Comprehensive Cancer Center



Antibody Drug Conjugates : A Revolution in Breast Cancer Treatment for Advanced HER2 Negative Breast CAncer

Hope S. Rugo, MD

Professor of Medicine and Winterhof Family Distinguished Professor of Breast Oncology Director, Breast Oncology and Clinical Trials Education University of California San Francisco Comprehensive Cancer Center

ADCs have different antibodies, linkers and payloads

		ADC Attributes	Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1)	Trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd)	Sacituzumab govitecan (SG)	Datopotamab deruxtecan (Dato-DXd)	MK2870 Sacituzumab Tirumotecan (Sac-TMT)	Patritumab deruxtecan (HER3-DXd)	Disitamab vedotin (RC-48)	ARX788
	body	Target	HER2	HER2	TROP2	TROP2	TROP2	HER3	HER2	HER2
	Anti	Antibody	Trastuzumab	Trastuzumab	hRS7 lgG1k	Datopotamab	hRS7 lgG1	Patritumab	Hertuzumab	Trastuzumab
		DAR	~3.5:1	7–8:1	~7.6:1	~4:1	~7.4:1	~8:1	4:1	2:1
	nker	Linker	Thioether	Tetrapeptide- based	Hydrolysable	Tetrapeptide- based	2- methylsulfonyl pyrimidine	Tetrapeptide- based	Valine- citrulline	Hydroxyl- amine-PEG4
	Ē	Cleavable linker?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Payload	Emtansine	DXd	SN-38	DXd	KL610023 (T030)	DXd	Monomethyl Auristatin E (MMAE)	Amberstatin (MMAF)
Antibody Drug Conjugate	ayload	Payload MoA	Anti- microtubule	Topo1 inhibitor	Topo1 inhibitor	Topo1 inhibitor	Topo1 inhibitor	Topo1 inhibitor	Anti- microtubule	Anti- microtubule
		Membrane permeable?	Low	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

ADC=antibody-drug conjugate; DAR=drug to antibody ratio; Dato-DXd=datopotamab deruxtecan; HER2/3=human epidermal growth factor receptor 2/3; IgG-immunoglobulin; MMAE-Monomethyl Auristatin E; MoA=mechanism of action; SG=sacituzumab govitecan; T-DM1=trastuzumab emtansine; T-DXd=trastuzumab deruxtecan; TROP=trophoblast cell surface antigen.

Destiny-Breast04: Updated Survival Results of T-DXd in HER2-low Metastatic Breast Cancer



At the updated data cutoff (March 1, 2023), median follow-up was 32.0 months (95% Cl, 31.0-32.8 months)

- At the primary analysis (data cutoff, January 11, 2022), median follow-up was 18.4 months
- The primary analysis of PFS was by BICR; this is comparing investigator assessment
- Patient population: Median one line of chemotherapy for MBC, 65-70% prior CDKi, 70% liver mets

Modi et al, NEJM 2022; ESMO 2023



Modi et al, ESMO 2023

Adverse Events

					Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Any Grade
Nausea	73		5 0 24	ILD/pneumonitis (adjudicate	d, drug-related), n	(%)				
Fatiguea	52		8 5 44	T-DXd (n = 371)	13 (3 5)	24 (6 5)	4 (1 1)a	0	4 (1 1)a	45 (12 1)
Transaminases increased ^b	42	2	4 11 40		10 (0.0)	21(0.0)	- (1.1)	0	- ()	10 (12:1)
Alopecia		38	0 33	TPC (n = 172)	1 (0.6)	0	0	0	0	1 (0.6)
Neutropopias		25		Left ventricular dysfunction						
Neutropenia		35	14 42 53	Ejection fraction decrease	d, n (%)					
Anemia		34	9 5 24	T-DXd (n = 371)	2 (0.5)	15 (4.0)	1 (0.3)	0	0	18 (4.9)
Vomiting		34	1 0 10	TPC (n = 172)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decreased appetite		29	2 1 16		Ū	Ū	0	0		0
Thrombooytopenia	T-DXd any grade	25	6 9	Cardiac failure, n (%)						
Thombocytopenia	T-DXd, any grade >3	20		T-DXd (n = 371)	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0	0	2 (0.5)
Leukopenia	TPC grade >3	24	7 19 31	TPC (n = 172)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diarrhea	= TPC, grade 25	22	1 2 18						-	
Constipation	= 1PO, any grade	22	2 0 13	Fo	or T-DXd: 8	.2% disc	ontinued	for ILD/	pneumoi	nitis;

