

#### HOW I TREAT AML IN 2023

Jeremy Pantin, MD Tristar Centennial Medical Center

## OBJECTIVES

1. Review the updated ICC AML Classification

2. Review the new ELN 2022 Rik Stratification

3. Identify recently approved novel therapies in the treatment of AML

- 4. Explore suggested treatment paradigms
- 5. Understand the importance of MRD testing

6. Recognize ongoing clinical trials with new and combination therapies

#### UPDATED INTERNATIONAL CONSENSUS CLASSIFICATION

Classification of AML with percentage of blasts required for diagnosis

Acute promyelocytic leukemia	(APL) with	t(15;17)(q24.	1;q21.2)
PML::RARA $\geq$ 10%			

APL with other RARA rearrangements\*  $\geq 10\%$ 

AML with t(8;21)(q22;q22.1)/RUNX1::RUNX1T1  $\ge$  10%

AML with inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)/CBFB::MYH11  $\geq$  10%

AML with t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3)/MLLT3::KMT2A  $\geq$  10%

AML with other KMT2A rearrangements  $\uparrow \ge 10\%$ 

AML with t(6;9)(p22.3;q34.1)/DEK::NUP214  $\geq$  10%

AML with inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2)/GATA2; MECOM(EVI1) ≥ 10%

AML with other MECOM rearrangements  $\ddagger \ge 10\%$ 

AML with other rare recurring translocations (see supplemental Table 5)  $\geq 10\%$ 

AML with t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1§  $\geq$  20%

AML with mutated NPM1  $\geq$  10%

AML with in-frame bZIP CEBPA mutations  $\geq$  10%

AML and MDS/AML with mutated TP53<sup>+</sup> 10-19% (MDS/AML) and  $\geq$  20% (AML)

AML and MDS/AML with myelodysplasia-related gene mutations 10-19% (MDS/AML) and  $\geq$  20% (AML)

Defined by mutations in ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1, SRSF2, STAG2, U2AF1, or ZRSR2

AML with myelodysplasia-related cytogenetic abnormalities 10-19% (MDS/AML) and  $\geq$  20% (AML)

Defined by detecting a complex karyotype (≥ 3 unrelated clonal chromosomal abnormalities in the absence of other classdefining recurring genetic abnormalities), del(5q)/t(5q)/ add(5q), -7/del(7q), +8, del(12p)/t(12p)/add(12p), i(17q), -17/add(17p) or del(17p), del(20q), and/or idic(X)(q13) clonal abnormalities

AML not otherwise specified (NOS) 10-19% (MDS/AML) and  $\geq$  20% (AML)

Myeloid sarcoma

#### UPDATED INTERNATIONAL CONSENSUS CLASSIFICATION

Diagnostic qualifiers that should be used following a specific AML diagnosis

Therapy-related\*

prior chemotherapy, radiotherapy, immune interventions

Progressing from MDS

• MDS should be confirmed by standard diagnostics

Progressing from MDS/MPN (specify)

• MDS/MPN should be confirmed by standard diagnostics

Germline predisposition

#### WHO 5<sup>th</sup> edition

AML defined by genetic abnormalities (no blast threshold)\* Subcategory of AML myelodysplasia related (Blasts≥20%) defined by: - Molecular abnormalities - Cytogenetic abnormalities - Prior Hx of MDS or MDS/MPN

No

AML defined by differentiation (Blasts≥20%)

#### Separate group of secondary myeloid neoplasms

- Post cytotoxic therapy (pCT)
- Myeloid neoplasms associated with germline predisposition

![](_page_4_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### ELN 2022 GENETIC RISK CLASSIFICA Risk categoryt Genetic abnormality

