ADCs in NSCLC

Jorge J. Nieva M.D. University of Southern California Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center

Outline

• The Past

- ado-trastuzumab emtansine
- Tusamitamab ravtansine
- The Present
 - fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan
 - Sacituzumab govitecan
 - Datopotamab deruxtecan
- The Future
 - Patritumab Deruxtecan
 - Telisotuzumab Vendotin

Why ADCs?

Structure of an ADC¹



ADCs are designed to have an expanded therapeutic index

The expanded therapeutic index of ADCs vs conventional chemotherapy is a result of efficient and specific drug delivery to antigen-expressing tumor cells.^{1,2}



Membrane permeable payloads affect adjacent cells



Complexity = more mechanisms for resistance



PK Profiles of Different Analytes

/	Ab-related PK assays	Small-molecule–related PK assays
 TAb Measure (conjugat 	es the total concentration of Ab ted and unconjugated)	 Ab-conjugated drug Measures drug-to-antibody ratio (DAR)
 Conjugate Measure only 	d Ab s concentration of conjugated Ab	 Unconjugated drug Measures unconjugated drug
	Ab-conjugated drug TAb	Unconjugated drug DAR 2 DAR 1 DAR 0 Conjugated Ab/Ab-conjugated drug TAb ^a
	Time	Images from Kamath AV, Iyer. Pharm Res. 2015;32(11):3470–3

The Past

- Drug antibody Ratio was low (3.5-3.8)
- Payload was not membrane permeable
- Drugs failed or were replaced by better options

Trastuzumab emtansine in ERBB2 mutated NSCLC



Trastuzumab emtansine in ERBB2 overexpressing NSCLC



CEACAM5

- CEACAM5: cell-surface glycoprotein selectively expressed on several tumors, including NSCLC.
- Stimulates metastatic spread by promoting cell migration.

Tusamitamab Ravtansine (TUSA)



Drug-to-antibody ratio is 3.8. CEACAM5, carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 5; DM4, ravtansine; IgG1, immunoglobulin G1; SPDB, N-succinimidyl 4-(2-pyridyldithio)butyrate.

Ricordel et al. ASCO 2022

Best Overall Response

Overall Population

Response, n (%)	High expressors (n = 64)	Moderate expressors (n = 28)
ORR [95% CI]	13 (20.3%) [12.27-31.71]	2 (7.1%) [1.98-22.65]
Confirmed PR	13 (20.3%)	2 (7.1%)
SD	28 (43.8%)	15 (53.6%)
DCR	41 (64.1%)	17 (60.7%)
PD	21 (32.8%)	10 (35.7%)
NE	2 (3.1%)	1 (3.6%)

Best Relative Tumor Shrinkage – High Expressor Cohort



Best Relative Tumor Shrinkage – Moderate Expressor Cohort



Best relative tumor shrinkage: Patients who had unconfirmed PR (>30% decrease) were counted as SD for BOR
Patien
DCR, disease control rate; NE, not evaluable; ORR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Gazzah et al. ASCO 2020

The Present

- Camptothecan payloads membrane permeable
- Higher DAR

What Is HER2-Positive NSCLC?



HER2 Gene Mutation: 1%-3%

Detection: NGS (activating *HER2/ERBB2* mutation)

Activating *HER2* mutations are an actionable biomarker for patients with

advanced NSCLC based on the accelerated approval of trastuzumab deruxtecan

DESTINY-Lung02: Trastuzumab Deruxtecan in HER2-Mutated NSCLC

 Primary analysis of international, randomized, double-blind, noncomparative phase II trial (data cutoff: December 23, 2022)¹⁻³



*Identified in fresh/archival tumor tissue. [†]Must be asymptomatic and not needing corticosteroids or anticonvulsants. [‡]n = 1 did not receive treatment.

- Primary endpoint: confirmed ORR by BICR¹⁻³
 - Hypothesis tested by comparing lower limit of
 95% CI for each T-DXd dose vs benchmark ORR of 26.4%
 (upper limit of ORR 95% CI observed with ramucirumab + docetaxel in REVEL trial)⁴
 - Not statistically powered to compare between arm
- Secondary endpoints: ORR by inv; DoR, DCR, and PFS by BICR and inv; OS; safety¹⁻³

DESTINY-Lung02: Antitumor Activity With T-DXd 5.4 mg/kg Q3W



T-DXd 5.4 mg/kg

T-DXd 6.4 mg/kg

Responses observed independent of prior tx type, no. of prior lines of tx, or presence of baseline CNS disease

DESTINY-Lung02 Final Analysis: Safety Summary



Safety, %	T-DXd 5.4 mg/kg (n = 102)	T-DXd 6.4 mg/kg (n = 50)
Common drug-related TEAEs Nausea Neutropenia Fatigue Decreased appetite	65.3 42.6 37.6 	78.0 56.0 46.0 46.0
Grade ≥3 hematologic events Neutropenia Anemia Thrombocytopenia Leukopenia	18.8 11.9 5.9 5.9	38.0 16.0 14.0 16.0