Percent of Patients Experiencing Drug-Related TEAE

itis; 4.6% dose reduced for N/V

	Nausea		Vomiting	
	T-DXd	TPC	T-DXd	TPC
n (%)	n = 371	n = 172	n = 371	n = 172
Dose reduction associated with N/V	17 (4.6)	4 (2.3)	3 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Drug interruption associated with N/V	5 (1.3)	4 (2.3)	0	0
Drug discontinuation associated with N/V	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.3)	0

Three Classes of Anti-Emetic Premedication is Recommended

This can be individualized to patient symptoms



Modi et al, NEJM 2022; ESMO 2023; Rugo et al, ESMO Breast 2023; NCCN 2023



Targeting 'low' and 'ultralow' HER2-expressing tumors in mBC

HER2 IHC categories within HR+, HER2- mBC (per ASCO/CAP guidelines¹)

DESTINY-Breast06 patient population: ~85% of HR+, HER2- mBC HER2-low ~60-65%^{2,3} HER2-ultralow ~20–25%^{2,3}



ASCO/CAP, American Society of Clinical Oncology / College of American Pathologists; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR+, hormone receptor-positive; IHC, immunohistochemistry; ISH, in situ hybridization; mBC, metastatic breast cancer; T-DXd, trastuzumab deruxtecan

Images adapted from Venetis K, et al. Front Mol Biosci. 2022;9:834651. CC BY 4.0; 1. Wolff A, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41:3867–3872; 2. Denkert C, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22:1151–1161; 3. Chen Z, et al. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2023;202:313–323

DESTINY-Breast06: a Phase 3, randomized, multicenter, open-label study (NCT04494425)



Patient population

- ~30% primary endocrine resistance
- ~30% de novo metastatic disease
- 3% bone only disease
- ~66% liver metastases

Curigliano et al, ASCO 2024 LBA

- HER2 ultra-low similar to HER2 low population
- Median 2 prior lines of ET
- 89% prior CDKi (9%<6 mo), ~30% other targeted agents

Nab-paclitaxel

Paclitaxel

105 (24.4)

68 (15.8)

• ~54% chemotherapy for early-stage disease

Destiny Breast-06: PFS and OS in HER2-Low



2024 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING #ASCO24 Presentation is property of the author and ASCO. Permission required for reuse; contact permissions@asco.or

Curigliano et al, ASCO 2024 LBA

ASCO[®] AMERICAN SOCIETY O CLINICAL ONCOLOGY KNOWLEDGE CONQUERS CANCER

Destiny Breast-06: PFS and OS in HER2-ultralow

Prespecified Exploratory Analyses



PFS improvement with T-DXd vs TPC in HER2-ultralow was consistent with results in HER2-low

Curigliano et al, ASCO 2024 LBA





Adjudicated as drug-related interstitial lung disease / pneumonitis*

n (%)	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Any grade
T-DXd (n=434)	7 (1.6)	36 (8.3)	3 (0.7)	0	3 (0.7)	49 (11.3)
TPC (n=417)	0	1 (0.2)	0	0	0	1 (0.2)

Interpretation

- T-DXd shows a clear efficacy advantage over TPC as first line chemotherapy
 - No OS benefit to date, cross-over may impact this endpoint
 - More toxicity (grade <u>></u>3 AEs, fatal AEs)
- Although an exploratory endpoint, similarity of efficacy in ultra-low to HER2 low suggest this is a reasonable and effective option in this subset
- Definition of ultra-low: 0-1+? A challenge for our pathologists
 - Multiple new assays in development
 - Destiny Breast 15 evaluating clinically HER2 0 cancers