2	Risk category†	Genetic abnormality	NSIS
	Favorable	<ul> <li>t(8;21)(q22;q22.1)/RUNX1::RUNX1T1†,‡</li> <li>inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)/ CBFB::MYH11†,‡</li> <li>Mutated NPM1†,\$ without FLT3-ITD</li> <li>bZIP in-frame mutated CEBPA  </li> </ul>	
	Intermediate	<ul> <li>Mutated NPM1†,§ with FLT3-ITD</li> <li>Wild-type NPM1 with FLT3-ITD (without adverse-risk genetic lesions)</li> <li>t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3)/MLLT3::KMT2A†,¶</li> <li>Cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse</li> </ul>	
	Adverse	<ul> <li>t(6;9)(p23.3;q34.1)/DEK::NUP214</li> <li>t(v;11q23.3)/KMT2A-rearranged#</li> <li>t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1</li> <li>t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3)/KAT6A::CREBBP</li> <li>inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2)/ GATA2, MECOM(EVI1)</li> <li>t(3q26.2;v)/MECOM(EVI1)-rearranged</li> <li>-5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p)</li> <li>Complex karyotype,** monosomal karyotype††</li> <li>Mutated ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1, SRSF2, STAG2, U2AF1, and/or ZRSR2‡‡</li> <li>Mutated TP53<sup>a</sup></li> </ul>	

Blood (2022) 140 (12): 1345–1377.

### EVALUATION

Tests to establish the diagnosis		Additional tests and procedures
Complete blood count and differential count*		Complete physical examination <sup>c</sup>
Bone marrow aspirate†		Performance status (ECOG/WHO score)
Bone marrow trephine biopsy‡		Geriatric assessment <sup>d</sup> (optional)
Immunophenotyping by flow cytometry (see Table 5)		Biochemistry, coagulation tests <sup>e</sup>
Genetic analyses	Results preferably available within	Hepatitis A, B, C; HIV-1 testing; CMV, EBV, HSV, VZV
Cytogenetics§	• 5-7 d	Serum pregnancy test <sup>f</sup>
Screening for gene mutations		Eligibility assessment for allogeneic HCT (incl. HLA-typing) <sup>9</sup>
required for establishing the diagnosis and to identify actionable therapeutic targets#		Chest x-ray, 12-lead electrocardiogram, echocardiography or MUGA (on indication)
• FLT3,¶ IDH1, IDH2	• 3-5 d	Computed tomography of the chest (on indication) <sup>h</sup>
<ul> <li>NPM1</li> <li>CEBPA,# DDX41, TP53; ASXL1,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3-5 d</li> <li>1st cycle</li> </ul>	Lumbar puncture (on indication) <sup>i</sup>
BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1,		Information on oocyte and sperm cryopreservation <sup>j</sup>
SKSFZ, STAGZ, UZAFT, ZKSKZ		Biobanking <sup>k</sup>
Screening for gene rearrangements**		
• PML::RARA, CBFB::MYH11, RUNX1::RUNX1T1, KMT2A rearrangements, BCR::ABL1, other fusion genes (if available)	• 3-5 d	
Additional genes recommended to te	est at diagnosis††	
<ul> <li>ANKRD26, BCORL1, BRAF, CBL, C KIT, KRAS, NRAS, NF1, PHF6, PPN WT1</li> </ul>	CSF3R, DNMT3A, ETV6, GATA2, JAK2, 11D, PTPN11, RAD21, SETBP1, TET2,	

