#### Metastatic Renal Cell Cancer: Navigating a Maze of Choices





#### Primo ("Lucky") Lara, Jr., MD

Director, University of California Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center Professor of Medicine and Executive Associate Dean for Cancer Programs UC Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA



## mRCC Treatment Principles

- 1. Goal is CURE
  - ... or prolongation of life
- 2. Immunotherapy offers best chance for cure
  - With rare exceptions, combination IO-based therapy is frontline standard of care (SOC)
- 3. Angiogenesis is active throughout ccRCC natural history
  - Allows for within-class sequential therapy



## **Risk Stratification in mRCC**

#### • N = 645 patients with mRCC treated with VEGF-targeted therapy

- Sunitinib (61%); Sorafenib (31%); Bevacizumab (8%)

#### • Predictors for OS:

- Time from diagnosis to treatment\*
- -Hemoglobin\*
- -Calcium\*
- -Performance status\*
- -Neutrophil count
- -Platelet count
  - \* Components of MSKCC prognostic criteria



Risk Group	Number of Risk Factors	Median Survival Time
Favorable Risk (n=133)	0	37 months
Intermediate Risk (n=292)	1-2	28.5 months
Poor Risk (n=139)	>2	9.4 months

Heng DY, et al. J Clin Oncol 2009

#### Who are candidates for active surveillance?

- Asymptomatic, low volume
- Phase II trial (n=22\_
- Radiographic assessments:
  - Baseline, q3 months in year 1; q4 months in year 2; q6 months thereafter
- Median time-to-treatment initiation (TTI) for symptomatic disease was 14.9 months
  - Poor risk group expectedly had shorter TTI
- Median OS = 38.6 months



Rini B et al. Lancet Oncology 2017

# Who should undergo cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) in mRCC?: Phase III trial of sunitinib with or without CN



"Sunitinib alone was not inferior to nephrectomy followed by sunitinib in patients with metastatic renalcell carcinoma who were classified as having intermediate risk or poor-risk disease."

Mejean, et al NEJM 2018

#### Who should undergo cytoreductive nephrectomy?

- Decision must be individualized according to risk
  - Avoid reflexive decisions
  - Seek multidisciplinary input
  - Most favorable risk and some intermediate risk patients remain candidates
    - Large and/or symptomatic primary tumors, low volume metastatic disease
  - Many intermediate and nearly all poor risk patients start systemic therapy first



Lara and Evans, JAMA Oncol 2019

## Who should undergo metastasectomy in mRCC?

- Highly selected patients
- Quality of evidence limited to retrospective studies
- Clinical features associated with benefit:
  - Good performance status
  - Isolated/oligometastatic disease
  - Disease-free interval postnephrectomy >2 years
  - Absence of lymph node involvement
  - Lung-only disease



Ouzaid, et al. Eur Urol Oncol. 2019

# If systemic therapy is indicated, why choose monotherapy?



#### mRCC used to be dominated by monotherapy



FDA-approved agents in mRCC

#### mRCC used to be dominated by monotherapy



... but many combinations are now established (or emerging) as SOC options

#### Fate of mRCC Monotherapy Control Arms



Interferon



**Everolimus** 



Sunitinib

#### **Scorecard: Randomized Frontline mRCC Trials**

Pivotal Trial		N	Respo (	nse Rate %)	Median PFS (month	s)	Median OS (months)
Sunitinib vs. IFN-α <sup>1</sup>		750	47 vs. 12		11 vs. 5		26.4 vs. 21.8
Bevacizumab + IFN-α vs. IFN-α²	649	31 v	/s. 12	10.4 vs. 5.5		23.3 vs. 21.3	
		732	25.5 vs. 13.1		8.4 vs. 4.9		18.3 vs. 17.4
Pazopanib vs. Placebo <sup>3</sup>		233	30	vs. 3	11.1 vs. 2.8		22.9 vs. 20.5
Pazopanib vs. Sunitinib⁴	nib vs. Sunitinib⁴		31 vs. 25		8.4 vs. 9.5		28.4 vs. 29.3
Cabozantinib vs. Sunitinib (int/poor risk)*⁵		157	46 vs. 18		8.2 vs. 5.6		30 vs. 21.8
Temsirolimus vs. IFN-α (poor risk) <sup>6</sup>		626	8.6 \	/s. 4.8	5.5 vs. 3.1		10.9 vs. 7.3
Nivo/Ipi vs. Sunitinib (int/poor risk) <sup>7</sup>		1,070	41.6 \	/s. 26.5	11.5 vs. 8.4		NR vs 26
Avelumab/Axitinib vs. Sunitinib <sup>®</sup>		886	55 v	s 25.5	13.8 vs 8.4		NR
Pembrolizumab/Axitinib vs. Sunitinib <sup>®</sup>		840	60.2	vs 39.9	15.4 vs 11.1		NR vs. 35.7
Nivolumab/Cabozantinib vs. Sunitinib <sup>10</sup>		651	55.7 v	/s. 27.1	16.6 vs. 8.3		NR (HR 0.6)
* Phase II trial	Angio	ogenesis inhibition mTOF		R inhibition	I	O-based therapy	

