Masters in Thoracic Oncology Summit November 22, 2024

Which patients need adjuvant therapy?

Karen Reckamp, MD, MS Professor of Medicine Division Director, Medical Oncology Cedars-Sinai Los Angeles, CA



Dr. Karen Reckamp receives or has received research funding to the institution from Genentech; Blueprint; Daiichi Sankyo; Elevation Oncology; Janssen; serves or has served as a consultant to Amgen, AstraZeneca, Blueprint, Daiichi Sankyo, EMD Soreno, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis.

2

Relevance of Adjuvant therapy in 2024—5 Ps

- Possibility of cure—the perception that resection will lead to cure is strong and 15-20% who receive neoadjuvant therapy may not have surgery
- Preoperative staging—patients can be upstaged at surgery
- Patient selection patients with oncogenic driver alterations may derive more benefit from adjuvant therapy
- Prolonged therapy—short duration of neoadjuvant immunotherapy may not be sufficient for long-term benefits in most patients
- Patterns of referral—only patients referred prior to surgery will receive perioperative therapy

Possibility of cure

Adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage NSCLC

High recurrence rates and poor survival in patients undergoing potentially curative resections for early-stage NSCLC.

Absolute improvement in survival with adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy of 5.4% at 5 years



LACE Meta-Analysis: OS by Stage and Type of Surgery



Impact of Adjuvant Therapy in Early-Stage NSCLC **Depends on Stage**

Retrospective analysis of estimated absolute risk/benefit for 100 patients treated with surgery and adjuvant CT based on reported, stage-specific 5-yr OS rates in the control arms of each clinical trial



5-Yr OS

*Trials that only included stage IB; ALPI and IALT included both IA and IB.

CM 816—Definitive surgery

Surgery Summary: By Baseline Stage of Disease



a1 patient with stage IV in each arm; ^bPatients with definitive surgery not reported: NIVO + chemo, 3% (stage IB/II), 0 (stage IIA); chemo, 5% (stage IB/II), 3% (stage IIA); ^cOther reasons included patient refusal, unresectability, and poor lung function; ^dPatients (n) with reported duration of surgery: NIVO + chemo, 46 (stage IB/II), 76 (stage IIIA); chemo, 47 (stage IB/II), 74 (stage IIIA); IQR for median duration of surgery: NIVO + chemo, 126.0-275.0 (stage IB/II) and 134.5-245.5 (stage IIIA); chemo, 150.0-267.0 (stage IIA); IQR for median duration of surgery: NIVO + chemo, 126.0-275.0 (stage IB/II) and 134.5-245.5 (stage IIIA); chemo, 150.0-267.0 (stage IIIA); IQR for median duration of surgery: NIVO + chemo, 126.0-275.0 (stage IB/II) and 134.5-245.5 (stage IIIA); chemo, 150.0-267.0 (stage IIA); IQR for median duration of surgery: NIVO + chemo, 126.0-275.0 (stage IIA).

Forde PM et al. N Engl J Med 2022

NO surgery following neoadjuvant therapy

TRIAL	STAGES	% completing surgery
CM816	6% IB, 31% II, 63% III	84%
AEGEAN	29% II, 71% III	78%
NEOTORCH	Only III presented	82%
KN671	30% II, 70% III	82%
СМ77Т	35% II, 65% III	78%
RATIONALE-315	41% II, 58% III	84%

16-22% NO surgery

Preoperative staging

Case: Early-Stage NSCLC

- 64 yo female presented with chest discomfort and cardiac work up was negative.
- CT chest
 - 1.3 cm left upper lobe nodule
 - No abnormal lymphadenopathy
- CT A/P without evidence of disease
- Bronchoscopy with FNA of the left upper lobe nodule
 - Non-small cell carcinoma, consistent with adenocarcinoma
- PET/CT with uptake in the left upper lobe
- MRI brain was normal
- Preoperative clinical staging: cT1bN0M0—Stage IA2





Early-Stage NSCLC

- Robotic VATS left upper lobectomy and lymph node dissection
- Pathology showed poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, 1cm
 - Focal pleural invasion
 - 1 level 11LN involved
- PD-L1: 10%
- ALK fusion positive
- Pathologic stage: pT2aN1M0, stage IIB

Pre-operative staging for NSCLC

- Nodal upstaging occurs in 10-25%
- 30-55% will develop recurrence and die despite resection



Patient selection

ALINA: Adjuvant Alectinib for Early-Stage ALK Fusion-Positive NSCLC

International, randomized, open-label phase III trial



- Primary endpoint: DFS per investigator (hierarchical: stage II-IIIA; then stage IB-IIIA [ITT population])
- Secondary endpoints: CNS DFS, OS, safety

ALINA: Disease-Free Survival (Primary Endpoint)

Patients with Stage II to IIIA Disease

Overall Patient Population



 DFS benefit with alectinib vs chemotherapy observed across all subgroups of the ITT population, including age, sex, race, baseline ECOG PS, tobacco use history, tumor stage, and regional LN status

ALINA: CNS Disease-free Survival



Wu. NEJM. 2024;390:1265.

