

Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Therapy

Richard Kim M.D. Professor Service Chief of Medical Oncology Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology Moffitt Cancer Center Tampa, FL



- Latest data with IO for MSI-H mCRC
- Is KRAS druggable?
 - KRAS G12C inhibitors
- Impact of BRAF V600E mutations in mCRC
 - Targeted treatment options
- HER2 overexpression in mCRC
 - HER2-directed strategies

What Influences Treatment Choices in mCRC?



Modified from Van Cutsem. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:1386.







- MMR / MSI testing is now mandatory
- Immunotherapy is approved in the 2nd line setting for all dMMR/MSI-H solid tumors
- Pembrolizumab is approved in the first-line setting for dMMR/MSI-H CRC
- Nivolumab ± ipilimumab is approved in second-line dMMR/MSI-H CRC

MOFFIT M MSI-H CRC among CRC Immune-Subgroups:

Immunogenicity	Phenotype	Preval.	Traits	Anti-PD1 benefit
	POLE Mutant (Pathogenic mutations)	1%	Very high number of mutations (indels)	
	MSI-H	5%	High number of mutations with high antigenic quality (indels)	YES
	HIGH TMB, Non-MSI, Non-POLE (FDA cut off)	15%	Intermediate number of mutations with lower immunogenic quality	
	Normal colon cancer	70%	Low number of mutations with low immunogenicity	NO

TCGA, Nat 2012, B. Rousseau ESMO 2020, T Andre NEJM 2020, M.J. Overman J Clin Oncol 2018, B. Rousseau NEJM 2021

MEDICAL GROWN te-177 First-line Pembrolizumab for MSI-H/dMMR mCRC



Pembrolizumab led to significantly longer PFS than chemotherapy when received as first-line therapy for MSI-H–dMMR metastatic colorectal cancer. FDA approval for first-line treatment based on KEYNOTE-177.

Pembrolizumab							
Trial	KEYNOTE-177	KEYNOTE-164	(B)/(A)				
Population	1st L	≥2 nd L	≥3 rd L				
Size	307 (III RCT v. chemo)	63	61				
ORR	45.1% v. 33.1%	33%	33%				
median PFS/ 12 mo PFS %	16.5m v. 8.2m	41%	34%				
median OS/ 12 mo Surv %	NR <i>v. 36.7m.</i> HR 0.74. p=0.0359	76%	72%				
undre. NEJM. 2020; Andre. ASCO (#3500). 2021; Le. JCO. 2020;							

MEDICAL GROWER TO THE STATE OF THE STATE OF



Andre T et al. NJEM 2020

MEDICAL GROUP KEYNOTE-177: Adverse Events Pembrolizumab (n = 153) Chemoth

AEs (≥ 20% in Either Arm, or ≥ 5% if	Pembrolizun	nab (n = 153)	Chemotherapy (n = 143)		
Immune Mediated), %	All Grades	Grade ≥ 3	All Grades	Grade ≥ 3	
Diarrhea	25	2	52	10	
Fatigue	21	2	44	9	
Nausea	12	0	55	2	
Decreased appetite	8	0	34	2	
Stomatitis	5	0	30	4	
Alopecia	3	0	20	0	
Vomiting	3	0	28	4	
Decreased neutrophil count	1	0	23	17	
Neutropenia	0	0	21	15	
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	0	0	20	2	
Hypothyroidism	12	0	2	0	
Colitis	7	3	0	0	
Infusion reactions	2	0	8	1	

MOFFITT Nivolumabrand Ipilimumab for untreated MSI-H mCRC CheckMate 142 NIVO3 + IPI1 1L cohort study design

• CheckMate 142 is an ongoing, multicohort, nonrandomized phase 2 trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of NIVO-based therapies in patients with mCRC^a

- Histologically confirmed metastatic or recurrent CRC
- MSI-H/dMMR per local laboratory
- No prior treatment for metastatic disease

