## INTEGRATING BIOMARKERS AND TARGETED THERAPY INTO COLORECTAL CANCER MANAGMENT

MITESH J. BORAD, M.D. Director, Precision Cancer Therapeutics Program Co-Leader – Gene and Virus Therapy Program



NOSCM November 20-22, 2020 New Orleans, LA

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

#### **RESEARCH FUNDING**

**Boston Biomedical** Mirna **Sunbiopharma** Senhwa **Medimmune Bioline** Agios Halozyme **Threshold** Celgene Toray Dicerna Sillajen Eisai

Taiho Ionis EMD Serono Incyte ARIAD Imclone Adaptimmune Redhill Biopharma

#### CONSULTANT

G1 Therapeutics TD2 Fujifilm Insys Novartis Arqule Celgene Inspyr Halozyme Exelixis

# **ADVANCES IN PRECISION MEDICINE**

- BRAF V600E
- HER2 (ERBB2) amplification
- MSI-high
- *KRAS G12C*
- NTRK 1/2/3 and other rare fusions

# **BRAF V600E MUTATIONS**

# **BRAF MUTATIONS IN METASTATIC CRC**



- ~7% of CRC
- Right sided
- Poor prognosis (median OS ~ 12 months)
- Limited benefit from anti-EGFR therapy
- Limited response to single agent BRAF inhibition

### **RATIONALE FOR TRIPLE MAPK PATHWAY INHIBITION**

#### MAPK Signaling in Colorectal Cancer<sup>1</sup>



1.Adapted From: Strickler JH. Cancer Treatment Reviews. 2017; 60:109-119

#### HT-29 BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> colorectal xenografts<sup>2</sup>



Each bar represents change in tumor volume in one animal at day 21. The control group showed increases in tumor size for all animals, with mean increase in tumor volume versus baseline of 285%.

2. Data on File. Array BioPharma Inc.

# **BEACON CRC PHASE 3 STUDY DESIGN**

Patients with *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> mCRC with disease progression after 1 or 2 prior regimens; ECOG PS of 0 or 1; and no prior treatment with any RAF inhibitor, MEK inhibitor, or EGFR inhibitor



Randomization was stratified by ECOG PS (0 vs. 1), prior use of irinotecan (yes vs. no), and cetuximab source (US-licensed vs. EU-approved)

Secondary Endpoints: Doublet vs Control and Triplet vs Doublet - OS & ORR, PFS, Safety

**<u>QOL Assessments</u>:** EORTC QOL Questionnaire (QLQ C30), Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Colon Cancer, EuroQol 5D5L, and Patient Global Impression of Change).

### **BEACON: OVERALL SURVIVAL AND RESPONSE RATE**



#### KOPETZ ET AL, NEJM 2019

### **OVERALL SURVIVAL: TRIPLET VS. DOUBLET**



First 331 Randomized Patients

#### **All Randomized Patients**

Study design not powered to formally compare OS in triplet vs doublet treatment arms

KOPETZ ET AL, NEJM 2019

### **BEACON: PROGRESSION FREE SURVIVAL**



KOPETZ ET AL, NEJM 2019

# **BEACON: CONCLUSIONS**

- Encorafenib + binimetinib + cetuximab is superior to current SOC
- The triplet regimen was well-tolerated by most patients
- Triplet might be superior to doublet, but possible increase in toxicity
- BEACON results support a new approach to management of BRAF V600E mutated metastatic CRC

# **HER2 AMPLIFICATIONS**

# HER2 AS A TARGET

- HER2 is a RTK encoded by *ERBB2*
- HER2 receptor has no soluble ligand
- HER2 heterodimerizes with other ligand-bound HER family members
- HER2–HER3 heterodimer is a potent driver of PI3K signaling
- Multiple therapies target HER2 and/or HER2 heterodimers



# PREVALENCE OF HER2 AMPLIFICATIONS IN COLORECTAL CANCER

Dataset	Patient population (n)	ERBB2 amplified
TCGA	615 unselected patients Source: online bioportal	3.1%
CARIS Life Sciences	1,226 unselected patients with metastatic disease Source: J Clin Oncol 32, 2014 (suppl; abstr e22200)	3.8%
Foundation Medicine	5,127 unselected patients with metastatic disease Source: J Clin Oncol 34, 2016 (suppl 4S; abstr 630)	3.0%