ALINA: Safety

Safety Outcome	Alectinib (n = 128)	CT (n = 120)
Median treatment duration, mo	23.9	2.1
Any AE, %	98.4	93.3
Any grade 3/4 AE, %	29.7	30.8
Death due to AE, %	0	0
Serious AE, % Related to treatment 	13.3 1.6	8.3 6.7
AEs leading to dose reduction, %	25.8	10.0
AEs leading to dose interruption, %	27.3	18.3
AEs leading to discontinuation, %	5.5	12.5

- Most frequent AEs:
 - Alectinib: increased CPK, constipation, increased AST, increased ALT, increased bilirubin
 - Chemotherapy: nausea, constipation, decreased appetite, anemia, vomiting
- No grade 5 AEs in either arm
- At data cutoff, 20.3% of patients in alectinib arm remain on treatment

ADAURA: Adjuvant Osimertinib for Early-Stage EGFR-Mutated NSCLC

International, randomized, double-blind phase III trial (data cutoff for final OS analysis: 1/27/2023)



- **Primary endpoint:** investigator-assessed DFS in patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLC
- Key secondary endpoints: DFS in overall population; landmark DFS rates at Yr 2, 3, and 5; OS; HRQoL; safety
- **Exploratory endpoints:** patterns of recurrence; CNS DFS

ADAURA: Disease-free Survival in Patients With Stage IB-IIIA NSCLC



 FDA approved in December 2020 for adjuvant treatment of adults with stage IB-IIIA EGFR+ (del19 or L585R) NSCLC following tumor resection ± adjuvant chemotherapy

ADAURA: Overall Survival in Patients With Stage II-IIIA NSCLC

Median follow-up for OS: 61.5 mo



ADAURA: Detected MRD at baseline was associated with poor outcomes



- Of 18 patients with detected MRD at baseline
 - 4 / 5 patients receiving osimertinib cleared MRD
 - 0 / 13 patients receiving placebo cleared MRD



CNS only DFS event. †Patients received placebo for up to 36 months

CNS, central nervous system; DFS, disease-free survival; MRD, molecular residual disease; VAF, variant allele frequency

John et al. ASCO24 #8005

ADAURA: Safety Summary

AEs by Final DFS Analysis, n (%)	Osimertinib (n = 337)	Placebo (n = 343)	
Any cause	330 (98)	309 (90)	
■ Grade ≥3	79 (23)	48 (14)	
Leading to death	1 (<1)	2 (1)	
Serious	68 (20)	47 (14)	
Leading to d/c	43 (13)	9 (3)	
Leading to dose reduction	42 (12)	3 (1)	
Leading to dose interruption	91 (27)	43 (13)	
Possibly causally related*			
Any	308 (91)	199 (58)	
■ Grade ≥3	36 (11)	7 (2)	
Leading to death	0	0	
Serious	10 (3)	2 (1)	
Leading to d/c	35 (10)	5 (1)	

*Assessed by investigator.

- All patients had completed or discontinued study treatment at final DFS analysis (data cutoff: 4/11/2022)
- Safety profile was consistent with that seen in primary analysis
- Patients with AEs occurring >28 days after treatment discontinuation (n = 15) at OS data cutoff (1/27/2023)
 - Osimertinib arm (n = 10)
 - Placebo arm (n = 5)
- At OS data cutoff, 1 additional serious AE was reported (COVID-19 pneumonia)
 - Occurred >28 days after treatment discontinuation; deemed unrelated to treatment, and patient made full recovery

Prolonged therapy

Current state for immunotherapy in early-stage NSCLC





Pathologic response assessment and decision point for adjuvant therapy

Phase 3 KEYNOTE-671: Overall Survival



OS (Median follow-up: 36.6 months (range, 18.8-62.0)

