



How I Treat Metastatic Urothelial Cancer when IO Therapy Fails

Mamta Parikh,MD,MS UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center



A Challenging (but familiar) case

- 78 year old previously healthy man diagnosed with muscleinvasive bladder cancer
- After 3 cycles of neoadjuvant ddMVAC, patient found to have biopsy-proven lung metastases
- PD-L1 IHC <u>></u> 70%
- After 3 cycles of pembrolizumab, SD



- After 6 cycles of pembrolizumab



First- How Did We Get to Failure?



Why did we fail?





Options once Treatment is Indicated





Chemotherapy options

é		Response Rate	Median OS
Platinum naiv	MVAC with carboplatin	56%	10 months
	Gemicitabine + carboplatin	41%	9 months
	Gemcitabine + taxane	~50%	13-15 months
	Docetaxel	13-33%	9 months
	Docetaxel + Ramicurumab	24.5%	9.4 months
	Nab-paclitaxel	28%	
	Pemetrexed	8-28%	9 months



Options once Treatment is Indicated





Targeted therapy- FGFR

Cancer Type	Frequency of FGFR alterations
Metastatic UC	15-20%
Upper Tract UC (FGFR3)	37%
NMIBC	40-70%

• Erdafitinib is an oral pan-FGFR (1-4) inhibitor





Phase 2 BLC2001 Study Design



Abbreviations: DoR, duration of response; PD, pharmacodynamics; PFS, progression-free survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; QD, daily; TRAEs, treatment-related adverse events.



#ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

PRESENTED BY: Arlene O. Siefker-Radtke

Antitumor Activity

Study has met the primary objective

		[95% CI]
Patients, n	99	
Response per investigator assessment ^{a,b} , n (%)		
ORR	40 (40.4)	[30.7-50.1]
Complete response Partial response	3 (3.0) 37 (37.4)	
Stable disease	39 (39.4)	
Progressive disease	18 (18.2)	
Median time to response	1.4 months	
Median duration of response	5.6 months	[4.2-7.2]
ORR among patient subgroups, n (%) Chemo-naïve vs progressed/relapsed after chemo With vs without visceral metastases	5/12 (41.7) vs 30/78 (38.5) v	s 35/87 (40.2) rs 10/21 (47.6)
^a Confirmed with second scan at least 6 weeks following the initial observation of response. ^b Response in 2 patients was unknown.		

21.2% of patients remain on study treatment after 11 months of follow-up

- Of those treated with IO, 5% had response to IO
- Of those with prior IO, ORR was 59%



TRAEs of Clinical Importance or Special Interest

	8 mg continuous dose (n = 99)	
Patients with AEs, n (%)	Any grade	Grade ≥ 3
Hyperphosphatemia	72 (73)	2 (2)
Skin events	48 (49)	6 (6)
Dry skin Hand-foot syndrome	32 (32) 22 (22)	0 (0) 5 (5)
Nail events	51 (52)	14 (14)
Onycholysis Paronychia Nail Dystrophy	16 (16) 14 (14) 16 (16)	2 (2) 3 (3) 6 (6)
Central serous retinopathy (CSR) Non-CSR ocular eventsª	21 (21) 51 (52)	3 (3) 5 (5)

*Most common non-CSR ocular events included dry eye (19%), blurry vision (16%), increased lacrimation (11%), and conjunctivitis (9%).

• Majority of events were grade 1/2

Few patients (n = 7) discontinued because of AEs of special interest

• All AEs of special interest were managed with supportive therapies, dose interruption, and/or modification

 CSR is a known class effect of inhibitors of the MAPK pathway^{1,2}

Patients were routinely monitored

 CSR rarely led to discontinuation (n = 3), and no patient had retinal vein or artery occlusion

Abbreviation: MAPK, mitogen activated protein kinase.

1. Renouf DJ, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:3277-3286

2. Stjepanovic N, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:998-1005.



#ASCO18 Slides are the property of the author, permission required for reuse.

PRESENTED BY: Arlene O. Siefker-Radtke



Is Response Rate Maintained Post-IO? Stay tuned...

FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Erdafitinib for Metastatic Urothelial Carcinoma





Other promising targets in Urothelial Carcinoma



Targeted therapies in clinical trials

COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

NCT #	Ph	Target	Treatment
02201212	II	TSC1, TSC2	Everolimus
03047213	II	TSC1, TSC2	Sapanisertib
02122172	II	HER	Afatinib
02546661 Ib (BISCAY)			Durvalumab +/-
		FGFR	AZD4547
		PARP	Olaparib
		Wee-1	AZD1775
		mTOR1-2	Vistusertinib
		Stat3 ASO	AZD9150
		MEK	Selumetinib
03517956	Ι	FGFR	Rogaritinib + copanlisib
03523572	I/II	HER2	Trastuzumab deruxtecan + nivolumab
03330561	I	HER2, CD137	PRS-343 (bispecific)



Options once Treatment is Indicated





Antibody Drug Conjugates: Enfortumab vedotin

- Nectin 4 is overexpressed in mUC
- Enfortumab vedotin delivers MMAE to tumor cells with Nectin-4 expression





EV-201: Single-Arm, Pivotal Phase 2 Trial



EV-201: Cohort 1 Change in Tumor Measurements per BICR





EV-301 Cohort A Results

- Most patients had PD-L1 IHC <10% (65%)
- 90% of patients had visceral disease
- 40% with liver metastases

Efficacy endpoint (Median)	Months (95% CI)
Time on treatment	4.6 (0.5, 15.6)
Duration of Response	7.6 (0.95, 11.3+)
PFS	5.8 (4.9, 7.5)
OS	11.7 (9.1, NR)

- Peripheral neuropathy in 50% of patients $(3\% \ge \text{Grade 3})$
- Rash in 48% (12% > Grade 3)
- Hyperglycemia in 11% (6% > Grade 3)
- 12% of patients discontinued due to AE (6% due to neuropathy)



Antibody Drug Conjugates: Sacizituzumab govitecan

 Trop 2 is highly expressed in both normal urothelium and in ~80% of urothelial carcinoma patients



mUC results in IMMU-132-01 Study

IMMU 132-01 Basket Study Design

 Study 01 was a phase I/II, open-label, single-arm, multicenter, basket trial (NCT01631552) investigated the activity of sacituzumab govitecan in patients with advanced epithelial cancers.



- mUC cohort: 45pts on 10 mg/kg dose, 3 on 8 mg/kg dose, 1 on 12 mg/kg dose
- Data cut-off: Sept 1, 2018

1Starodub AN, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2015;21:3870-8; ²Faltas B, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2016;14:e75-79. *All Phase I patients counted in Phase II Population; [®]One patient in this cohort had small cell carcinoma of the bladder; [®]Preliminary results were reported at ESMO 2017 (Tagawa et al. Annals Oncol. 2017;28(suppl 5):301 [Abstract 858P])

MTD. maximum tolerated dose. mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer, RP2D, recommended phase 2 dose. clinicaltrials gov. NCT01631552

PRESENTED AT: 2019 Genitourinary Cancers Symposium | #GU19 Presented by: Scott T. Tagawa

Slides are property of the author. Permission required for reuse.

3



Summary of Results

- Objective Response Rate:
 - Total study population: 31.1% (14/45)
 - Prior checkpoint inhibitor: 23.5% (4/17)
 - Prior platinum, prior checkpoint inhibitor: 26.7% (4/15)
- Patients received median 8 cycles
- Most frequent AEs:
 - Diarrhea → SAE
 - Nausea
 - Fatigue
 - − Neutropenia \rightarrow 24% needed G-CSF. SAEs of febrile neutropenia



Approach to the checkpoint inhibitor refractory patient





Back to the Patient

- 78 year old previously healthy man diagnosed with muscleinvasive bladder cancer
- After 3 cycles of neoadjuvant ddMVAC, patient found to have biopsy-proven lung metastases
- PD-L1 IHC <u>></u> 70%
- After 3 cycles of pembrolizumab, SD





• After 6 cycles of pembrolizumab



Clinical Course

- NGS mutations:
 - EGFR exon 20 insertion
 - TERT promoter
 - TMB low
- Patient enrolled to clinical trial of enfortumab vedotin v chemotherapy, randomized to enfortumab vedotin arm
- Continues on treatment, complicated by development of Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy







Questions