# RESPONSE ASSESSMENT (NEW DEFINITIONS)

Response CR*,†,‡ CRh*,†,‡ CRi*,†,‡ MLFS	Bone marrow blasts < 5%; absence of circulating blasts; absence of extramedullary disease; ANC $\geq$ 1.0 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (1,000/µL); platelet count $\geq$ 100 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (100 000/µL) ANC $\geq$ 0.5 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (500/µL) and platelet count $\geq$ 50 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (50 000/µL), otherwise all other CR criteria met All CR criteria except for residual neutropenia < 1.0 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (1,000/µL) or thrombocytopenia < 100 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (100 000/µL) Bone marrow blasts < 5%; absence of circulating	Response (if including assessment of MRD)§ CR, CRh, or CRi without MRD‡ (CR <sub>MRD-</sub> , CRh <sub>MRD-</sub> or CRi <sub>MRD-</sub> )	<ul> <li>CR, CRh or CRi with MRD below a defined threshold for a genetic marker by qPCR, or by MFC. Response without MRD should be confirmed with a subsequent assessment at least 4 wk apart. The date of response without MRD is the first date in which the MRD was below the defined threshold</li> <li>Response with MRD detection at low-level (CR<sub>MRD-LL</sub>) is included in this category of CR, CRh or CRi without MRD. CR<sub>MRD-LL</sub> is currently only defined for NPM1- mutant and CBF-AML</li> </ul>
PR No response	<ul> <li>All hematologic criteria of CR; decrease of bone marrow blast percentage to 5% to 25%; and decrease of pre-treatment bone marrow blast percentage by at least 50%</li> <li>Patients evaluable for response but not meeting the criteria for CR, CRh, CRi, MLFS or PR are</li> </ul>	<b>Treatment failure</b> Refractory disease Relapsed disease (after CR, CRh or CRi)	<ul> <li>No CR, CRh or CRi at the response landmark, ie, after 2 courses of intensive induction treatment or a defined landmark, eg, 180 d after commencing less-intensive therapy</li> <li>Bone marrow blasts ≥ 5%; or reappearance of blasts in the blood in at least 2 peripheral blood samples at least one week apart; or development of extramedullary disease</li> </ul>
	categorized as having no response prior to the response landmark. Patients failing to achieve response by the designated landmark are designated as having refractory disease		

#### ASSESSMENT OF FITNESS

- 1. Age (≥75)
- 2. Performance status (ECOG  $\geq$  2, KPS)
- 3. Geriatric assessments
- 4. Comorbid conditions
- 5. Antecedent myeloid neoplasm
- 6. Poor-risk karyotypic abnormalities and mutational profiles

HLA T	oda	ay — Fre	ee & Simple	9
	• S a • R n ki	wabs patient using HLA nd return kit to NMDP equest family typing, if eeded (kits sent after pa it received)	kit tient	NMDP/BTM emails patient's unrelated donor search summary report to the provider Family typing results will come separately
	DIAGNOSIS	3-4 Business Days	7-10 Business Days (from when Be The Match receives the kit	) REFERRAL
Community providers request free HLA test kits to have on hand	)	Coi NM tes	mpleted HLA kits arrive at IDP and swabs sent out for ting	Community providers make timely referral to transplant

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

## NEW DRUGS INFLUENCING

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book 42 (June 3, 2022) 568-583.

#### RECENT FDA APPROVALS IN NEWLY DIAGNOSED AML

Drug/regimen	FDA approval indication	Age in study, y	N	ORR %	, CR, %	CRi, %	30-d early death, %	Survival
Midostaurin + IC	FLT3MUT AML	18-59		-	59	NA	4.5	51.4% at 4 y
CPX-351 liposomal daunorubicin HCl and cytarabine	tAML, AML MRC On July 20, 2023, the FDA	60-75 approve	153 ed			10	5.9	Modian .0 m0
Gemtuzumab ozogamicin	quizartinib with standard cy anthracycline induction and	tarabine cytarab	and ine			11 (CRp)	3.8	Median, 27.5 mo
Glasdegib + LDAC	275 yo omonotherapy following construction for the treatment of the tre	nsolidation	ons dult		17	10	N/A	Median, 8.8 mo
Venetoclax + HMA	New patients with newly diagnose	d AML th	at is	67	37	30	3	Median, 17.5 mo
Venetoclax + LDAC	New AML $\geq$ 75 y or unfit	-90	82	54	26	28	6	Median, 10.1 mo
Ivosidenib	New AML_15 y or unfit with	64-87	34	42	30	12 (CRh)	N/A	N/A
Ivosidenib + HMA (AZA)	New AML $\geq$ 75 y or unfit with	58-84	146	41	34	5	N/A	Median 24