- Highly effective option after endocrine therapy, but appropriate sequence (1st or 2nd chemotherapy line) should be determined for individual patients
 - 2nd line: Bone/soft tissue dominant, less symptomatic, long DFI
 - 1st line: Visceral dominant, more symptomatic, short DFI

Pooled Analysis of ILD/Pneumonitis in 9 Trastuzumab deruxtecan Monotherapy Studies



- 1150 pts (44.3% breast cancer) with a median treatment duration 5.8 mo (0.7-56.3)
- Overall incidence: 15.4% (grade 5: 2.2%); grade 1-2: 77.4%
- 87% had their first event within 12 months of their first dose

Powell et al, ESMO Open 2022

POOLED ANALYSIS FOR GRADE 1 ILD RECHALLENGE

- Data were pooled from 9 clinical trials to identify patients with Gr 1 ILD as assessed by the investigators and confirmed by the adjudication committee (AC) who were retreated with T-DXd
 - All patients received at least 1 dose of T-DXd (5.4-8.0 mg/kg) monotherapy
- T-DXd toxicity management guidelines recommend a dose reduction for retreatment if ILD takes longer than 28 days to resolve. At the time of study inclusions, guidelines recommended discontinuation of T-DXd if ILD had not resolved within 49 days from the last T-DXd dose^c

AC, adjudication committee; BC, breast cancer; DCO, data cutoff ; GC, gastric cancer; ILD, interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis; MTT, multiple tumor types; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer. ^aEach AC session included an oncologist, a radiologist, and a pulmonologist. ^bOnly patients who received at least 1 dose of T-DXd 5.4-8.0 mg/kg are included. The color bar for each study indicates the time from patient enrollment to data cut-off. ^cGuidelines have subsequently been updated to recommend discontinuation of T-DXd if ILD has not resolved within 126 days from the date of last drug dose.

Rugo et al, ESMO Breast 2024

T-DXd Retreatment Characteristics

Retreatment duration, months

- 68.9% (31/45) of patients were retreated without any dose reductions
- 24.4% (11/45) of patients were still receiving T-DXd retreatment at the DCOs of each respective study
- Progressive disease was the main reason for T-DXd retreatment discontinuation (33.3% [15/45] of patients)
 - 20.0% (9/45) of patients discontinued retreatment due to recurrent ILD (ILD2)
- 33.3% (15/45) of patients were retreated for >6 months and 17.8% (8/45) of patients were retreated for >12 months

AE, adverse event; DCO, data cutoff; ILD, interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis; ILD1; first Gr 1 ILD event; ILD2, any-grade recurrent ILD event; PD, progressive disease; T-DXd, trastuzumab deruxtecan.

Rugo et al, ESMO Breast 2024

Sacituzumab Govitecan (SG): First-in-Class Trop-2–Directed ADC

0

No. of Patients Still at Risk Time Imonthal

SSIs defined as the line from data of contentiation to the data of death-from any or Con regression adjusted for smatthcarles flucture number of prior discontenapola and Milling, team metalatione registrice, CO, normal satured , SG, substantial position.

SG TPC

- Trop-2 is expressed in all subtypes of breast cancer and linked to poor prognosis
- Key grade ≥3 TRAEs (SG vs TPC): neutropenia (51% vs 33%), diarrhea (10% vs <1%), leukopenia (10% vs 5%), anemia (8% vs 5%), FN (6% vs 2%)
- G-CSF: 49% in the SG arm vs 23% in the TPC arm
- Dose reductions due to TRAEs were similar (22% SG vs 26% TPC)
- No severe CV toxicity, no grade >2 neuropathy or grade >3 ILD with SG

Bardia et al. NEJM, 2021.

30

TROPICS 02 for HR+/HER2- Disease: PFS & OS in the ITT Population

PFS¹

OS^{2,3}

SG demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in PFS and OS vs TPC

Median follow-up was 10.2 months.

BICR, blinded independent central review; ITT, intent-to-treat; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; SG, sacituzumab govitecan; TPC, treatment of physician's choice.

