## Precision Oncology and Landmark Advances in GU Malignancies

Walter Stadler, MD, FACP

University of Chicago Medicine

Fred C. Buffett Professor of Medicine

Assoc. Dean for Clin. Science Res., Clinical Trials

Senior Advisor, Director Comprehensive Cancer Center





20th Annual California Cancer Consortium Conference, 8/24/24

### GU Cancer Therapy: 1994

#### **Standard of Care**

#### Prostate Cancer

- "Hormone sensitive" vs "hormone refractory"
- Medical oncologist as bone pain doctor
- LHRH agonists rather than orchiectomy

#### Renal Cancer

- "Hypernephroma" as one disease
- Very few academic oncologists focused on this disease
- High dose IL2/(Interferon-α)

#### Bladder Cancer

- A "chemotherapy sensitive" disease
- MVAC (1980's)

#### Data in Context





#### **Recognition of Genomic Predisposition**



- Fraction of patients getting germline testing: <20% ٠ (of those meeting guidelines)
- Not enough genetic counselors

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Treating MD's will have to take testing responsibility ۲

#### Prostate Cancer: 11%



3

#### Any NGS Test in Metastatic Prostate & Bladder Cancer



| A Metastatic prostate cancer            |                    |                     |                     |         | B Advanced urothelial carcinoma         |                    |                     |                     |         |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Variable                                | HR (95% CI)        | Less NGS<br>testing | More NGS<br>testing | P value | Variable                                | HR (95% CI)        | Less NGS<br>testing | More NGS<br>testing | P value |
| Socioeconomic status                    |                    |                     |                     |         | Socioeconomic status                    |                    |                     |                     |         |
| 5 (Highest)                             | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         | 5 (Highest)                             | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         |
| 4                                       | 0.93 (0.84-1.03)   | -                   | -                   | .14     | 4                                       | 0.95 (0.84-1.07)   |                     |                     | .40     |
| 3                                       | 0.90 (0.82-1.00)   | -                   |                     | .050    | 3                                       | 0.97 (0.86-1.11)   |                     |                     | .69     |
| 2                                       | 0.89 (0.80-0.99)   | +                   |                     | .03     | 2                                       | 0.87 (0.76-1.00)   |                     |                     | .049    |
| 1 (Lowest)                              | 0.74 (0.66-0.83)   |                     |                     | <.001   | 1 (Lowest)                              | 0.77 (0.66-0.89)   |                     |                     | <.001   |
| Race and ethnicity                      |                    |                     |                     |         | Race and ethnicity                      |                    |                     |                     |         |
| White                                   | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         | White                                   | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         |
| Asian                                   | 0.84 (0.63-1.11)   |                     | —                   | .22     | Asian                                   | 1.06 (0.75-1.50)   |                     | -8                  | .73     |
| Black                                   | 0.75 (0.67-0.84)   | +                   |                     | <.001   | Black                                   | 0.76 (0.61-0.96)   |                     |                     | .02     |
| Hispanic or Latino                      | 0.70 (0.60-0.82)   | -1-                 |                     | <.001   | Hispanic or Latino                      | 0.88 (0.70-1.10)   |                     |                     | .26     |
| Other                                   | 0.97 (0.88-1.07)   | -                   | -                   | .54     | Other                                   | 1.08 (0.96-1.22)   | -                   |                     | .18     |
| Region                                  |                    |                     |                     |         | Region                                  |                    |                     |                     |         |
| Midwest                                 | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         | Midwest                                 | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         |
| Northeast                               | 1.02 (0.90-1.17)   | -                   | -                   | .73     | Northeast                               | 1.18 (0.99-1.41)   |                     | -                   | .07     |
| South                                   | 1.05 (0.94-1.18)   | -                   | -                   | .37     | South                                   | 1.29 (1.12-1.49)   |                     |                     | - <.001 |
| West                                    | 0.81 (0.70-0.94)   | -8-                 |                     | .005    | West                                    | 1.06 (0.88-1.28)   |                     | -                   | .55     |
| Insurance                               |                    |                     |                     |         | Insurance                               |                    |                     |                     |         |
| Commercial                              | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         | Commercial                              | 1 [Reference]      |                     |                     |         |
| Medicare or other<br>government prograr | n 0.89 (0.82-0.98) | -                   |                     | .01     | Medicare or other<br>government program | n 0.88 (0.78-0.99) |                     | -<br>-<br>          | .03     |
| Medicaid                                | 0.53 (0.38-0.74)   |                     |                     | <.001   | Medicaid                                | 0.72 (0.53-0.97)   |                     |                     | .03     |
| Other                                   | 1.10 (0.97-1.25)   |                     | -                   | .13     | Other                                   | 1.06 (0.91-1.23)   | _                   | -                   | .47     |
|   | Ó                  | 0.5 1               | .0 1.5              | 2.0     |   | 0                  | .5 1                | .0                  | 1.5     |
|   |                    | HR (9               | 5% CI)              |         |   |                    | HR (9               | 5% CI)              |         |