NIVO3 02W IPI1 Q6W^b

- Primary endpoint: ORR per investigator assessment (RECIST v1.1) Other key endpoints: ORR per BICR, DCR,^c DOR,
- PFS, OS, and safety
- At data cutoff (October 2019), the median duration of follow-up was 29.0 months (range, 24.2-33.7)^d

ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT02060188. bUntil disease progression or discontinuation in patients receiving study therapy beyond progression, discontinuation due to toxicity, withdrawal of consent, or the study end. Patients with CR, PR, or SD for ≥ 12 weeks divided by the number of treated patients. Median follow up was defined as time from first dose to data cutoff. BICR, blinded independent central review; CR, complete response; CRC, colorectal cancer; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; NIVO3, nivolumab 3 mg/kg; IPI1 ipilimumab 1 mg/kg; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

CheckMate 142

- ORR = 69%
- 24-month PFS = 74%
- OS = not reached

	Nivolumab	Nivolumat Ipilimuma	o + b			
Trial	Checkmate-142					
Population	≥2 ⁿ	d L	1st L (cont ipi)			
Size	74	119	45			
ORR	31.1%	55%	69%			
median PFS/ 12 mo PFS %	50%	71%	76%			
median OS/ 12 mo Surv %	73%	85%	84%			

;Overman. JCO. 2018; Lenz. ASCO (#4040). 2020. Overman. Lancet Oncology. 2017; Lenz et al. ASCO GI 2021. Abstract #58





Only patients with 0 or 1 prior systemic treatments for mCRC can be randomized to the chemotherapy arm.

1. Rocha Lima et al. GI ASCO 2022. Abstract TPS232. 2. Abdullaev et al. GI ASCO 2021. Abstract TPS266

PD-1 blockade alone for mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) locally advanced rectal cancer

- Neoadjuvant dostarlimab (anti PD1) alone is effective in dMMR locally advanced rectal cancer
- Clinical complete response rate was 100%
- Patient may avoid chemoradiation and surgery
- Potential new paradigm for treatment of dMMR rectal cancer



Moving on to targeted Therapies

MOFFITT RAS Mutations (KRAS, NRAS, HRAS) Panitumumab + BSC vs BSC¹

- Most frequently mutated oncogenes¹
 - 90% of pancreatic cancers, 45% of cold
 - KRAS most prevalent in these tumor types
- In CRC, RAS testing is required prior to anti-EGFR therapy (eg, cetuximab or panitumumab)
 - Patients with KRAS and NRAS mutations should not be treated with anti-EGFR therapy²⁻⁴
 - HRAS mutations are much less common (1.7%) but likely have the same negative predictive value



1. Porru. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018;37:57. 2. Allegra. JCO. 2016;34:179.

3. Al-Shamsi. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2015;6:314. 4. Gong. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2016;7:687.



- GTP-bound KRAS^{G12C} enhances downstream signaling and drives tumor growth^[1,2]
- KRAS p.G12C mutation in 13% of NSCLC, and 1% to 3% of CRC and other solid tumors^[3]
- Sotorasib (AMG 510) and Adagrasib (MRTX849) are the small molecule inhibitors with known clinical efficacy inhibiting this pathway^[3,4]



1. Muñoz-Maldonado. Front Oncol. 2019;9:1088. 2. McCormick. Ann Rev Cancer Biol. 2018;2:81. 3. Hong. NEJM. 2020;383:1207. 4. Jänne. AACR-NCI-EORTC 2019. Abstr CS5.