1. Rugo HS, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40:3365-3376. Adapted from Rugo HS, et al. Sacituzumab govitecan in hormone receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2022. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01002. Reprinted with permission from American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2. Rugo H, et al. ESMO 2022. Oral LBA76. 3. Tolaney et al, ASCO Abstract 1003; Rugo et al, Lancet 2023

No new toxicity signals compared to ASCENT

ASCENT and TROPiCS-02: Safety Outcomes by UGT1A1 Status

UTG1A1

- Variants affect enzymatic function, causing reduced metabolic capacity
- ✓ Over 50% of individuals may harbor an UTG1A1 polymorphism, dependent on genetic ancestry

Grade ≥3 TEAEs	SG
Overall (%)	(n=268)
Neutropenia	52
Diarrhea	10
Anemia	8
Febrile neutropenia	6

	ASCI	ENT	TROPiCS-02			
SG patients (n=250)	UTG1A1 Status n(%)	Dose Intensity (%)	UTG1A1 Status n(%)	Dose Intensity (%)		
*1/*1 (wt)	113 (44)	99.8	104 (38)	99		
*1/*28	96 (37)	99.5	119 (44)	98		
*28/*28	34 (13)	99.8	25 (9)	94		

	ASCENT			TROPiCS-02		
Grade ≥3 TEAEs By UTG1A1 Status (%)	*1/*1 (wt)	*1/*28	*28/*28	*1/*1 (wt)	*1/*28	*28/*28
Neutropenia	53	47	59	45	57	64
Diarrhea	10	9	15	6	13	24
Anemia	4	6	15	6	8	8
Febrile neutropenia	3	5	18	6	7	4
Growth factor for neutropenia (initiated on/after first dose) overall 54%						
				33	49	11

ASCENT: Treatment discontinuation due to TRAEs more common in *28 homozygous genotype

Nelson, RS, et al. *Cancers.* 2021;13:1566. Rugo, HS, et al. *npj Breast Cancer.* 2022;8:98. Marmé, F, et al. *Annals of Oncol.* 2023;8(1suppl_4):101223-101223. Rugo et al, Lancet 2023

TROPION-Breast01 (Phase 3): Datopotamab deruxtecan vs chemo for unresectable/inoperable or metastatic HR+, HER2– breast cancer

Key eligibility

- HR+/HER2-^a breast cancer
- Previously treated with 1–2 lines of chemo (inoperable/metastatic setting)
- Experienced progression on ET and for whom ET was unsuitable
- ECOG PS 0/1

Stratification factors

- Lines of chemo in unresectable/ metastatic setting (1 vs 2)
- Geographical location (US/Canada/ Europe vs ROW)
- Previous CDK4/6 inhibitor (yes vs no)

- At data cutoff (July 17, 2023), patients remaining on treatment:
 - Data-DXd, n=93
 TPC, n=39
- Median follow-up: 10.8 months
- Meidan one line of prior therapy

alHC 0/1+/2+; ISH-; blnvestigator's choice of chemotherapy; cBy BICR per RECIST v1.1. Dato-DXd, datopotamab deruxtecan; TPC, treatment of physician's choice. Bardia A, et al. SABCS 2023. Abstract GS02-01

TROPION-Breast01: PFS and time to subsequent therapy

PFS by investigator assessment 1.0 1.0 Probability of no first subsequent therapy ICC Dato-DXd Dato-DXd ICC 0.8 0.8 Probability of PFS Median TFST, months 8.2 5.0 4.5 Median PFS, months 6.9 (7.4-8.9) (4.6-5.7) (95% CI) (5.9–7.1) (4.2–5.5) (95% CI) 0.6 0.6 HR (95% CI) 0.53 (0.45-0.64) HR (95% CI) 0.64 (0.53-0.76) 0.4 0.4 Dato-DXd (n=365) 36.9 ICC (n=367) 21.7% ****** 0.2 20.99 0.2 Dato-DXd (n=365) 9.9 ---- ICC (n=367) 0.0 0 3 12 15 18 0.0-6 15 18 Time from randomization (months) 3 6 9 12 0 Number at risk Time from randomization (months) Dato-DXd 365 272 185 74 19 0 4 Number at risk 43 11 2 ICC 367 216 110 0 Dato-DXd 365 304 231 110 36 7 0 ICC 367 256 147 65 13 4 0

