149.1 (4.8-7168)				
CALGB (Halabi) Prognostic Group,	n (%)				
Low	1 (3.1%)				
Intermediate	8 (25%)				
High	23 (71.9%)				
Sites of metastas	es, n (%)				
Bone	31 (96.9%)				
Lymph node	28 (87.5%)				
Liver	6 (18.8%)				
Lung	5 (15.6%)				
Prior therapy,	n (%)				
≥2 potent AR inhibitors	25 (78.1%)				
Chemotherapy	20 (62.5%)				
Radium-223	9 (28.1%)				
Sipuleucel-T	12 (37.5%)				
PSMA-TRT	14 (43.8%)				

## **Dose Escalation Results:**

- 1 of 6 in Cohort 6 (80 KBq/Kg) had DLT (Gr 4 anemia and Gr 4 thrombocytopenia)
- 0 of 6 in Cohort 7 had DLT
- No MTD achieved
- RP2D = 93.3 KBq/Kg

## PSA Response

- 68.8% experienced any PSA decline
- 46.9% with >50% PSA decline at any time •Similar with/without prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA



## Additional ongoing studies

- Fractionated & multiple dose; re-treatment
- Pembro/ARSI +/- <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591

<sup>¥</sup>One pt enrolled in both dose-escalation and expansion

## AUA-2024∯ *San Antonio*≹

# Ph 1 Fractionated/Multiple Cycle Rationale and Study Design

### Fractionated Dose (single cycle)

Principle: Maximize dose intensity, limit resistance (repopulation) Successful with <sup>177</sup>Lu-J591<sup>1</sup> (now Ph 3 NCT04876651) and <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA-617<sup>2</sup> (now Ph 3 NCT06320067)

### Regimen = single fractionated cycle administered on D1 and D15<sup>3</sup>

Dose Level	KBq/kg	# of Patients
1	45	3
2	55	7
3	65	6
2.5	60	7
Post 177Lu*	50	4

## • Definition of Dose-Limiting Toxicity

- Grade 4 neutropenia or any febrile neutropenia
- · Grade 4 thrombocytopenia or Gr 3 thrombocytopenia with major bleeding
- Grade >2 non-heme toxicity at least possibly related to <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591
- · Any grade attributable toxicity that delays subsequent treatment
  - Fractionated cohort: delay in D15 treatment by more than 2 weeks
  - Multiple cycle cohort: delay in cycle 2 or cycle 3 by more than 3 weeks
- DLT assessment period
  - Fractionated = 8 weeks; multiple = minimum of 6 weeks after cycle 2

### **Multiple Cycles**

Typical empiric dosing of radionuclides Potential for combination (scientific rationale for combining  $\alpha + \beta$  and mAb + SML **Regimen = 6 week intervals (up to 4)** 

Dose Level	KBq/kg	# of Patients
1	65	6
-1	55	6
-2	45	6

- 1 Cancer 2019; 125: 2561-2569
- 2 ESMO 2022 / ASCO 2024
- 3 Nauseef et al, AACR 2023

# AUA-2024 Dose-Limiting Toxicity / RP2D

### Fractionated Dose Dose-Limiting Toxicity

Cohort	KBq/kg	DLT
3	65	G4 TCP
3	65	G2 TCP delaying D15 >2 weeks
2.5	60	G4 TCP

Recommended phase II dose: 60 KBq/kg on D1 and D15 (total 120 KBq/kg)

### Multiple Cycle Dose-Limiting Toxicity

Cohort	KBq/kg	DLT
1	65	G3 TCP delaying C3 >2 weeks
1	65	G1TCP delaying C3 >2 weeks
-1	55	G4 TCP
-1	55	G4 TCP
-1	55	G3 TCP delaying C2 >2 weeks
-2	45	G2TCP delaying C3 >2 weeks
-2	45	G1TCP delaying C3 >2 weeks

### RP2D: q6 wk schedule not recommended

# AUA-2024 Best % change in PSA

**Fractionated Dose** 



#### **Multiple Cycles**



# Combo <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 with <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA I&T