KRAS-G12C in Gastrointestinal Malignancies

Cancer types included in the analysis	N
Colorectal Cancers	6586
Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma	5029
Biliary Tract Cancers	1481
Stomach Cancers	1401
Esophageal Cancers	941
Small Bowel Adenocarcinomas	630
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	467
Appendiceal Cancer	279
Anal Cancer	195
Total	17009



Tumor	Туре	Appendiceal (n= 279)	CRC (n= 6586)	SBA (n= 630)	Pancreatic (n= 5029)	Biliary Tract (n= 1481)	Gastric (n= 1401)	Esophageal (n= 941)	HCC (n= 467)	Anal (n= 195)
	G12C	11 (3.9%)	208 (3.1%)	9 (1.4%)	66 (1.3%)	18 (1.2%)	9 (0.6%)	3 (0.3%)	1 (0.21%)	0 (0.0%)
KRAS Mutation	Non-G12C	125 (44.8%)	2763 (42.0%)	142 (22.5%)	3627 (72.1%)	242 (16.3%)	168 (12.0%)	136 (14.5%)	23 (4.9%)	8 (4.1%)

Salem M, Van Cutsem E et al. ASCO 2021 & ESMO WCGI 2021

CodeBreak100: Sotorasib in Patients With Previously Treated Cancers With KRAS p.G12C Mutation

• Multicenter, open-label, first-in-human dose-escalation phase I study

Adult patients with locally advanced or metastatic *KRAS* p.G12C–mutant solid tumors, ECOG PS ≤ 2 who could not tolerate, or previously received appropriate therapy for tumor type and stage, with no active brain metastases or severe cardiac history



- Primary endpoint: Safety and tolerability including the incidence of AEs and DLTs
- Secondary endpoints: PK, best response, ORR, DoR, PFS, duration of stable disease

Hong. NEJM. 2020;383:1207.

MOFFIT



• Multicenter, open-label, first-in-human phase I/II trial (data cutoff: June 1, 2020)

Adult patients with locally advanced/metastatic *KRAS* p.G12C–mutant solid tumors and PD on prior SoC therapy specific to tumor/disease stage; no active brain metastases (N = 129*)

CRC Escalation Cohort (n = 42)† Sotorasib PO QD‡ 180 mg (n = 3), 360 mg (n = 10), 720 mg (n = 4), 960 mg (n = 25) Evaluable for tumor response as of the data cutoff

*Includes NSCLC (n = 59), CRC (n = 42), pancreatic cancer (n = 12), appendiceal cancer (n = 4), unknown primary cancer (n = 2), endometrial cancer (n = 2), and n = 1 in each of the following: ampullary cancer, small bowel cancer, sinonasal cancer, esophageal cancer, bile duct cancer, SCLC, gastric cancer, and melanoma. ⁺2-4 patients enrolled on each cohort to evaluate safety, with additional enrollment at any dose deemed safe. Intrapatient dose escalation permitted. Radiographic scans Q6W on treatment, 30 days after end of treatment, then Q12W.

- Median follow-up: 12.8 mos (range: 9.0-20.9)
- At current data cutoff: 3 patients remain on treatment, 37 discontinued due to progression/death, and 2 discontinued per request of patient

Hong. NEJM. 2020;383:1207.

CodeBreak100: Tumor Response in CRC Cohort

Tumor Response ^[1]	All Dose Levels (n = 42)	960-mg Dose (n = 25)
 Best overall response, n (%) PR SD PD Not done 	3 (7.1) 28 (66.7) 10 (23.8) 1 (2.4)	3 (12.0) 17 (68.0) 3 (12.0) 1 (4.0)
ORR, % (95% CI)	7.1 (1.50 to 19.48)	12.0 (2.55 to 31.22)
DCR, [§] % (95% CI)	73.8 (57.96 to 86.14)	80.0 (59.30 to 93.17)
Median DoR (n = 3), mos (range)	NR (4.9+ to 9.9+)	NR (4.9+ to 9.9+)
Median duration of stable disease, mos (range)	5.4 (2.5* to 11.1*)	4.2 (2.6 to 5.7*) ^[2]
*Censored value.		





3 patients missing postbaseline tumor data not included (1 PD, 1 SD, 1 not done with clinical progression)

Hong. NEJM. 2020;383:1207.