PFS by investigator assessment

Time to subsequent therapy

PFS by BICR (primary endpoint)

• Median 6.9 vs 4.9 months

• HR 0.63 (95% CI: 0.52, 0)

Prior duration of CDK4/6i, ≤12 months

	Dato-DXd (n=151)	ICC (n=136)		
Median PFS (95% CI), months	6.9 (5.5, 8.1)	4.2 (4.0, 5.5)		
HR (95% CI)	0.61 (0.45, 0.81)			

Prior duration of CDK4/6i, >12 months

	Dato-DXd (n=153)	ICC (n=164)		
Median PFS (95% CI), months	7.1 (5.8, 8.5)	5.0 (4.1, 5.7)		
HR (95% CI)	0.61 (0.45, 0.82)			

Tropion-Breast01 Safety

- Compared to ICC, less dose reduction and interruption
- The most common toxicity is low grade nausea (51%)
- Alopecia: 36%
- AESIs
 - Oral mucositis/stomatitis
 - 56% all grade, 7% grade <u>></u> 3
 - Steroid mouthwash under evaluation
 - Ocular surface events (dry eye, keratitis)
 - 40%, almost all low grade
 - Drug-related ILD
 - 3.3% all grade, 0.8% > grade 3
 - One patient with adjudicated grade 5 drug related event

Proposed Mechanism of ADC + IO Synergy

1: ADCs bind to the cancer cell

2: The ADC is internalized into the cancer cell, causing immunogenic cell death

3: Damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) are released in the tumor microenvironment (TME), stimulating the maturation of dendritic cells

4: Dendritic cells (DCs) migrate into the lymph nodes, activating T cells

5: Activated T cells infiltrate the TME, attacking tumor cells. The addition of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) overcomes T cell inhibition

6: ADCs activate the immune system through antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity

Nicolo et. al. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2022

ADCs plus Checkpoint Inhibitors: 1st line mTNBC

Dato-DXd + Durvalumab in the Begonia Trial

Sacituzumab Govitecan + Atezolizumab in the Morpheus-PAN BC Trial (PD-L1+)

Schmid et al, ESMO 2023

Schmid et al, ESMO BC 2024

ASCENT-03 (NCT05382299): PD-L1 negative N=540

Ascent-07: First-line Chemotherapy in HR+

SACI-IO TNBC and HR+: Sacituzumab govitecan +/- pembrolizumab in 1L PD-L1- mTNBC and HR+

GBG: SASCIA Post-Neoadjuvant Trial NCT04595565

Phase III Trial: Optimice-RD/ASCENT-05 Residual disease in TNBC

PI: Sara Tolaney; Alliance Foundation Trial

TROPION-Breast02 (n=625)

NCT05374512

Key eligibility criteria:

- Locally recurrent inoperable or metastatic TNBC
- No prior chemotherapy or targeted systemic therapy for metastatic breast cancer
- Not a candidate for PD-1 / PD-L1 inhibitor therapy
- Measurable disease as defined by RECIST v1.1
- ECOG PS 0 or 1
- Adequate hematologic and end-organ function

- 1st line therapy for TNBC ۲
- **PD-L1** negative ٠

TROPION Breast03 (n=1075) NCT05629585

NCT06103864

PD-L1+

Primary endpoint: PFS (BICR) Key secondary endpoint: Secondary endpoints including: PFS (inv), ORR, DoR, CBR, TTD, PRO, Safety,

Immunogenicity Exploratory endpoints

DFI 6 to 12 months capped at 20%.

versus Rest of World)

TNBC (yes versus no)

· Prior PD-1/PD-L1 treatment for early stage

Chemotherapy options include paclitaxel (90 mg/m2 IV on days 1, 8, and 15, Q4W), nab-paclitaxel (100 mg/m2 IV days 1, 8, and 15, Q4W) or gemcitabine 1000 mg/m2 IV + carboplatin AUC 2 IV days 1 and 8 Q3W

Once approximately 75 participants are randomised to Arm 3, this cohort will close, and all countries will continue with a 1:1 randomisation strategy for Arms 1 and 2.