# HER2 AMPLIFICATION IS MORE COMMON IN RAS/RAF WT COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS

Dataset	Patient population (n)	ERBB2 amplified
HERACLES	914 <u>KRAS exon 2 WT</u> metastatic CRC patients Source: Sartore-Bianchi, Andrea et al., Lancet Oncol, 17(6) 738 - 746	5.3%
MDACC	114 <u>NRAS/KRAS WT</u> CRC patients 97 <u>KRAS/NRAS/BRAF</u> WT CRC patients Source: J Clin Oncol 34, 2016 (suppl; abstr 3517)	12.2% 14.4%
NCT02008383	76 <u>RAS WT</u> CRC patients (Guardant360) – Strickler et al series (unpublished)	11.8%

# HER2 IN METASTATIC COLORECTAL CANCER

- Usually <u>left sided</u>
- Homogeneous HER2
  expression
- Primary resistance to EGFR monoclonal antibodies (cetuximab, panitumumab)
- Not mutually exclusive with RAS or BRAF mutations
- Not associated with worse
  prognosis



# RESULTS OF DUAL ANTI-HER2 CLINICAL TRIALS IN PATIENTS WITH REFRACTORY HER2<sup>AMP</sup> METASTATIC COLORECTAL CANCER

Clinical trial	Therapies	Patients (N)	Response Rate	Time to Progression (median)
HERACLES	Lapatinib + Trastuzumab	27	30%	4.9 months
MyPathway	Pertuzumab + Trastuzumab	37	38%	4.6 months

Sartore-Bianchi et al., *Lancet Oncology* 2016 17, 738-746 Hurwitz et al., *J Clin Oncol* 35, 2017 (suppl 4S; abstract 676) Hainsworth et al., *J Clin Oncol* 2018, 36, 536-542

### **MYPATHWAY: BIOMARKERS OF SENSITIVITY/RESISTANCE**

	ORR n (%, 95% Cl)	Median PFS Months (95% CI)	Median OS Months (95% CI)
All patients (n=57)	18 (32%, 20-45)	2.9 (1.4-5.3)	11.5 (7.7-NE)
KRAS status Wild-type (n=43) Mutated (n=13)	17 (40%, 25-56) 1 (8%, 0.2-36)	5.3 (2.7-6.1) 1.4 (1.2-2.8)	14.0 (8.0-NE) 8.5 (3.9-NE)
PIK3CA status Wild-type (n=40) Mutated (n=8)	17 (43%, 27-59) 1 (13%, 0.3-53)	5.3 (2.8-6.1) 1.4 (1.1-5.7)	14.0 (8.5-NE) 7.3 (1.2-12.6)
Previous anti-EGFR* Any (n=31) None (n=12)	11 (36%, 19-55) 6 (50%, 21-79)	4.1 (1.6-8.2) 5.6 (1.3-14.7)	11.5 (7.2-22.1) NE (3.2-NE)

#### Meric-Bernstam et al., Lancet Oncol Vol 20, Issue 4, April 2019, 518-530

NCCN NCCN NCCN Network®

#### Comprehensive Cancer Network® NCCN Guidelines Version 3.2019 Colon Cancer

NCCN Guidelines Index Table of Contents Discussion



<sup>j</sup> Larotrectinib or entrectinib are treatment options for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer that is NTRK gene fusion positive.