Patient Characteristics	N = 18
Median PSA (ng/mL)	54.4 (range 2.43 – 9614)
Median Age at Treatment	70 (range 55 – 87)
CALGB (Halabi) Risk Category	
Low	1 (5%)
Intermediate	7 (39%)
High	10 (56%)
Prior Therapies	
Sipuleucel-T	5 (28%)
>1 ARPI	12 (67%)
Radium-223	3 (17%)
Chemotherapy	12 (67%)
Lymph Node Metastasis	9 (50%)
Lung Metastasis	2 (11%)
Bone Metastasis	13 (72%)
Liver Metastasis	2 (11%)
SUV <sub>max</sub> (single lesion)	31.4 (range 11 – 108.9)
SUV <sub>maxmean</sub> (5 lesions)*	19.6 (range 6.7 – 39.8)
Baseline CTC (CellSearch)	
0/undetectable	3 (17%)
1-4	4 (22%)
5 or greater	11 (61%)

2 DLT's at 40 KBq/Kg: 1 Gr 2 and 1 Gr 3 platelets that delayed cycle 2 > 3 wks

Adverse Event	Total	Gr 1	Gr 2	Gr 3	Gr 4
Neutropenia	3	3	0 (0%)	0	0
	(17%)	(17%)		(0%)	(0%)
Platelets	12	9	1 (5%)	2	0
	(67%)	(50%)		(11%)	(0%)
Anemia	9	4	2	3	0
	(50%)	(22%)	(11%)	(17%)	(0%)
Pain	11	9	1 (5%)	1	0
	(61%)	(50%)		(5%)	(0%)
Xerostomia	12	11	1 (5%)	0	0
	(67%)	(61%)		(0%)	(0%)
Fatigue	8	8	0	0	0
	(44%)	(44%)	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)
Nausea	10	10	0	0	0
	(56%)	(56%)	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)

**Weill Cornell Medicine** 

Meyer Cancer Center

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF

CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

KNOWLEDGE CONQUERS CANCER



#ASCO23

Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.org.

# **Results (efficacy)**



2025 update (Raab/Okobi et al, ASCO GU 2025): 3/6 at RP2D progression free > 1 year (13, 17, 18 mo) mOS = 29.8 mo [95% CI 7.4 – NR]

- 17 (94%) experienced PSA decline
- 11 (61%) with PSA50
- 5 of 7 (71%) with paired CTCs converted from unfavorable to favorable at 12 weeks
- 5 of 11 (45%) converted from detectable to undetectable





#ASCO23







## Will α-PSMA-TRT improve efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibition?

## RATIONALE

- RT → improves response to immune checkpoint inhibition (at least in mice)
  - PSMA-TRT allows most sites to receive RT
  - Alpha = dsDNA breaks
- AR pathway inhibition → increased PSMA
- AR pathway inhibition → radiosensitization
- Enza resistance → increased PD-L1





## <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 $\rightarrow$ CONV01- $\alpha$

## **CONVERGE-01** Phase II trial

#### **Key eligibility**

- Progressive CRPC
- ≥1 PSMA PET (+) metastatic lesion and no PSMA PET (-) lesions
- Post ≥1 ARSI
- No prior PARPi, Ra-223, or platinum chemotherapy

#### For Part 2

- Conventional imaging (-) [M0] or conventional imaging (+) [M1]
- No prior Lu-177-PSMA-RL or other radiopharmaceutical therapy
- No prior chemotherapy for CRPC

#### For Part 3

- Conventional imaging (+) [M1]
- Post Lu-177-PSMA-RL (up to 6 doses of Pluvicto or 4 doses of Lu-177-PSMA-I&T)
- 1 prior taxane chemotherapy mandated

**Biodistribution Lead-in (Part 1)** 

In-111 rosopatamab tetraxetan 148 ± 37 MBq on Day 1 (N = 5)