Survival	All Dose Levels (n = 42)	960-mg Dose (n = 25)
Median PFS, mos (95% CI)* PFS range, min-max*	4.0 (2.8 to 5.5) ^[1] 0+ to 11.1+ ^[1]	4.2 (2.8 to NE) ^[2] 1.2 to 5.7 ^{+[2]}
 KM PFS estimate, % (95% Cl)^[2] At 3 mos At 6 mos 	58.5 (41.9 to 71.9) 20.6 (7.3 to 38.7)	59.7 (38.1 to 76.0) NE (NE to NE)
Median OS, mos (95% Cl) ^[2] OS range, min-max ^[2]	10.1 (7.7 to NE) 1.3† to 11.4†	NE (NE to NE) 2.3 to 8.0 ⁺
KM OS estimate, % (95% Cl) ^[2]		
At 3 mos	92.7 (79.0 to 97.6)	96.0 (74.8 to 99.4)
At 6 mos	76.4 (57.7 to 87.7)	82.9 (53.3 to 94.6)

*Data collected from 2 different time points (January and June 2020) consistent with respective citation. ⁺Censored value.

1. Hong. NEJM. 2020;383:1207. 2. Hong. ASCO 2020. Abstr 3511.



KRYSTAL-1: Adagrasib (MRTX849) in Patients With Cancer Having a KRAS p.G12C Mutation

- Potent, selective, and covalent inhibitor of KRAS^{G12C} that selectively binds to mutant cysteine 12 in GDP-bound KRAS^{G12C} and inhibits signaling^[1]
- Nonrandomized, open-label phase I/II study to establish safe dosing and assess ORR



*Ongoing trials are evaluating adagrasib in combination with either pembrolizumab or afatinib in pts with NSCLC, and cetuximab in patients with CRC. †For phase II NSCLC cohort, patients must have received prior treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy and a PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor. ‡CRC/other solid tumor cohort eligibility based on tissue or plasma test; KRAS^{G12C} testing for entry was performed locally or centrally using a sponsor preapproved test. Data cutoff as of August 30, 2020.

1. Jänne. AACR-NCI-EORTC 2020. Abstr LBA-03. 2. Johnson. AACR-NCI-EORTC 2020. Abstr LBA-04. 3. NCT03785249.

MOFFITT Adagrasib Targeting *KRAS*^{G12C} in Patients With CRC

 Adagrasib monotherapy demonstrated promising clinical activity (response rate: 22%) and broad disease control (DCR: 87%) in heavily pretreated patients with CRC harboring a KRAS G12C mutation



• No apparent association between response rate and molecular status was shown in an exploratory analysis

Data as of 9 July 2021 (median follow-up: 7 months). Weiss J, et al. ESMO 2021. Abstract LBA6.

Addressing Resistance in *KRAS* p.G12C–Mutant CRC

ERK Activation in CRC Cell Lines





- In contrast to NSCLC, CRC cell lines with KRAS p.G12C mutation experience rebound ERK phosphorylation after 24 hrs of exposure to Sotorasib; this is related to compensatory EGFR activation
- Dual anti-EGFR and KRAS^{G12C} inhibition with sotorasib leads to synergistic antitumor activity in CRC KRAS^{G12C} PDX models

MEDICAL GROUAdagrasib + Cetuximab in Patients With Advanced CRC

Best Tumor Change From Baseline (n = 28)^{a,b}



- > Response rate was 43% (12/28), including 2 unconfirmed PRs
- > SD was observed in 57% (16/28) of patients
- > Clinical benefit (DCR) was observed in 100% (28/28) of patients
- > No apparent association between response rate and molecular status was shown in an exploratory analysis^e

^aAll results are based on investigator assessments. ^bEvaluable population (n = 28) excludes 4 patients who withdrew consent prior to the first scan. ^cAt the time of the 9 July 2021 data cutoff, 2 patients had uPRs. ^eMolecular status (*BRAF* V600E mutation, MSI-H or dMMR, *EGFR* amplification, *TP53* mutation, *PIK3CA* mutation) includes patients with conclusively evaluable test results. Data as of 9 July 2021 (median follow-up: 7 months). Weiss J, et al. ESMO 2021. Abstract LBA6.