<sup>n</sup> If neither previously given

<sup>o</sup> If no previous treatment with a checkpoint inhibitor

If no previous treatment with HER2 inhibitor.

t If not previously given

See footnotes on COL-D (7 of 13)

# **TUCATINIB : HIGHLY SELECTIVE HER2 TKI**

- Oral, small molecule TKI that targets HER2 (Seattle Genetics, Inc.)
- Highly selective for the HER2 receptor
- Selectivity may improve tolerability (skin rash, diarrhea, etc.) compared to non-selective TKIs
- Potential for enhanced target inhibition, patient compliance, and opportunity for combinations with other drugs

ти	Cellular Potency and Selectivity						
	pHER2 IC50 (nM): BT-474 cells	pEGFR IC50 (nM): A431 cells	Mechanism of Action				
Tucatinib <sup>1</sup>	8	>4,000	Reversible				
Neratinib <sup>1</sup>	7	8	Irreversible				
Lapatinib <sup>1</sup>	49	31	Reversible				
Poziotinib <sup>2</sup>	1	0.9	Irreversible				
Tesavatinib <sup>3</sup>	552	1	Reversible				

## HER2CLIMB PIVOTAL TRIAL – TUCATINIB/TRASTUZUMAB BREAST CANCER



#### Murthy et al., N Engl J Med 2019

## MOUNTAINEER – TRASTUZUMAB/TUCATINIB IN HER2+ COLORECTAL CANCER



#### Key eligibility

•

- Prior progression on 5FU, oxaliplatin, irinotecan, and an anti-VEGF monoclonal Ab
- Prior anti-EGFR <u>NOT</u> required
- Molecular testing confirming that tumor tissue has at least one of the following:
  - HER2 overexpression (IHC=3+ or IHC=2+ and amplified by FISH/CISH)
  - ERBB2 amplification by in situ hybridization assay signal ratio > 2.0 or gene copy number > 6
  - ERBB2 amplification by NGS sequencing assay
  - Prior anti-HER2 therapy excluded

### **MOUNTAINEER – BEST PERCENT CHANGE FROM BASELINE**



## **MOUNTAINEER – PFS AND OS**



### **MOUNTAINEER – RESULTS**

	ORR n (%, 95% Cl)	Median PFS Months (95% Cl)	Median OS Months (95% CI)
Evaluable patients (n=23)	12 (52.2%, 30.6-73.2)	8.1 (3.8-NE)	18.7 (12.3-NE)
Treated patients* (n=26)	12 (46.2%, 26.6-66.6)	8.1 (3.8-NE)	18.7 (12.3-NE)
Primary Tumor Site			
Left/rectum (n=17)	11 (64.7%, 38.3-85.8)	11.7 (4.0-NE)	NE (18.7-NE)
Transverse (n=3)	1 (33.3%, 0.8-90.6)	2.0 (1.9-8.1)	17.3 (2.7-17.3)
Right (n=4)	0 (0%, 0-60.2)	NE (2.2-NE)	12.3 (NE-NE)
Overlapping (n=2)	0 (3.9%, 0-84.2)	2.8 (NE-NE)	3.5 (NE-NE)

### **MOUNTAINEER – TREATMENT RELATED TOXICITIES**

											Α	ny
	Gra	de 1	Gra	de 2	Gra	de 3	Gra	de 4	Gra	de 5	Gra	ade
Toxicity	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Any AE	6	23.1	10	38.5	2	7.7	-	-	-	-	18	69.2
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	10	38.5									10	38.5
Alanine aminotransferase increased	6	23.1									6	23.1
Diarrhea	1	3.8	4	15.4	1	3.8					6	23.1
Fatigue	3	11.5	2	7.7							5	19.2
Infusion related reaction			3	11.5							3	11.5
Anemia	1	3.8	1	3.8							2	7.7
Blood bilirubin increased	2	7.7									2	7.7
Creatinine increased	2	7.7									2	7.7
Hypertension			1	3.8	1	3.8					2	7.7

# **MOUNTAINEER – CONCLUSIONS**

- The combination of tucatinib and trastuzumab is well tolerated and has met its primary efficacy endpoint
- Responses concentrated in patients with left-sided colon/rectal tumors
- Further expansion of the study in patients with *HER2* amplified *RAS* WT mCRC

# ZW25: Azymetric<sup>™</sup> Bispecific HER2-Targeted Antibody



- Designed using the Azymetric bispecific platform
- Biparatopic simultaneously binds two HER2 epitopes
  - ECD4 (trastuzumab binding domain)
  - ECD2 (pertuzumab binding domain)
- Unique binding results in novel mechanisms of action