#### **Pre-RL Dose Optimization [Part 2]**

Ac-225 rosopatamab tetraxetan 60 kBq/kg on Days 1 and 15 (N = 12)

#### **Randomization 1:1**

Stratification factor: Conventional imaging findings (M0 vs M1)

Ac-225 rosopatamab tetraxetan 45 kBq/kg on Days 1 and 15 (N = 6-12)

Post-RL Dose Escalation and Expansion [Part 3]

## Ac-225 rosopatamab tetraxetan on Days 1 and 15

→ 55 kBq/kg

60 kBq/kg

(BOIN design, N = up to 36)

45 kBq/kg

BOIN = Bayesian optimal interval, PARPi = PARP inhibitor, RL = radioligand

https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06549465

# <sup>225</sup>Ac-pelgifatamab (BAY3546828)

- Pelgifatamab = anti-PSMA mAb used in prior human studies ["PSMA-ADC"]
- Used with 227<sup>Th</sup> (BAY2315497)
  - Ph 1 results pending
- Now conjugated via Macropa with 225Ac
- Ph 1 trial started in Finland, now expanding to other sites [NCT06052306]



Schatz et al, Clin Cancer Res 2024

# JNJ-69086420 is an hK2-Targeted, Humanized mAb Conjugated to <sup>225</sup>Ac. Study Design:

- NCT04644770: phase 1 first-in-human trial of JNJ-69086420 in mCRPC
- Key eligibility criteria
  - ≥1 prior ARPI
  - Prior chemotherapy allowed
  - No prior radiopharmaceutical therapy
  - No superscans
  - Primary objectives
    - RP2D and safety



# JNJ-69086420 Induces Deep and Durable PSA Responses



<sup>a</sup>Confirmed by another reduction 3 weeks or later. N = 32 subjects who were on treatment for  $\geq$ 12 weeks or discontinued treatment or achieved any PSA50. <sup>b</sup>N = 17 with measurable disease at baseline and at least 1 post-baseline assessment or off study. Confirmed ORR based on RECIST, without evidence of bone progression based on PCWG3. Data cutoff date: April 22, 2024.



# Safety |TEAEs of Interest

Adverse Events	All participants				
	Any grade (%)	=75 Grade ≥3 (%)			
AnyTEAE (in ≥20%)	96.0	61.3			
Thrombocytopenia	58.7	17.3			
Fatigue	53.3	1.3			
Anemia	48.0	25.3			
Decreased appetite	41.3	4.0			
Nausea	40.0	2.7			
Leukopenia	29.3	8.0			
Vomiting	29.3	2.7			
Cough	24.0	1.3			
Dyspnea	24.0	0			
Diarrhea	22.7	1.3			
Hypertension	20.0	9.3			
Dry mouth	20.0	0			
Back pain	20.0	2.7			
ILDa	6.7	5.3			
Serious TEAE/TRAE (%)	32.0	/16.0			
TEAE/TRAE leading to discontinuation (%)	14.7	/12.0			
TEAE/TRAE leading to death <sup>b</sup> (%)	6.7/5.3				

 Persistent G3/G4 thrombocytopenia on fixed dosing schedule at cumulative doses ≥500 µCi

 Only 1/26 (3.8%) G3 thrombocytopenia without recovery following a single 250-400 µCi dose

- Overall, 6.7% of patients had ILD, including 2 fatal cases
  - All ILD associated with cumulative doses ≥600 µCi
  - No ILD associated with cumulative dose cohorts ≤500 µCi



<sup>a</sup>ILD includes reports of pneumonitis, ground glass opacities, and acute hypoxic respiratory failure. <sup>b</sup>ILD (n=2), respiratory failure (COVID-19, n=1), decreased appetite/hypotension (n=1). Data cutoff date: April 22, 2024.