- Primary tumor
- Biopsy of metastatic site
- CTC
- ctDNA

- Primary tumor
  - Differences between biopsy & surgical specimen
  - Genomic evolution over time
  - Age of specimen
  - Location of specimen

- Biopsy of metastatic site
  - Bone biopsy successful in ~70% (experienced hands)
  - Bone biopsy decalcification affects NGS
  - Sampling error, intra-patient heterogeneity

#### CTC

- Multiple platforms
  - Epic Biosciences
  - Cell Search
  - Various other technologies
- CTC incidence low in early stage disease
- Sample sufficiency for sequencing
- Falling out of favor due to logistical difficulties

- ctDNA
  - Rapidly emerging and changing technology
  - Distinguishing clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP)
  - ctDNA incidence low in early stage disease
  - Sample sufficiency for sequencing

### University of Chicago and Targeted Therapy

#### Charles B. Huggins Facts

#### Studies on Prostatic Cancer

I. The Effect of Castration, of Estrogen and of Androgen Injection on Serum Phosphatases in Metastatic Carcinoma of the Prostate\*

Charles Huggins, M.D., and Clarence V. Hodges, M.D.

(From the Department of Surgery, the University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois) (Received for publication March 22, 1941)



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive.

Charles Brenton Huggins The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1966

Born: 22 September 1901, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

Died: 12 January 1997, Chicago, IL, USA

Affiliation at the time of the award: University of Chicago, Ben May Laboratory for Cancer Research, Chicago, IL, USA

Prize motivation: "for his discoveries concerning hormonal treatment of prostatic cancer"

Prize share: 1/2

#### The Prostatologist's Endocrine Axis



### AR Targeted Therapy Toxicity

#### • Financial:

- Orchiectomy vs chemical castration
- Brand name vs generic vs pharmacology guided dosing
- Fatigue
- Neuropsychiatric
  - Depression vs neurocognitive vs fatigue/activation
  - Rare seizures (enzalutamide, apalutamide)
  - Benefit with low-brain penetration? (darolutomide)

- Libido/sexual function (we are ignoring the partner)
- Osteopenia/Osteoporosis
  - $_{\circ}$  ~ Role of exercise, calcium, Vitamin D
  - Role for denosumab, zoledronate
- Sarcopenia
  - Part of fatigue syndrome
  - Role of exercise
- Frailty
- Metabolic syndrome
  - Diabetes
  - o Hyperlipidemia