1) Motzer NEJM 2007,2) Escudier Lancet 2007, 3) Stemberg JCO 2010, 4) Motzer NEJM 2013, 5) Choueiri JCO 2017,6) Hudes NEJM 2007, 7) Motzer NEJM 2018; 8) Motzer NEJM 2019; 9) Rini, NEJM 2019; 10) Choueiri, ESMO 2020

## Systemic Frontline mRCC Therapy: Standard-of-Care 2020

- Immunotherapy-based combination therapy is SOC
  - Most mRCC patients should be considered for combination therapy
  - Immunotherapy-TKI combinations
    - <u>Pembrolizumab-Axitinib</u>: all risk groups
    - <u>Avelumab-Axitinib</u>: all risk groups
    - <u>Nivolumab-Cabozantinib</u> (FDA approval pending)
  - All-immunotherapy doublet
    - <u>Nivolumab-Ipilimumab</u>: intermediate/poor risk groups

#### Checkmate 9ER Phase III: Progression-free survival per BICR



Minimum study follow-up, 10.6 months.

Choueiri, ESMO 2020

CheckMate 9ER

#### **Checkmate 9ER: Overall survival**



Minimum study follow-up, 10.6 months. NE, not estimable; NR, not reached.

Choueiri, ESMO 2020

#### Who is NOT eligible for immunotherapy?

- Active autoimmune disease
- History of solid organ transplantation
- Supraphysiologic corticosteroids
- Chronic immunosuppressive therapy
- Personal preference (e.g., refuses IV therapy)



## Frontline mRCC: Who deserves checkpoint inhibitor monotherapy?

Answer: A few patients...

- Ineligible for (or refuse) VEGFR-TKI containing combination
- Averse to ipilimumab

	Number of ccRCC patients	ORR (95% CI)	PFS, months(95% CI)
Pembrolizumab	110	33.6% (24.8–43.4)	6.9 (5.1–NR)
Nivolumab	123	36.4% (27.4-46.1)	8.3 (5.5-10.9)

Tykodi, ASCO 2019 (Keynote 427A); Donskov, ESMO 2018 (KN427A); Atkins, ASCO 2020 (HCRN GU16-260)

## Frontline mRCC: Who deserves HD-IL2 monotherapy?

Answer: Almost no one

- HD IL2 still listed as monotherapy option in some guidelines
  - Reserved for robust patients with excellent PS and normal end-organ function
  - Long term survival observed, particularly those with favorable/int risk and/or CR
- Requirement for inpatient care and high toxicity limits routine use of HD IL2



Fishman, JITC 2019; Stenehjem, Cancer Immunol Immunother 2016

## Frontline mRCC: Who deserves mTORi monotherapy?

Answer: No one

- Temsirolimus monotherapy is FDA approved for frontline mRCC
- Original registration trial was in a "poor risk" subset (composite criteria)
- In era of more active, life-prolonging therapies...

#### There is little justification for routine Temsirolimus use



## Frontline mRCC: Who deserves VEGFR-TKI monotherapy?

- 1. Ineligible for IO
- 2. Refuses IO
- 3. Intolerant of IO
- 4. Selected patient subsets
  - Bone-only metastases?
    (Cabozantinib)
  - Non-clear cell histology
  - Some favorable risk patients?



#### Checkmate 214: Nivo/Ipi vs. Sunitinib <u>Favorable risk subset:</u> Higher RR and PFS with sunitinib; no OS difference

	N = 249			
Outcome	NIVO + IPI N = 125	SUN N = 124		
Confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	29 (21–38)	52 (43–61)		
	<i>P</i> = 0.0002			
PFS, median (95% CI),	15.3 (9.7–20.3)	25.1 (20.9–NE)		
months	HR (99.1% CI) 2.18 (1.29–3.68)			
months	P < 0	.0001		



Favorable risk

Tannir, ASCO GU 2019 Escudier et al ESMO 2017





Plimack, ASCO 2020

## Summary: Frontline mRCC Therapy

- Key steps for the practicing clinician:
  - Risk stratify
  - Seek multidisciplinary input
  - Consider active surveillance and cytoreduction
  - Assess for immunotherapy eligibility
- Combination immunotherapy-based therapy is SOC for most
- Monotherapy is limited to a small (and diminishing) subset
- Clinical trial participation, where appropriate