## Adaptive Dosing is Supported by Single-Dose Data







15%

Pandit-Taskar N, et al. J Nucl Med. 2024

	[ <sup>111</sup> In] absorbed dose (mGy/MBq)			
	1A (2 mg)	1B (10 mg)		
	n = 15	n = 6		
Organ of interest	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)		
Adrenals	0.19 (0.01)	0.19 (0.01)		
Brain	0.08 (0.003)	0.08 (0.01)		
Breasts	0.09 (0.002)	0.09 (0.01)		
Gallbladder wall	0.22 (0.01)	0.23 (0.01)		
LLI wall	0.12 (0.004)	0.12 (0.01)		
Small intestine	0.13 (0.004)	0.14 (0.01)		
Stomach wall	0.14 (0.003)	0.14 (0.01)		
ULI wall	0.14 (0.004)	0.14 (0.01)		
Heart wall	0.54 (0.02)	0.49 (0.04)		
Kidneys	0.23 (0.01)	0.22 (0.02)		
Liver	0.45 (0.02)	0.46 (0.05)		
Lungs	0.15 (0.004)	0.15 (0.01)		
Muscle	0.10 (0.003)	0.11 (0.01)		
Pancreas	0.19 (0.004)	0.19 (0.01)		
Red marrow	0.17 (0.01)	0.17 (0.01)		
Osteogenic cells	0.19 (0.01)	0.19 (0.01)		
Skin	0.07 (0.002)	0.07 (0.003)		
Spleen	0.24 (0.01)	0.24 (0.01)		
Testes	0.08 (0.003)	0.09 (0.01)		
Thymus	0.16 (0.004)	0.16 (0.01)		
Thyroid	0.10 (0.003)	0.10 (0.01)		
Urinary bladder wall	0.11 (0.004)	0.12 (0.01)		
Total body	0.12 (0.003)	0.12 (0.01)		

# Threshold for tolerance to <sup>225</sup>Ac-mAb?

- <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 single-dose phase 1 (JCO 2023)
  - RP2D = 93 KBq/Kg (2.52  $\mu$ Ci/Kg)  $\rightarrow$  176  $\mu$ Ci for 70 Kg (Max actual = 324  $\mu$ Ci following prior <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA-617)

Fractionated Dose (single cycle)		
Dose Level	KBq/kg	# of Patients
1	45	3
2	55	7
3	65	6
2.5	60	7
Post 177Lu*	50	4

Multiple Cycles			
Dose Level	KBq/kg	# of Patients	
1	65	6	
-1	55	6	
-2	45	6	

- <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 fractionated dose
  - RP2D = 60 KBq/Kg (1.62  $\mu$ Ci/Kg)  $\rightarrow$  227  $\mu$ Ci for 70 Kg
- <sup>225</sup>Ac-J591 multiple cycles q6 wks
  - Highest dose level = 65 KBq/Kg (1.76  $\mu$ Ci/Kg)  $\rightarrow$  443  $\mu$ Ci for 70 Kg (4 cycles) (Max actual = 589  $\mu$ Ci)

<sup>225</sup>Ac-lintuzumab 1.5  $\mu$ Ci/Kg up to 4 cycles  $\rightarrow$  420  $\mu$ Ci for 70 Kg 4 cycles NCT03867682

J Clin Oncol 2024 Sun et al, AUA 2024

# <sup>225</sup>Ac-TRT for prostate cancer summary

- Alpha emitters have higher LET over a shorter range than betas
- Several cell-surface targets, including PSMA, have relative selectivity and are attractive for <sup>225</sup>Ac-TRT
- Both antibodies and small molecules accurately target PSMA+ cells, can be radiolabeled, and have different kinetics and biodistribution
  - Initial start with mAbs
- Theranostics paradigm may hold for alphas like betas, but not yet proven
- We can exploit the selectivity of targets to deliver high doses of radioactive particles (or drugs) to tumors with relative sparing of normal organs
  - Can we get a high enough dose to all tumors for cure? [heterogeneity noted]
  - Many potential combinations (AR, taxane, immune checkpoint inhibitors, PARP, etc.)
  - Can we pre-select the optimal pt population (imaging, genomics, etc)?