#### Phase 3 Trials in Metastatic "Castrate Sensitive" PCa

| Deeete      | volve 2nd generati                         | on AD nothway                        | v inhihitor?   |                                      |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| TRIAL       | ocetaxel only for high                     | Decetaxel                            | nets/visceral disea  | sOS                                  |
|             | ased on ARASENS                            | spould not be u                      | SAR WOOLAR BS 0.001  | HR = 0.66; p < 0.0001                |
| TITAN Which | AR pathway inhibi                          | 11%                                  | HR = 0.48; p < 0.001   | HR = 0.65; p < 0.0001                |
| ARCHES O    | utcomzeal detarniere :                     | sir <b>h8</b> 8%                     | HR = 0.39; p < 0.001   | HR = 0.66; p < 0.0001                |
|             | biraterone + AR anta<br>ifferencescange ex | agonist offers no<br>plained by stuc | o advantage<br>HR = 0.29, p < 0.001<br>ly design and enrollr | HR = 0.63; p < 0.0001<br>nent period |
| ARASENS D   | ardutamida xsianza                         | alutnide study in                    | n <b>progicess</b> , p < 0.001                               | HR = 0.68; p < 0.001                 |
| CHAAR LEIA  | data for biochemi<br>NONE<br>ow define?    | <b>cal recurrence</b>                | /nen-metastatic dis  | <b>Sease</b> 0.72; p = .0018         |
| • W         | ho should get only                         | ADT?                                 |  |                                      |



### Lu-PSMA Therapy

- Eligibility
  - PSMA-positivity with <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11
  - Prior ARSI and at least 1 taxane (only 40% with 2 taxanes)
- Treatment
  - Up to 6 doses, every 4 weeks
  - Control = no taxane
- Issues
  - Coordination with Nuclear Medicine
  - Supply chain
  - Other PSMA targeted therapies emerging



### PARPi Therapy: The Rucaparib Example





- Journal of Clinical Oncology 2020 2020 Aug 14: JCO2001035
- Clin Cancer Res 2020 Jun 1;26(11):2487-2496.

#### **Prostate Cancer Conclusions**

- Prostate cancer is an androgen receptor driven cancer and AR directed therapies will remain key
  - Combination and rogen ablation and an ARSI is standard for most castrate sensitive patients
  - No differences between methods to suppress testicular androgens & orchiectomy is cheapest
  - No significant difference between androgen synthesis inhibitor (abiraterone) & potent AR antagonists (enzalutamide, apalutamide, darolutamide)
- Hormonal therapy toxicity
  - Not significantly different between ADT and combination therapy (in good prognosis patients)
  - Short term LHRH agonist may not be so short
  - Needs better management
- The term "CRPC" needs to be retired
- "M0" is confusing and depends on imaging modality
- Taxanes play a role
  - No significant differences between docetaxel & cabazitaxel
  - Docetaxel is cheaper and cabazitaxel has a role post docetaxel
- Lu-PSMA now standard, but relative timing versus taxanes unclear
- PARPi plays a role, but mainly for BRCA2 mutations
- Other molecular targets and immunotherapy remain a promising tease

#### Bladder Cancer since 1994

- Treatment of high grade non-muscle invasive disease is undergoing a revolution
  - But mostly the purview of urologists
  - Will not be covered here
- For muscle invasive disease
  - Both chemo/RT and surgery are appropriate
  - Neoadjuvant CDDP based chemo remains the standard (for now)
  - In the absence of neo-adjuvant therapy, adjuvant pembrolizumab is the standard (for now)
- For metastatic disease
  - MVAC is (almost) dead
  - Enfortumab/pembrolizumab is the new standard of care
  - Gemcitabine/platinum still plays a role
  - Molecular targeted therapies available for FGFR & ERBB2/3 alterations

### Pragmatic Guideline for Metastatic Bladder Cancer



Major emerging issue:

- Multiple agents in same class (PD1i, PARPi, Nectin 4, Trop 2, HER2, etc)
- Extremely expensive meds
- -> How select in absence of definitive comparison data.

#### Somatic Genomic Alterations with Potential Therapies

- FGFR mutations and alterations
  - Erdafitinib (FDA approved)
- ERBB2/3 alterations
  - Trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxki (FDA approved)
  - Afatinib
- BRCA2 alterations
  - PARPi???
- ERCC2 alterations
  - CDDP??