OS in Subgroups

Subgroup	Events/p Pembro Arm	articipants Placebo Arm			Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Subgroup	Events/pa Pembro Arm	articipants Placebo Arm		Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Overall	110/397	144/400		+	0.72 (0.56-0.93)	Overall	110/397	144/400	+	0.72 (0.56-0.93)
Age						Clinical stage	e			
<65 y	54/221	82/214		+	0.57 (0.40-0.80)	II	26/118	39/121	-+	0.67 (0.41-1.10)
≥65 y	56/176	62/186		-	0.96 (0.67-1.38)	IIIA	62/217	79/224	-+	0.74 (0.53-1.03)
Sex						IIIB	22/62	26/55	-+	0.69 (0.39-1.22)
Female	21/118	30/116		-+	0.69 (0.39-1.20)	N status				
Male	89/279	114/284		+	0.73 (0.55-0.96)	cN0	40/148	52/142	-+	0.70 (0.46-1.06)
Race						cN1	21/81	24/71	-+	0.74 (0.41-1.33)
White	73/250	97/239		+	0.66 (0.49-0.90)	cN2	49/168	68/187	-+	0.74 (0.52-1.07)
All others	34/134	39/145			0.93 (0.59-1.48)	PD-L1 TPS				
Geographic regi	ion					≥50%	23/132	39/134		0.55 (0.33-0.92)
East Asia	32/123	30/121			- 1.05 (0.64-1.73)	1-49%	35/127	44/115	-+	0.69 (0.44-1.07)
Not east Asia	78/274	114/279		+	0.63 (0.48-0.85)	<1%	52/138	61/151	-	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Smoking status						EGFR mutat	ion			
Current	31/96	48/103		-+-	0.59 (0.38-0.93)	No	20/111	33/124	-+	0.64 (0.37-1.11)
Former	69/247	87/250		+	0.76 (0.56-1.05)	Yes	1/14	5/19	+	0.24 (0.03-2.03)
Never	10/54	9/47			1.00 (0.41-2.46)	Unknown	89/272	106/257	-+	0.75 (0.56-0.99)
Histology						ALK transloo	ation			
Nonsquamous	49/226	64/227		+	0.73 (0.50-1.06)	No	22/104	38/132	-+	0.70 (0.41-1.18)
Squamous	61/171	80/173		+	0.71 (0.51-0.99)	Unknown	87/281	105/259	+	0.72 (0.54-0.96)
		0.01	0.05	0.2 0.5 Pembro	2 3 Placebo			0.01	0.05 0.2 0.5 Pembro	1 2 3 Placebo
				Arm Better	Arm Better				Arm Better	Arm Better

Patterns of referral

Patterns of referral

- Practice patterns for patients with stage I-III NSCLC still suggest most patients do not receive neoadjuvant (or adjuvant therapy)
- Systemic review included
 20 studies across North
 America, Europe and Asia

Table 3. Proportion of patients by treatment modality (with timing) in resected stages I-III non-small-cell lung cancer. (Table view) Study (year) Patients, n (%) Study period Country Total (n) S(±RT) Neo-CT/CRT Adj-CT/CRT % % % n n n Stage I Arnold (2016) 2003-2009 3581 83.4 2.5‡ USA 4293 108 604 14.1[‡] 81.0 \$ 1540 284.1 Rajaram (2016) 2002-2011 55.016 44,563 USA 8913 16.2‡ Stage II Arnold (2016) USA 2003-2009 5407 2737 50 6[†] 766 14.2[‡] 1904 35 2 Moore (2020) 2005-2012 245 45.7 \$ 7 29\$ Canada 112 126 51.4‡ Stage III 37.0‡ 2003-2009 1909 34 4 2.053 1585 28.6‡ Arnold (2016) USA 5547 Moore (2019) 2005-2012 21.8# 59 44.4# 45 33.8# 133 29 Canada 13.6‡,†† Vinod (2012) 2000-2007 250 148 59.2§ 34 27.2‡,†† Canada 68

Patients Who Need Adjuvant therapy in 2024

- Possibility of cure—some may need to go directly to surgery for risk of not undergoing resection
- Preoperative staging—some will appear to have stage I NSCLC with upstaging at surgery
- Patient selection patients with oncogenic driver alterations may derive more benefit from adjuvant therapy
- Prolonged therapy—longer definitive therapy in the adjuvant setting may lead to greater duration of benefit for many patient both with immunotherapy and targeted treatments
- Patterns of referral—patterns of care show that many will not be evaluated for neoadjuvant therapy

Thank you!