In selected countries only,

TROPION Breast04 (n=1728) NCT06112379

(n=75)4

Neoadjuvant therapy for TNBC

Durvalumab + Dato-DXd x 8 cycles followed by surgery; durva x 9 cycles postop vs KN522

Sacituzumab Tirumotecan (sac-TMT)

Sac-TMT is a TROP2 ADC developed with a proprietary Kthiol (pyrimidine-thiol) linker conjugated to a novel topoisomerase I inhibitor at DAR 7.4. The features of sac-TMT lead to release of the payload both in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and inside tumor cells, achieving a balance between the safety and efficacy of the ADC.

Antibody

 hRS7, a recombinant humanized anti-TROP2 antibody with high affinity

<u>Linker</u>

- Kthiol conjugation: irreversible coupling to improve stability of ADC
- **Payload release:** intracellular enzymatic cleavage and extracellular hydrolysis in TME
- **Balanced stability:** balance between efficacy and safety to expand therapeutic window

Payload

- Novel topo I inhibitor (belotecan derivative named T030), highly active
- Average DAR: 7.4 (range:7–8)
- Bystander effect
- Methylsulfonyl derivatization enhances linker stability and toxin permeability

Phase III OptiTROP-Breast01 Study: Sacituzumab Tirumotecan

Efficacy boundary (corresponding to actual OS events of 113): 0.0042. The study crossed OS efficacy boundary.

SACI-IO HR+ (Phase 2): Sacituzumab govitecan with or without pembrolizumab in patients with HR+/HER2

	SG + pembro	olizumab (n=52)	SG (n=52)		
	n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	
Confirmed PR	11 (21.2%)	11.1, 34.7%	9 (17.3%)	8.2 30.3%	
SD	25 (48.1%)	34, 62.4%	26 (50.0%)	35.8, 64.2%	
PD	11 (21.2%)	11.1, 34.7%	14 (26.9%)	15.6, 41%	
NE	5 (9.6%)	3.2, 21%	3 (5.8%)	1.2, 15.9%	
Objective response rate	11 (21.2%)	11.1-34.7%	9 (17.3%)	8.2-30.3%	
PD-L1-positive	3/16 (18.8%)	4.0, 45.6%	5/24 (20.8%)	7.1, 42.2%	
PD-L1-negative	8/35 (22.9%)	10.4, 40.1%	4/28 (14.3%)	4.0, 32.7%	
Clinical benefit rate	26 (50.0%)	35.8, 64.2%	24 (46.2%)	32.2, 60.5%	
Median DOR, mo	12.9	4.4, NA	4.5	4.5, NA	
Median TTOR, mo	2.3	1.8, 8.7	4.1	2.0, 10.2	

Role in PD-L1+ vs negative?

TROFUSE 010: PD-L1-Sacituzumab tirumotecan in HR+

Key inclusion criteria:

Key exclusion criteria:

in metastatic setting

chemotherapy

Stratification Factors:

Patritumab Deruxtecan: Phase 2 Study of HER3-DXd in MBC

- 60 pts:
 - HR+: Prior CDKi, 0-2 chemo
 - TN: 1-3 chemo
 - 27 HR+/19 TN (n=48)
 - 64% HER3 <a>25%; 8% <25% (n=47)
- ORR 35%, CBR 43%,
 - No relationship to HER3 expression
- DOR <u>></u> 6mo: 47.6% in responders (n=10)
- Most common AE:
 - Nausea/diarrhea/fatigue
 - TEAE: 2 ILD, 1 low plt