## **ZW25 IN ADVANCED SOLID TUMORS**



+ 3 of the 46 response-evaluable patients had no post-baseline disease assessment of their target lesions

Meric-Bernstam et al., Annals of Oncology 30, 2019 (suppl 5; abstr 3575). ESMO 2019

## **DS-8201a Structure and Mechanism of Action**



Payload with a different mechanism of action
High potency of payload
Payload with short systemic half-life
Bystander effect
Stable linker-payload
Tumor-selective cleavable linker
High drug-to-antibody ratio

• DS-8201a was designed with the goal of improving critical attributes of an ADC

PRESENTED AT: 2018 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING #ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

PRESENTED BY: Hiroji Iwata, MD, PhD

# Consistent Tumor Shrinkage Across Tumor Types: (5.4 or 6.4 mg/kg)



PRESENTED AT: 2018 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING #ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

PRESENTED BY: Hiroji Iwata, MD, PhD



# Pembrolizumab Versus Chemotherapy for Microsatellite Instability-High/Mismatch Repair Deficient Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: The Phase 3 KEYNOTE-177 Study

Thierry André,<sup>1</sup> Kai-Keen Shiu,<sup>2</sup> Tae Won Kim,<sup>3</sup> Benny Vittrup Jensen,<sup>4</sup> Lars Henrik Jensen,<sup>5</sup> Cornelis Punt,<sup>6</sup> Denis Smith,<sup>7</sup> Rocio Garcia-Carbonero,<sup>8</sup> Manuel Benavides,<sup>9</sup> Peter Gibbs,<sup>10</sup> Christelle de la Fouchardiere,<sup>11</sup> Fernando Rivera,<sup>12</sup> Elena Elez,<sup>13</sup> Johanna Bendell,<sup>14</sup> Dung T. Le,<sup>15</sup> Takayuki Yoshino,<sup>16</sup> Ping Yang,<sup>17</sup> Mohammed Farooqui,<sup>18</sup> Patricia Marinello,<sup>18</sup> and Luis A. Diaz Jr<sup>19</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sorbonne Université and Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris, France; <sup>2</sup>University College Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan, Seoul, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Herlev, Denmark; <sup>5</sup>University Hospital of Southern Denmark, Vejle, Denmark; <sup>6</sup>Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands; <sup>7</sup>Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France; <sup>8</sup>Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Imas12, CNIO, UCM, Madrid, Spain; <sup>9</sup>Hospital Regional Universitario de Malaga, Malaga, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Western Health, St Albans, Australia; <sup>11</sup>Léon Bérard Center, Lyon, France; <sup>12</sup>Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain; <sup>13</sup>Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>14</sup>Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; <sup>15</sup>Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, USA; <sup>16</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital East, Kashiwa, Japan; <sup>17</sup>MSD China, Beijing, China; <sup>18</sup>Merck & Co., Inc. Kenilworth, NJ, USA; <sup>19</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

PRESENTED AT: 2020 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING

Sildes are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

# **Colorectal Cancer: Two Different Diseases**

CIN+ (85%) Chromosomal Instability	MSI-H (15%) Genetic (Microsatellite) Instability
Aneuploidy, loss of heterozygosity/loss of genetic material	Diploidy, no loss of heterozygosity
Proficient Mismatch Repair system Microsatellite stable (MSS)	Deficient Mismatch repair system Microsatellite instability (MSI)
Sporadic or Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP)	Sporadic or Lynch syndrome
95% of metastatic colorectal cancer	5% of metastatic colorectal cancer Prognosis and chemosensitivity of MSI seems worse vs MSS <sup>1-3</sup>
More prevalent in distal location	More prevalent in proximal location
Frequent mutation of KRAS	Frequent mutation of BRAF <sup>V600E</sup>
Tumor mutation burden low	Tumor mutation burden high
	Increased immune infiltration, higher tumour neo-antigens
No clear efficacy of immune check point inhibitor <sup>4</sup>	Efficacy of immune check point inhibitor in phase I and II <sup>4-7</sup>

1. Venderbosch S et al. Clin Canc Res 2014;20:5322-30; 2. Innocenti F et al. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:1217-1227; 3. Tougeron D et al., Int J Cancer 2020;Epub; 4. Le DT et al. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:2509-20; 5. Le D et al. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:11-19; 6. Overman M et al. Lancet Oncol 2017;18:1182-91; 7. Overman M et al. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:773-79.