KRYSTAL-10 (849-010): Phase 3 Randomized, Open-Label Trial of 2L Adagrasib + Cetuximab vs Chemotherapy in mCRC With KRAS^{G12C} Mutation

HOME



Dosing: cetuximab, 500 mg/m2 q2w, FOLFIRI q2w [irinotecan, 180 mg/m2, 5-FU/LV with fluorouracil given as 400 mg/m2 IV bolus followed by a further 2400 mg/m2 dose given as continuous infusion over 46-48 hours], mFOLFOX6 q2w [oxaliplatin, 85 mg/m2, 5-FU/LV, with fluorouracil given as 400 mg/m2 IV bolus followed by a further 2400 mg/m2 dose given as continuous infusion over 46-48 hours].

1L, first line; 2L, second line; 5-FU/LV, 5-fluorouracil + leucovorin; BID, twice daily; mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; mFOLFOX6, modified FOLFOX6; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression free survival; q2w, every two weeks.

MOFFITT BRAFV600E Mutation in mCRC

MAPK Signaling in Colorectal Cancer⁷

- Occurs in 10%–15% of patients and confers a poor prognosis¹⁻³
- Recent studies with irinotecan-based chemotherapy have poor outcomes³⁻⁴
 - Expected median OS with 2nd and 3rd-line irinotecanbased chemotherapy standard of care is 5.9 months, median PFS of 4 months, and ORR of 4%⁴
- BRAF inhibitors are not effective alone due to the feedback activation of EGFR in *BRAF*-mutant CRC, leading to continued cell proliferation^{5,6}
 - Feedback may be overcome by targeting multiple nodes in the pathway
- New effective therapies are urgently needed



CETUX=cetuximab; EGFR=epidermal growth factor receptor; ENCO=encorafenib; MAPK=mitogen-activated protein kinase; mCRC=metastatic colorectal cancer; PFS=progression-free survival; ORR=objective response rate; OS=overall survival.

1. De Roock W, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2010;11(8):753. 2. Sorbye H, et al. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0131046. 3. Loupakis F, et al. Br J Cancer. 2009;101:715. 4. Kopetz S, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(15):3505. 5. Corcoran RB, et al. Cancer Disc. 2012;2(3):227. 6. Prahallad A, et al. Nature 2012;100:100. 7. Adapted From: Strickler JH. Cancer Treatment Reviews. 2017; 60:109.

MOFBEACON CRC: Encorafenib + Cetuximab ± Binimetinib for *BRAF* V600E–Mutant mCRC

• A multicenter, randomized, open-label, 3-arm phase III trial



 Primary endpoints: OS and ORR for triplet vs control; secondary endpoints: OS and ORR for doublet vs control, triplet vs doublet; PFS; safety

Van Cutsem. JCO. 2019;10;37:1460. Kopetz, Van Cutsem. NEJM. 2019;381:1632. Tabernero, Van Cutsem. JCO. 2021;39:273. NCT02928224.





 FDA/EMA indication: encorafenib + cetuximab for BRAF V600Emutated mCRC after previous systemic therapy





Tabernero, Van Cutsem. JCO. 2021;39:273.