	Any grade (N=60) n (%)	Grade 3/4 (N=60) n (%)
Any Adverse Event (AE)	56 (93.3)	19 (31.7)
Nausea	30 (50.0)	2 (3.3)
Fatigue	27 (45.0)	4 (6.7)
Diarrhea	22 (36.7)	3 (5.0)
Vomiting	19 (31.7)	1 (1.7)
Anemia	18 (30.0)	0
Alopecia	17 (28.3)	N/A
Hypokalemia	9 (15.0)	1 (1.7)
Decreased Appetite	8 (13.3)	0
Neutrophil Count Decreased**	7 (11.7)	3 (5.0)
White Blood Cell Count Decreased**	7 (11.7)	1 (1.7)

	(N=60) n (%)
Number of Prior Systemic Regimens in Metastatic Setting	
1-2 prior regimens	24 (40.0)
3 or more prior regimens	36 (60.0)
Median (range)	3 (1, 9)
Type of Prior Regimens in the Metastatic Setting*	
Chemotherapy	54 (90.0)
PARP inhibitors	3 (5.0)
Immunotherapy	12 (20.0)
Sacituzumab govitecan	5 (8.3)

	HR+	TNBC
	(N=29)	(N=19)
ORR, n (%)	12 (41.4)	4 (21.1)
95% CI	(23.5, 61.1)	(6.1, 45.6)

New Directions

Newer ADCs

- ADCs (HER2) with immune payload
 Immune antibody with TOPO1i payload
 HER2 Ab with eribulin payload: BB1701
- Combination Therapies
 ADCs plus checkpoint inhibitors to enhance immunotherapy
 ADCs plus anti-CD47 antibodies
- Understanding mechanisms of resistance
- Sequencing ADCs
 - Change the payload
 - o Change the target
 - Why is safety so different?

PI: Hope S. Rugo

HR+/HER2-low efficacy data (n=56)

SG → T-DXd (n=24, 42.9%)	 Median lines of therapy for MBC prior to SG: Median lines chemotherapy: 2.0 (range 0-5) Median total lines of therapy: 3.0 (range 0-9) Intervening therapies between ADCs: 47.8% 			rwPFS ADC1 (SG) ADC2 (T-DXd) 23 - Still on Therapy	
		ADC1 (SG)	ADC2 (T-DXd)	21 - × Censored (toxicity) 19 - 5 17 -	
	ORR (CR+PR) by investigator assessment, %	77.3%	34.8%	요. 15 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 10 20 30 40 50 Time (months) from ADC1 start
	CBR (CR + PR + SD) by investigator assessment, %	86.4%	60.9%	∓ 9 − 7 − 5 −	
	Median rwPFS, months	8.0	3.7		
	Median rwOS from time of each ADC start, months	22.8	7.8	20 10 0 10 20 Time on treatment (months)	E 0 10 20 30 40 50 Time (months) from ADC2 start
T-DXd → SG (n=32, 57.1%)	 Median lines of therapy for Median lines chem Median total lines Intervening therapies bet 	or MBC prid notherapy: of therapy: ween ADCs	or to T-DXd: 2.0 (range 0-5 4.5 (range 2-´ s: 42.4%	rwPFS ADC1 (T-DXd) ADC2 (SG) 31	rwOS
T-DXd → SG (n=32, 57.1%)	 Median lines of therapy for Median lines chem Median total lines Intervening therapies bet 	or MBC prio notherapy: 2 of therapy: ween ADCs ADC1 (T-DXd)	or to T-DXd: 2.0 (range 0-5 4.5 (range 2- 5: 42.4% ADC2 (SG)	rwPFS ADC1 (T-DXd) ADC2 (SG) 31 - 27 - 25 - 27 - 25 - 23 - 27 - 25 - 23 - 27 - 25 - 23 - 27 - 25 - 27 - 25 - 27 - 25 - 27 - 25 - 27 - 27	rwOS
T-DXd → SG (n=32, 57.1%)	 Median lines of therapy for Median lines cheme. Median total lines Intervening therapies bet ORR (CR+PR) by investigator assessment, % 	or MBC prio notherapy: 2 of therapy: ween ADCs ADC1 (T-DXd) 46.9%	or to T-DXd: 2.0 (range 0-5 4.5 (range 2-7 s: 42.4% ADC2 (SG) 18.5%	rwPFS ADC1 (T-DXd) ADC2 (SG) 31 - 27 - 25 - 23 - 27 - 25 - 23 - 21 - 19 - 17 - 15 - 25 - 15 - 25 - 23 - 21 - 19 - 15 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25	rwOS
T-DXd → SG (n=32, 57.1%)	 Median lines of therapy for Median lines chem Median total lines Intervening therapies bet ORR (CR+PR) by investigator assessment, % CBR (CR + PR + SD) by investigator assessment, % 	or MBC price notherapy: 2 of therapy: ween ADCs ADC1 (T-DXd) 46.9% 78.1%	or to T-DXd: 2.0 (range 0-5 4.5 (range 2-7 s: 42.4% ADC2 (SG) 18.5% 37.0%	rwPFS ADC1 (T-DXd) ADC2 (SG) 31- 29- 27- 25- 23- 21- 19- 17- 15- 13- # 11- 9- 7-	rwOS ¹⁰⁰ - ADC1 (T-DXd) ¹⁰⁰ - ADC2 (SG)
T-DXd → SG (n=32, 57.1%)	 Median lines of therapy for Median lines chem Median total lines Intervening therapies bet ORR (CR+PR) by investigator assessment, % CBR (CR + PR + SD) by investigator assessment, % Median rwPFS, months 	or MBC price notherapy: 2 of therapy: ween ADCs ADC1 (T-DXd) 46.9% 78.1% 5.5	or to T-DXd: 2.0 (range 0-5 4.5 (range 2-7 s: 42.4% ADC2 (SG) 18.5% 37.0% 2.6	ntering and a second se	rwOS 100 ADC1 (T-DXd) 0 - ADC1 (T-DXd) 0 ADC1 (T-DXd) 0 ADC1 (T-DXd) 0