PRESENTED AT: 2020ASCO ANNUAL MEETING

Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

# KEYNOTE-177 Study Design (NCT02563002)



- Dual-Primary endpoints: PFS per RECIST v1.1 per blinded independent central review (BICR) and OS
- Secondary endpoints: ORR per RECIST v1.1 by BICR, safety
- Tumor response assessed at week 9 and Q9W thereafter per RECIST v1.1 by BICR

\*Chosen before randomization; \*Bevacizumab 5 mg/kg IV; \*Cetuximab 400 mg/m2 over 2 hours then 250 mg/mg<sup>2</sup> IV over 1 hour weekly. IHC: immunohistochemistry with hMLH1, hMSH2, hMSH6, PMS2; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; PFS, progression-free survival; OS: overall survival; ORR: overall response rate; Q9W: every 9 weeks.

PRESENTED AT: 2020 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING

NG #ASCO20 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

## **Progression-Free Survival**



Median study follow-up: 32.4 months (range, 24.0 – 48.3); PFS (time from randomization to first documented disease progression or death) assessed per RECIST v1.1 by BICR. Superiority of pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy for PFS was demonstrated at the pre-specified one-sided α = 0.0117; Data cut-off. 19Feb2020.

PRESENTED AT: 2020ASCO ANNUAL MEETING

**#ASCO20** Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

presented by: Thierry Andre, MD

## **Duration of Response**



Duration of Response assessed per RECIST v1.1 by BICR; Data cut-off: 19Feb2020.

PRESENTED AT: 2020 ASCO ANNUAL MEETING

NG #ASCO20 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

# **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

- Pembrolizumab provided a clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in PFS over chemotherapy in patients with MSI-H mCRC
- Responses were more durable with pembrolizumab
- Take-home: Pembrolizumab is the new standardof-care as first-line therapy in patients with MSI-H mCRC

# **KRAS G12C MUTATIONS**

### **KRAS G12C: GI TUMORS WELL REPRESENTED**



https://genie.cbioportal.org/GENIE Cohort v6.1-public

#### AMG 510 is a First-in-Class KRAS<sup>G12C</sup> Inhibitor

- KRAS is a GTP-binding protein that links receptor tyrosine kinase activation to intracellular signaling <sup>1,2</sup>
- Mutation of KRAS favors the GTP-bound active state, leading to constitutive activation of downstream signaling cascades that regulate differentiation, proliferation, and survival<sup>3</sup>
- *KRAS G12C* mutation has been identified as an oncogenic driver of tumorigenesis
- KRAS G12C mutation is found in approximately 13% of lung cancer<sup>4</sup>, 3% of colorectal (CRC)<sup>5</sup> and appendix cancer, and 1-3% of other solid tumors<sup>6</sup>
- Currently, there is no approved therapy targeting this mutation
- AMG 510 is a novel, first in class, small molecule that specifically and irreversibly inhibits KRAS<sup>G12C</sup> by permanently locking it in an inactive GDP-bound state



Govindan et al., Annals of Oncology, Volume 30, Issue Supplement\_5, October 2019. ESMO 2019

#### **Baseline Characteristics**

Baseline Characteristics	N = 76
Median age (range) – years	59.0 (33.0–78.0)
Female – n (%)	40 (52.6)
Primary tumor type – n (%) NSCLC CRC SCLC Appendiceal cancer Endometrial cancer Small bowel cancer	34 (44.7) 36 (47.4) 1 (1.3) <sup>a</sup> 3 (3.9) 1 (1.3) 1 (1.3)
ECOG performance at baseline – n (%) 0 1 2	20 (26.3) 53 (69.7) 3 (3.9)
Prior lines of systemic anticancer therapy – n (%) 1 2 > 2	5 (6.6) 9 (11.8) 62 (81.6)
Median No. of prior systemic anticancer therapy – n (range)	4.0 (1–10)