BEACON CRC: AEs

AEs in ≥25% of	Triplet Regimen (n = 222) Doublet Regimen (nen (n = 216)	= 216) Control (n = 193)		
Patients in Experimental Arm, %	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3
Any AE	99.1	65.8	98.1	57.4	98.4	64.2
Diarrhea	66.2	10.8	38.4	2.8	48.7	10.4
Acneiform dermatitis	50	2.7	30.1	0.5	39.9	2.6
Nausea	48.2	4.5	38.0	0.5	43.5	1.6
Vomiting	44.1	5.4	27.3	1.4	31.6	3.1
Abdominal pain	34.2	6.3	27.8	3.2	28.0	5.2
Fatigue	33.3	2.3	33.3	4.2	28.0	4.7
Decreased appetite	29.7	1.8	31.0	1.4	29.0	3.1
Constipation	28.4	0.5	18.1	0	20.2	1.0
Asthenia	27.9	3.6	24.1	3.7	27.5	5.2

Taberno. ESMO 2019. LBA32. Kopetz. NEJM. 2019;381:1632.



ANCHOR CRC: First-line Encorafenib + Binimetinib + Cetuximab in BRAF V600E mutant mCRC

Two-stage study design¹



[#]Futility analysis; *Stage 2 enrolment only after ≥12 responses observed in Stage 1. cORR, confirmed objective response rate; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; QoL, quality of life. 1. Grothey A, et al. Annals Oncol. 2019;30(suppl 4):P-400. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03693170



The ANCHOR-CRC Study

Secondary Endpoints: PFS / OS

Primary Endpoint: cORR (investigator assessed)



The study met its primary endpoint, as the observed cORR was 47.8% with a lower limit of the 95% CI of 37.3%, exceeding the pre-specified rate of at least 30% required to reject the null hypothesis

Overall, the results reported are similar to that observed with recommended chemotherapy-based regimens in 1st line BRAF-mutant mCRC["]

Medical GROUP Prontline BRAF V600E Phase III RCT

BREAKWATER Study Schema



- Incidence of DLTs, Adverse events, dose modifications/discontinuations due to AEs
- PK including drug-drug interactions

*Stratified by: ECOG PS 0 v. 1, Region US/Canada v. Europe v. ROW

**Same dosing as SLI; $^\beta FOLFOX$ or FOLFIRI based on SLI results; $^{\$}$ No crossover

 FOLFOX: Folinic acid (leucovorin), Fluorouracil (5-FU)- infusional, Oxaliplatin (Eloxatin)
 FOLFIRI:

 Folinic acid (leucovorin), Fluorouracil (5-FU)- infusional, Irinotecan (Camptosar),
 CAPOX:

 Capecitabine (Xeloda), Oxaliplatin (Eloxatin)
 FOLFOXIRI: Folinic

 acid (leucovorin), Fluorouracil (5-FU), Oxaliplatin (Eloxatin), Irinotecan (Camptosar)
 FOLFOXIRI: Folinic

CRC







Prognosis is similar to BRAF wild-type

Jones JC, et al. J Clin Oncol 2017;35:2624–30.



	BRAF V600E Class I	Class II BRAF	Class III BRAF
Structure	BRAF monomer	BRAF dimers	BRAF/CRAF dimers
RTK (EGFR) Dependency	No	No	Yes
Kinase activity	High	High/Intermediate	Low
EGFRi sensitivity	No	Unlikely	Likely
Potential Strategy	BRAF, MEK, EGFR	RAF dimer inhibitors	RTK, MAPK combinations
	EGFR KRAS BRAFm MEK ERK	EGFR KRAS BRAFM BRAFM MEK ERK	EGFR KRAS BRAFM CRAF MEK ERK

Yao et al Nature '17



- Resistance marker for EGFR antibodies
- Defines patients who are candidates for **HER2-targeted therapy**

(P < .01)