TBCRC 064: TReatment of ADC-Refractory Breast CancEr with Dato-DXd or T-

SERIES Study: PI: Reshma Mahtani

N=75

HR+/HER2 LOW (IHC 1+/2+ &ISH-) mBC

Refractory to at least one prior endocrine therapy

Received >1≤ 4 chemotherapies in the metastatic setting

CDK4/6i (in adjuvant or metastatic setting)

Trastuzumab deruxtecan*

Cohorts 3 & 4: Enrollment Prior to ADC #2

Cohorts 1 & 2: Enrollment Prior to ADC #1

Cohort 1: HR+/HER2-

HER2 low ~35 patients

Cohort 2: TNBC, HER2 low

~25 patients

Minimum imaging: CT CAP Q12 wk

PRO data collection Blood collection

Intervening therapies allowed

Objectives/considerations: Allows for prospective assessment of ADC #2 safety and efficacy, including PRO data and translational endpoints Allows for retrospective safety and efficacy of ADC #1

Objectives/considerations:

Allows for prospective

blood for translational endpoints

shown here)

assessment of ADC #1 and

ADC #2 efficacy, including PRO data and collection of

Potential barrier: Patient not

guaranteed to get ADC #2 (e.g., example patient #3

Minimum imaging: CT CAP Q12 wk

· Intervening therapies allowed

Conclusion

- Antibody Drug Conjugates!
 - An exciting and effective drug delivery system for the treatment of multiple subtypes of MBC
- Remarkable efficacy in HER2+ disease
 - Proven efficacy of sequential HER2 ADC with different payloads
- Established role in TNBC
 - SG is a new standard of care for mTNBC

Established role in HER2 low and HR+ disease

- T-DXd is a new standard of care of HER2 'low' disease
 - Sequencing with standard chemotherapy best foot forward or individualize for tumor biology/extent of disease?
- Sacituzumab a treatment option for pre-treated HR+ disease
- Ongoing trials in earlier lines, early-stage disease, and new ADCs in phase III trials
- Many questions remain!
 - Do we need to define HER2 low?
 - Sequencing of ADCs
 - Understanding resistance.

Toxicity management is critical

• Combination data with radiation largely lacking