#### RECENT FDA APPROVALS FOR RELAPSED/REFRACTORY AML

Drug/regimen	FDA approval indication	Age in study, y	N	ORR, %	CR, %	CRi, %	30-d early death, %	Survival, median no. of mo
Gemtuzumab ozogamicin	RR adults or pediatric patients $\geq 2$ y with CD33 <sup>+</sup> AML with IC	50-70	135	81	70	11 (CRp)	3.8	27.5
Ivosidenib	RR IDH1 <sup>MUT</sup>	18-89	258	34	22	12	7	8.8
Enasidenib mesylate	RR IDH2 <sup>MUT</sup>	19-100	214	29	20	9	5	8.8
Gilteritinib fumarate	RR FLT3 <sup>MUT</sup>	19-85	247	34	21	13 (CRh)	2	9.3
Olutasidenib	RR IDH1 <sup>MUT</sup>	≥18	147	41-46	32 (35)	2.7 (CRh)	N/A	8.7-12.1 25.9 (DOR)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

Am J Hematol. 2023;98:502–526.

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Am J Hematol. 2023;98:502–526.

## CONSIDERATIONS FOR R/R AML

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## MRD

MRD assessment in AML is used to:

(1) provide a quantitative methodology to establish a deeper remission status;

 $\Box$ (2) refine post-remission relapse risk assessment;

 $\Box$ (3) identify impending relapse to enable early intervention.

#### MRD ASSESSMENT ALGORITHM FOR DIFFERENT SUBTYPES OF AML

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Blood (2021) 138 (26): 2753-2767.

#### ASSOCIATION OF MRD WITH SURVIVAL OUTCOMES

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

JAMA Oncol. 2020;6(12):1890-1899.

#### IMPACT OF MRD ON HCT

B NPM1 MRD in patients with NPM1-mutated AML

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

c FLT3-ITD MRD in patients with FLT3-ITD AML

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### NOVEL AGENTS FEATURED AT ASH 2022 Drug Regimen Backbone Indication Mechanism

Diug	Regimen backbone	malcation	Mechanism
Magrolimab	AZA/VEN	ND AML	Anti-CD47 antibody
Gilteritinib	AZA/VEN	ND and R/R AML	FLT3 inhibitor
SNDX-5613 (Revumenib)	N/A	R/R AML	Menin inhibitor
KO-539 (Ziftomenib)	N/A	R/R AML	Menin inhibitor
Pivekimab sunirine (PVEK)	AZA/VEN	R/R AML	Antibody–drug conjugate targeting CD123

Drug	Indication	ORR	CRc	Notable side effects
Magrolimab	ND AML	81%	72%	Anemia, infusion reactions
Gilteritinib	ND and R/R AML	100% (ND) 70% (R/R)	92% (ND) 20% (R/R)	Infection
SNDX-5613 (Revumenib)	R/R AML	53%	38%	Differentiation syndrome
KO-539 (Ziftomenib)	R/R AML	40%	35%	Differentiation syndrome, pneumonitis
Pivekimab sunirine (PVEK)	R/R AML	45%	25%	Infusion reactions

#### KEY TAKEAWAYS

All recurrent genetic abnormalities that define specific subtypes of AML, (with the exception of AML with t(9;22)), are now considered to establish a diagnosis of AML if there are  $\geq 10\%$  blasts in the bone marrow or blood.

FLT3-ITD AR is no longer incorporated into ELN risk stratification. AML with FLT3-ITD is considered intermediate-risk, regardless of the NPM1 mutation status.

The treatment landscape of AML is undergoing unprecedented change, with no fewer than 9 new drug approvals since 2017.

#### KEY TAKEAWAYS

Our treatment paradigm has shifted away from a simple binary distinction between "curative, intensive therapy" and "palliative, lower intensity" approaches. Instead, the diversity of available therapeutic options requires a more nuanced treatment algorithm that incorporates mutation-specific targeted therapies, monoclonal antibodies, small molecules, and improved liposomal delivery of standard therapies.

MRD testing in patients with NPM1-mutated AML may be useful for risk-stratification, therapy selection, and early detection of relapse.

Clinical trials designed for chemotherapy-ineligible patients involving evaluating triplet treatments, and novel combinations of newer agents with combination chemotherapy are required.