Sacituzumab govitecan

Phase 3 EVOKE-01 Trial – did not meet OS endpoint but potential signal observed in IO nonresponsive (SD/PD) subset

Docetaxel

(n = 304)

36.72

(30.88 - 42.57)

0.0534







Paz-Ares et al. ASCO 2024

Sacituzumab Govitecan Versus Docetaxel for Previously Treated Advanced or Metastatic Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer: The Randomized, Open-Label Phase III EVOKE-01 Study

В	o	s		
	Median, Mor	nths (95% CI)		
Subgroup	SG	Docetaxel	1 – h	HR (95% CI)
Overall (N = 603)	11.1 (9.4 to 12.3)	9.8 (8.1 to 10.6)		0.84 (0.68 to 1.04)
Histology*				
Squamous (n = 164)	10.2 (8.1 to 12.7)	9.2 (6.9 to 11.0)	H	0.83 (0.56 to 1.22)
Nonsquamous (n = 439)	11.3 (9.4 to 12.6)	9.9 (7.8 to 10.8)	⊢ = ∔1	0.87 (0.68 to 1.11)
Response to last anti-PD-(L)1-containing regimen*				
Nonresponder (PD/SD) (n = 383)	11.8 (9.6 to 12.5)	8.3 (7.0 to 10.6)	⊢ ∎–-{	0.75 (0.58 to 0.97)
Responder (CR/PR) (n = 219)	9.6 (8.1 to 14.4)	10.6 (8.9 to 12.8)	⊢ <u>+</u> =(1.09 (0.76 to 1.56)
Received previous therapy for actionable genomic a	alteration"		1	
No (n = 559)	11.0 (9.2 to 12.3)	9.9 (8.1 to 10.7)	⊢ ∎ ∔∣	0.89 (0.72 to 1.11)
Yes (n = 44)	NR (7.2 to NR)	7.0 (5.2 to 11.6)	⊢	0.52 (0.22 to 1.23)
Geographic region				
United States (n = 60)	12.2 (7.9 to NR)	7.4 (5.1 to 14.2)	⊢ −− ∓→	0.68 (0.36 to 1.27)
Canada/western EU/Australia (n = 455)	10.8 (8.9 to 12.3)	9.8 (7.9 to 10.6)	<u>⊢∎</u> ‡1	0.87 (0.69 to 1.10)
Rest of the world (n = 88)	10.2 (7.8 to NR)	12.8 (6.4 to NR)		0.98 (0.53 to 1.83)
Age group, years				
<65 (n = 297)	12.1 (9.7 to 14.7)	10.1 (7.3 to 10.8)	⊢ ∎∔1	0.80 (0.59 to 1.08)
≥65 (n = 306)	9.9 (8.4 to 12.2)	9.6 (7.6 to 11.2)		0.90 (0.68 to 1.20)
Race				
White (n = 445)	10.7 (8.9 to 12.2)	8.9 (7.3 to 10.6)	⊢ ∎+1	0.87 (0.68 to 1.10)
Non-White (n = 65)	12.9 (12.9 to NR)	11.2 (9.3 to 15.3)		0.41 (0.15 to 1.13)
Baseline ECOG status				
0 (n = 190)	12.9 (10.0 to NR)	13.6 (10.4 to NR)		1.06 (0.70 to 1.60)
1 (n = 410)	9.6 (8.1 to 11.9)	7.6 (6.8 to 9.8)	<u> </u>	0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)
Sex		110 (010 10 010)		
Male (n = 410)	9.5 (8.1 to 12.3)	85 (73 to 102)		0.89 (0.70 to 1.14)
Female (n = 193)	12.1 (10.6 to 16.0)	10.6 (8.2 to 13.9)		0.85 (0.58 to 1.26)
remain (n = 196)	12.1 (10.0 10 10.0)	10.0 (0.2 10 10.0)		0.00 (0.00 10 1.20)
		0.405		
		0.125	0.25 0.5 1 2	4 8
Day Area ICO 2024			CC Detter Deseteur	Dattar
Paz-Ales JCU ZUZ4			20 Retter Docetaxe	Better

Sacituzumab govitecan

- Great DAR of 7
- Soluble payload
- Prior safety in breast cancer
- Target?