### WHO Renal Cancer Classification

- Clear cell renal cell carcinoma
- Papillary renal cell carcinoma
- Oncocytoma
- Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma
- Collecting duct carcinoma
- Renal medullary carcinoma
- MiT family translocation renal cell carcinomas
- Mucinous tubular and spindle cell carcinoma

- Clear cell papillary renal cell carcinoma
- Succinate dehydrogenase deficient renal cell carcinoma
- Hereditary leiomyomatosis and renal cell carcinoma associated renal cell carcinoma
- Tubulocystic renal cell carcinoma
- Multilocular cystic renal neoplasm of low malignant potential
- Acquired cystic disease-associated renal cell carcinoma
- Renal cell carcinoma, unclassified
- Papillary adenoma

#### Normal Oxygen Clea Hyphoxies al cancer



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

### It's Not Quite That Simple

- VHL targets other proteins than just HIF
- There's more than one prolyl hydroxylase
- HIF is really a complex
  - Stable HIF- $\beta$
  - Labile HIF- $\!\alpha$ 
    - HIF-1 $\alpha$  OR HIF-2 $\alpha$  (HIF-3 $\alpha$ )
    - HIF-1 $\alpha$  acts as tumor suppressor in renal cancer
- Not all clear cell cancers have VHL inactivation

#### **Kinase Interaction Map**





#### Sorafenib

Sunitinib



Karaman, et al Nature Biotech. 26:127, 2008

#### Nivolumab CD3 T-Cell PD1 Occupancy



Ongoing studies evaluating dose and schedule

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

#### JCO 28, no. 19 (July 1 2010)

Time (days)

#### HIF Inhibitor Belzutifan Ph 3 Study

Albiges LS005 ESMO 2023



\* denotes statistical significance. Primary PFS endpoint was met at IA1 and was not formally statistically tested at IA2. Data cutoff date for IA1: November 1, 2022. Data cutoff date for IA2: June 13, 2023.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Albiges LS005 ESMO 2023





Data cutoff date for IA1: November 1, 2022. Data cutoff date for IA2: June 13, 2023

### Non-Clear Cell Renal Cancer

- Typically follow Clear Cell due to lack of definitive data
- "Sarcomatoid": Ipilimumab/Nivolumab
- Medullary: platinum based therapy
- Papillary Type 1: Cabozantinib
- "Papillary Type 2": Pembrolizumab/lenvatinib
- FH germline mutation: Bevacizumab/erlotinib
- Chromophobe: everolimus w/wo lenvatinib
- Translocation associated: Pembrolizumab/lenvatinib

### **RCC Conclusions**

- Nephrectomy in metastatic patients should only be considered in very good risk patients
  - Perioperative therapy is not standard
- Ipilimumab/Nivolumab OR pembro/axitinib OR nivo/cabozantinib OR pembrol/lenvatinib are a first line standard
  - Surveillance, oligometastatic directed therapy, and single agent therapy appropriate for good prognosis pts
  - Sequential use of VEGFRi is appropriate
- Belzutifan is a 2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup> line standard
- mTOR inhibitors have a minimal role
- Multiple combinations are being tested
- Therapy sequencing is very confusing
- Therapy for non-clear cell renal cancer is unclear

### "Precision Oncology" for GU Cancers

- The AR is the target for prostate cancer
  The patient is more than their molecular data
  All refractory prostate cancer patients should get somatic testing
  Medical comorbidities
  "Every" advanced GU cancer patient should get testing for a germline
- predispositionalistates

  - East cheap, available Treatment as well as family implications
  - Exceptions be justified
- Non-clearing street sundlessing of and logation should get somatic testing
  - May not have treatment implications (yet)
     Social determinants of health
- All 2<sup>nd</sup> line urothelial cancer patients should get somatic testing



# The right therapy for the right patient at the right time



Thanks to: My home base colleagues: Peter O'Donnell Randy Sweiss Russ Szmulewitz Akash Patnaik Mohammad Atiq Jonathon Trujillo My nurses and support staff My GU community colleagues My patients