Govindan et al., Annals of Oncology, Volume 30, Issue Supplement\_5, October 2019. ESMO 2019

#### Patient Incidence of Adverse Events (AEs): Summary

960mg oral daily dose was identified as the expansion dose and recommended phase 2 dose

	All AEs N = 76 n (%)	All treatment- related AEs N = 76 n (%)
Any grade Grade ≥ 2 Grade ≥ 3 Grade ≥ 4	57 (75.0) 44 (57.9) 24 (31.6) 8 (10.5)	26 (34.2) 14 (18.4) 6 (7.9) 0 (0.0)
Dose limiting toxicity	0 (0)	0 (0)
Serious adverse events	17 (22.4)	0 (0) <sup>c</sup>
Fatal adverse events	7 (9.2)ª	0 (0)
AEs leading to treatment discontinuation	2 (2.6) <sup>b</sup>	0 (0)

- No dose limiting toxicities were reported
- No treatment-related serious or fatal AEs were reported
- There were no treatmentrelated AEs leading to treatment discontinuation

#### **Best Tumor Response With All Dose Levels, All Tumor Types**

Efficacy outcomes	NSCLC, evaluable patients N = 23	CRC, evaluable patients N = 29	Other tumor types, evaluable patients N = 3
Best overall response			
Partial response – No. (%)	11 (48)	1 (3.4)	1 (33.3) <sup>b</sup>
Stable disease – No. (%)	11 (48)	22 (75.9)	1 (33.3) <sup>c</sup>
Progressive disease – No. (%)	1 (4)	6 (20.7)	1 (33.3) <sup>d</sup>
Objective response rate – %	48%	3%	N/A
Disease control rate <sup>a</sup> – %	96%	79%	N/A

Govindan et al., Annals of Oncology, Volume 30, Issue Supplement\_5, October 2019. ESMO 2019

#### **Efficacy in CRC**

#### Change in Tumor Burden From Baseline





#### **Efficacy in CRC**



Time to Response and Duration of Treatment

Govindan et al., Annals of Oncology, Volume 30, Issue Supplement\_5, October 2019. ESMO 2019

#### **MRTX849** Patient Disposition



\* 1 patient withdrew consent prior to 1<sup>st</sup> scan (1200 mg QD);

1 patient discontinued treatment due to an unrelated AE prior to 1st scan (600 mg QD)

Janne et al., Presented at AACR-NCI-EORTC International Conference on Molecular Targets, October 28,2019

#### All Evaluable Patients: Best Tumor Response\* (N = 12)



\* Based on local radiographic scans every 6 weeks using RECIST 1.1 criteria

<sup>‡</sup> Confirmed response (1<sup>st</sup> scan: -37%, 2<sup>nd</sup> scan: -47%); <sup>†</sup> Response yet to be confirmed (on study but only 1 scan)

§ Patient had confirmed PR post data cut-off (1st scan: -33%, 2nd scan: -43%)

Patient on study (off study patients: 1 progressive disease, 1 global deterioration of health, 1 patient withdrawal of consent)

Data cut-off date: 11-Oct-2019

#### Janne et al., Presented at AACR-NCI-EORTC International Conference on Molecular Targets, October 28,2019

# **KRAS G12C:** Actionable Target

- *KRAS G12C* inhibitors have entered the clinic
- Single-agent activity has been demonstrated
- Limited toxicity suggests potential for combination strategies to improve depth and duration of response
- More anti-KRAS strategies could be on the horizon (e.g. SHP2 inhibitors)

# NTRK 1/2/3 AND OTHER FUSIONS

# CRC Fusions: Actionable but rare

- In a series of 2,314 CRC cases profiled at MSKCC, 21 fusions detected (0.9%)
  - 8 NTRK 1/2/3 fusions
  - 5 BRAF fusions
  - 5 RET fusions
  - 2 FGFR fusions
  - 1 ROS fusion
  - 1 ALK fusion

#### Fusions detected (N= 21)



Cocco et al., Cancer Research 2019 Mar 15;79(6):1047-1053.