Overall BEP: Efficacy by TROP2 QCS-NMR Status

TROP2 QCS-NMR positivity is predictive for longer PFS with Dato-DXd in the biomarker-evaluable population



TROPION-Lung01 Study of Dato-DXd vs Docetaxel in Pretreated mNSCLC

Randomized, Phase 3, Open-Label, Global Study (NCT04656652)

Key eligibility criteria

 NSCLC (stage IIIB, IIIC, or IV) Dual primary endpoints ECOG PS of 0–1 Dato-DXd PFS by BICR^a No prior docetaxel 6 mg/kg Q3W OS Without actionable genomic alterations (N=299) 1:1 One to two prior lines, including platinum-based CT and anti-PD-(L)1 mAb therapy Secondary endpoints With actionable genomic alterations Docetaxel Positive for EGFR, ALK, NTRK, BRAF, ROS1, MET ORR^a 75 mg/m² Q3W exon 14 skipping, or RET DOR^a One to two prior approved targeted therapies + (N=305) Safety and tolerability platinum-based CT, and ≤1 anti–PD-(L)1 mAb

Stratified by histology (nonsquamous vs squamous), actionable genomic alteration status,^b anti–PD-(L)1 mAb included in most recent prior therapy, and geography^c

Statistical considerations: Study deemed positive if either of the dual primary endpoints (PFS by BICR or OS) were statistically significant; the pre-specified P-value boundary for the OS analysis was α =0.045

Sands et al WCLC 2024

TROPION LUNG01 - Overall Survival by Histology



- In patients with NSQ histology, 16% risk reduction for death and 2.3-month improvement in median OS with Dato-DXd
- OS improvements in the NSQ subset were seen regardless of actionable genomic alteration status^a:
 - Present: 15.6 vs 9.8 months (HR [95% CI], 0.65 [0.40–1.08]); Absent: 13.6 vs 12.3 months (HR [95% CI], 0.89 [0.70–1.13])

Sands et al WCLC 2024

Phase 3 TROPION-Lung01 Study of Dato-DXd vs Docetaxel in Pretreated mNSCLC ± AGAs: Safety and Tolerability

	Dato-DXd	l (N=297)	Docetaxel (N=290)		
TRAES," II (%)	Any grade	Grade ≥3	Any grade	Grade ≥3	
Stomatitis	141 (47) ^b	20 (7)	45 (16)	3 (1)	
Nausea	101 (34)	7 (2)	48 (17)	3 (1)	
Alopecia	95 (32)	0	101 (35)	1 (<1)°	
Decreased appetite	68 (23)	1 (<1)	46 (16)	1 (<1)	
Asthenia	56 (19)	8 (3)	56 (19)	5 (2)	
Anemia ^d	44 (15)	12 <mark>(</mark> 4)	60 (21)	12 (4)	
Diarrhea	30 (10)	1 (<1)	55 (19)	4 (1)	
Neutropeniae	14 (5)	2 (1)	76 (26)	68 (23)	
Leukopenia ^f	9 (3)	0	45 (16)	38 (13)	
Adjudicated drug-related ILD or pneumonitis	26 (9) ^g	11 (4)	12 (4)	4 (1)	

- Stomatitis events, the most common TRAE with Dato-DXd, were primarily grade 1 (23%) or grade 2 (18%)
- Hematologic toxicities, including neutropenia and febrile neutropenia^h, were more common with docetaxel
- No new adjudicated drug-related ILD events or deaths occurred since the PFS database lock
- Similar safety profiles were seen for the full safety analysis set and the NSQ subgroup

TROPION-Lung01 Brain Mets: Best Change in Sum of Diameters From Baseline





Patients with NSQ histology. Data cutoff: March 29, 2023.

BL, baseline; Dato-DXd, datopotamab deruxtecan; mets, metastases; SOD, sum of diameters.

Pons-Tostivint E, et al. Presented at: ESMO Congress 2024; September 13-17, 2024; Barcelona, Spain. Poster #1312P.

TROPION-Lung01 Brain Mets: Systemic PFS by Brain Mets Status at Baseline

Without BL brain mets

With BL brain mets



Patients with NSQ histology. Data cutoff: March 29, 2023.

BL, baseline; CI, confidence interval; Dato-DXd, datopotamab deruxtecan; HR, hazard ratio; mets, metastases, mo, months; no, number; NSQ, nonsquamous; PFS, progression-free survival. Pons-Tostivint E, et al. Presented at: ESMO Congress 2024; September 13-17, 2024; Barcelona, Spain. Poster #1312P.

TROPION-Lung05: Datopotamab deruxtecan (Dato-DXd) in previously treated non-small cell lung cancer with actionable genomic alterations

Best Percent Change From Baseline in Sum of Diameters of Target Lesions



Percent Change From Baseline in Sum of Diameters of Target Lesions in Patients With Confirmed CR/PR^c



Relative Frequency of Genomic Alterations^{b-d}



BOR: In the overall population (N=137), 4 patients (3%) achieved a CR and 45 (33%) achieved a PR

EGFR subset: Among patients with sensitizing or T790M mutations (N=68), the ORR was 49.1% in those previously treated with osimertinib

Phase 3 TROPION-Lung01 Study of Dato-DXd vs Docetaxel in Pretreated mNSCLC ± AGAs: Efficacy Outcomes from Interim Analysis



Response	Dato-DXd (n=299)	Docetaxel (n=305)
ORR, % (95% CI)	26.4 (21.5-31.8)	12.8 (9.3-17.1)
Median DOR, months (95% CI)	7.1 (5.6-10.9)	5.6 (5.4-8.1)
Median follow-up, months	13.1	13.0

Lisberg AE, et al. ESMO 2023. Abstract LBA12.