5.3% *HER2* amplification in HERACLES study • $(screened = 1299)^{[1]}$



1. Siena. AACR 2017. Abstr CT005. 2. Bertotti. Cancer Discovery. 2011;1:508. 3. Kuwada. Int J Cancer. 2004;109:291.

2.7%

MOFFITT PHER2 Amplification and mCRC

- Dual anti-HER2 Inhibition: Early single-arm phase II studies in refractory HER2 amplified mCRC:
 - HERACLES Study (Siena et. al. 2016):
 - Trastuzumab + Lapatinib
 - ORR: 30% (8/27) (95% CI: 14%-50%)
 - Median PFS: 21 weeks (95% CI: 16-32 weeks)
- My Pathway Study (Hurwitz et. al. 2016):
 - Trastuzumab + Pertuzumab
 - ORR: 38% (13/34) (95% CI: 24%–55%)
 - Median TTP: 4.6 months



MOFFIT MOUNTAINEER: Trastuzumab With Tucatinib for HER2-Amplified mCRC

- > Single-arm phase II for patients with RAS wt, HER2-amplified mCRC (n = 26)
 - Primary tumor site of origin: right colon (n = 4), left colon/rectum (n = 17), transverse colon (n = 3), and overlapping (n = 2)



- > Median follow-up = 10.6 months
- > Grade 3 treatment-related AEs (TRAEs) = 9% (no grade 4/5 TRAEs)
- > Most common TRAEs: AST elevation (48%; all G1), ALT elevation (30%; all G1), and diarrhea (26%)





- High drug:antibody ratio: ~ 8
- Stable linker-payload
- Tumor-selectable cleavable linker
- High potency,
 membrane-permeable
 payload with short
 systemic half-life
- Bystander killing effect

Nakada. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo). 2019;67:173. Trail. Pharmacol Ther. 2018;181:126. Ogitani. Cancer Sci. 2016;107:1039.

DESTINY-CRC01

MOFFITT



MOFFITT Wated results from GI ASCO 2022

DESTINY-CRC01

Best Percentage Change in Tumor Size in Cohort A and OS in All Cohorts



 For cohort A, confirmed ORR was 45.3% (95% CI, 31.6-59.6), median DOR was 7.0 months (95% CI, 5.8-9.5), median PFS was 6.9 months (95% CI, 4.1-8.7), and median OS was 15.5 months (95% CI, 8.8-20.8)

> Yoshino et al, GI ASCO 2022

Synopsis of HER2-targeted trials in mCRC

Trial	n	Molecular selection	Her2-directed regimen	ORR	PFS (months)
HERACLES-A	27	KRAS WT	Trastuzumab + lapatinib	30%	4.9
MyPathway	57	none	Trastuzumab + pertuzumab	32%*	2.9**
HERACLES-B§	30	<i>RAS/BRAF</i> WT	Pertuzumab + T-DM1	10%	4.8
MOUNTAINEER §	23	RAS WT	Trastuzumab + tucatinib	52.2%	8.1
TRIUMPH§	17	RAS WT	Trastuzumab + pertuzumab	35.3%	4.0
DESTINY-CRC01§	53	<i>RAS</i> WT [¥]	T-DXd	45.3%	6.9

§ Abstract only

*40% in *KRAS* WT; **5.1 in *KRAS* WT; ¥1 patient had an *NRAS* mutation

Sartore-Bianchi et al, *Lancet Oncol* 2016 Meric-Bernstam F et al, *Lancet Oncol* 2019 Sartore-Bianchi et al, ESMO 2019 LBA Strickler et al, ESMO 2019 LBA Nakamura et al, ESMO 2019 Siena et al, ASCO 2020



Take Home Points

- NGS testing is essential to optimize clinical outcomes for patients with cancer. ALL pts should be tested.
- MSI-H mCRC Pembrolizumab should be the standard of care treatment choice if possible in first line
- Encorafenib in combination with cetuximab is now FDA approved for use in patients with previously treated BRAF 600E mutant mCRC and is considered SOC.
- Treatment for KRAS G12C mutated mCRC is evolving, and initial data are promising
- Exciting data with trastuzumab combinations (lapatinib, pertuzumab, tucatinib) as well as trastuzumab deruxtecan
- Think about rare fusions (NTRK) !!



Thank you !

GI oncology questions Richard.kim@moffitt.org