# Larotrectinib: Best Response



<sup>‡</sup>Includes 9 unconfirmed PRs pending confirmation; does not include 13 patients continuing on study and awaiting initial response assessment \*Patient had TRKC solvent front resistance mutation (G623R) at baseline due to prior therapy; #Surgical CR; <sup>†</sup>RECIST 1.1

Lassen et al, ESMO 2018

# **Larotrectinib: PFS and OS**

Primary dataset\*

Supplementary dataset\*



Lassen et al, ESMO 2018

# **Entrectinib: Overview**

- Entrectinib exhibits potent anti-proliferative activity in all NTRK and ROS1 fusion partners
- Tumor regressions demonstrated in multiple cell line and patient-derived xenograft NTRK- and ROS1 tumour models
- Entrectinib achieves therapeutic levels in CNS with antitumor activity in multiple intracranial tumour models

### Entrectinib: Best Response in Patients with *NTRK* fusion+ Advanced Solid Tumors by Tumor Type



Patients

Doebele et al., Lancet Oncology 2019

## **Fusions most likely to be found in MSI-H patients**

	Partner		Kinase			MSI	MLH1 promoter	
Case	gene	Exon	gene	Exon	MMR IHC	status	hypermethylation	Fusion detected by
1	LMNA	8	NTRK1	12	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT
2	CCDC	8	RET	12	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT + Archer
3	TPM3	10	NTRK1	9	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT
4	LMNA	2	NTRK1	11	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT
5	ETV6	6	NTRK3	15	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT
6	SPTBN1	7	ALK	20	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	N/A	IMPACT
7	GEMIN5	24	RET	12	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT + Archer
8	TPM3	8	NTRK1	10	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	N/A	Positive	IMPACT + Archer
9	AGAP3	10	BRAF	9	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT
10	EML4	2	NTRK3	14	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	Archer (IMPACT Negative)
11	TPM3	8	NTRK1	10	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	N/A	N/A	Archer (IMPACT Insufficient)
12	TRIM24	14	BRAF	9	MMR-D (MLH1/PMS2)	MSI-H	Positive	IMPACT + Archer
13	NCOA4	10	RET	12	MMR-P	MSS	Positive	IMPACT
14	LMNA	12	NTRK1	12	MMR-P	MSS	Negative	IMPACT + Archer
15	GOPC	4	ROS1	36	MMR-P	MSS	Negative	IMPACT + Archer
16	NCOA4	8	RET	12	MMR-P	MSS	Negative	IMPACT
17	CUL1	7	BRAF	9	MMR-P	MSS	N/A	IMPACT
18	MKRN1	3	BRAF	10	N/A	MSS	N/A	IMPACT + Archer
19	AGAP3	9	BRAF	9	MMR-P	MSS	N/A	IMPACT
20	FGFR3	17	STAB1	51	MMR-P	MSS	N/A	Archer (IMPACT Negative)
21	FGFR2	14	MYH15	31	MMR-P	MSS	N/A	Archer (IMPACT Negative)

Table 1. Spectrum and molecular characteristics of kinase fusions in colorectal carcinoma

Abbreviation: N/A, testing was not performed.

Cocco et al., Cancer Research 2019 Mar 15;79(6):1047-1053.

# Larotrectinib in NTRK+ GI Cancers

Best change in tumor size, by tumor type



• 7/8 patients with NTRK+ CRC also had MSI-H tumors

Berlin et al., <u>J Clin Oncol</u> 38, 2020 (suppl 4; abstr 824).

# Conclusions

- Comprehensive molecular profiling is essential for all patients with metastatic CRC
- The number of "actionable" targets is growing precision cancer medicine has finally arrived for metastatic CRC
- The key to finding a rare target is knowing <u>who</u> to test and <u>how</u> to test it
- The complexity of precision cancer medicine highlights the need for an active institutional molecular tumor board

### **ACTIONABLE COLORECTAL CANCER TARGETS IN 2010**



## **ACTIONABLE COLORECTAL CANCER TARGETS IN 2020**



# THANK YOU !