PFS in Key Subgroups

		Dato-DX	d Docetaxel							
e at randomization	n <65 years	118/162	115/155							0.
	≥65 years	95/137	103/150		F	-				0
x	Male	136/183	158/210		F	•				0
	Female	77/116	60/95			•				0
ce	Asian	76/119	82/120		-	•	-			0
	Non-Asian	131/172	129/177		-					0
oking status	Never	36/61	33/52							0
	Former/current	t 177/238	184/251		E F					0
in metastasis at	With	33/50	31/47			i	-			0
seline	Without	180/249	187/258		H					0
4 - 1	Non-squamous	156/229	168/232							0
tology	Squamous	57/70	50/73			H		•		1
tionable genomic	Absent	189/252	184/255							0
-		24/47	34/50	E						0
erations ^a	Present	2.011								
erations ^a		1		0	0.5	••••	1 Hazard	1.5 ratio	2	•••
erations ^a)		0	0.5 Median	(95% CI),	Hazard Dato-E	1.5 ratio DXd	2 Docetaxe	:1
nterim (Present)		0	0.5 Median months	(95% CI),	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8)	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12	el 2.5)
nterim (Present)		0	0.5 Median months	(95% CI), R	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13)	el 2.5)
erations ⁰	Present			0	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R	Hazard	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13)	el 2.5)
**************************************	Present		No. of Concession, Name	0	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13) Iformation fract	
* filling * filling 80 - * filling 80 - 80 - * filling 80 - * fill				0	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13) Information fract at interim analys	2.5)
*Auritions ³	Present			0	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13)	tion sis
No. at risk	Present)	10 12 e randomization, m	0 0 14 0	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R 8 8 20	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13) formation fract at interim analys events/total ever required): 74 %	ion sis tions
erations ^a nterim 100 100 80 40 20 40 20 + Cer 0 No. at risk Dato-DXd 299	sored	6 8 Time sinc 201 166	10 12 erandomization, m 21 85	0 14 onths 56	0.5 Median months H	(95% CI), R 20 5	1 Hazard Dato-E 12.4 (10.8	1.5 ratio DXd 3-14.8) 0.90 (0.7	2 Docetaxe 11.0 (9.8-12 72-1.13) formation fract at interim analys events/total eve required): 74 %	tion sis sis to.

The Future

- Better Selection of Clinical Scenario
- Membrane permeable payloads
- High DAR

HER3 Expression Increases With Acquired EGFR TKI Resistance



Patritumab Deruxtecan

- HER3-DXd is an ADC composed of 3 parts¹⁻⁴:
 - A fully human anti-HER3 IgG1 mAb (patritumab)
 - A topoisomerase I inhibitor payload (an exatecan derivative, DXd)
 - A tetrapeptide-based cleavable linker that covalently bonds the other 2 components



7 Key Attributes of H	ER3-DXd
-----------------------	---------

t	Payload mechanism of action: topoisomerase I inhibitor ^{1-4,a}
	High potency of payload ^{1-4,a}
I	High drug-to-antibody ratio ≈8 ^{1,2,a}
	Payload with short systemic half-life ^{2,3,a,b}
ę	Stable linker-payload ^{2-4,a}
	Tumor-selective cleavable linker ^{1-5,a}
	Bystander antitumor effect ^{2,6,a}

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; HER, human epidermal growth factor receptor; IgG1, immunoglobulin G1; mAb, monoclonal antibody.

^a The clinical relevance of these features is under investigation. ^b Based on animal data.

1. Hashimoto Y, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25:7151-7161. 2. Nakada T, et al. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo). 2019;67(3):173-185. 3. Ogitani Y, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22(20):5097-5108.

4. Koganemaru S, et al. Mol Cancer Ther. 2019;18:2043-2050. 5. Haratani K, et al. J Clin Invest. 2020;130(1):374-388. 6. Ogitani Y, et al. Cancer Sci. 2016;107(7):1039-1046.

Patritumab Deruxtecan in EGFRm NSCLC



Telisotuzumab vedotin (Teliso-V):

Promising efficacy of Teliso-V + osimertinib after osimertinib failure in EGFRm NSCLC with c-MET overexpression



Confirmed PR (n=20) SD (n=11) PD (n=6)

Thank you!

