How I Treat Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Brian A. Jonas, MD, PhD, FACP Associate Professor of Medicine University of California, Davis August 17, 2019





Disclosures

- Consulting/Advising:
 - AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, GlycoMimetics, Jazz, Pharmacyclics, Tolero
- Travel Support:
 - AbbVie, Amgen, GlycoMimetics
- Grant/Research Support:
 - AbbVie, AROG, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, Esanex, Forma,
 Genentech/Roche, GlycoMimetics, Incyte, Pharmacyclics, Accelerated
 Medical Diagnostics, LP Therapeutics

Learning Objectives

- Review some of the recent FDA approved drugs for acute myeloid leukemia
- Discuss the evolving standard of care for acute myeloid leukemia and state of the art in 2019, <u>with a focus on first-line treatment</u>

Acute Myeloid Leukemia

- Clonal expansion of immature myeloid cells
- Heterogeneous disease
- Median age 68
- 21,450 new cases (M>F) with 10,920 deaths expected in US in 2019¹
- Bleeding, infections, anemia
- High relapse rates





¹ACS Cancer Statistics, 2019.

Prior First-Line Treatment of AML



Recent FDA Approvals for AML

- Standard of care 7+3 treatment established in 1972...
- 4/28/17 *Midostaurin* for newly diagnosed FLT3-mutated AML in combination with 7+3
- 8/1/17 *Enasidenib* for R/R AML with mutated IDH2
- 8/3/17 *Daunorubicin and cytarabine liposome for injection* (CPX-351) for newly diagnosed t-AML and AML with MRC
- 9/1/17 Gemtuzumab ozogamicin for newly diagnosed or R/R CD33positive AML
- 7/20/18 Ivosidenib for R/R AML with mutated IDH1
 - 5/2/19 first line for 75+ or unfit treatment naïve AML with mutated IDH1
- 11/21/18 Venetoclax plus Aza/Dec/LDAC for 75+ or unfit treatment naïve AML
- 11/21/18 **Glasdegib** plus LDAC for 75+ or unfit treatment naïve AML
- 11/28/18 **Gilteritinib** for R/R FLT3-mutated AML

Prior First-Line Treatment of AML



First-Line Treatment of Fit AML in 2019



Prior First-Line Treatment of AML



First-Line Treatment of Unfit AML in 2019



Recently FDA Approved Agents and Regimens for First-Line Treatment of AML

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin (GO)

- CD33 antibody-drug conjugate (calicheamicin derivative)
- CD33 on >80% of AML



ALFA-0701 Trial – Addition of GO to 7+3 improved OS

- 7+3 (DNR 60, AraC 200) vs 7+3 plus fractionated gemtuzumab ozogamicin (3mg/m2 days 1, 4 and 7)
- 280 patients randomized 1:1, age 50-70, 17% favorable, 71% intermediate
- Up to 2 cycles of induction and 2 cycles of consolidation
- CR 75% (control) vs 81% (GO), p=0.25



ALFA-0701 Trial – Subgroup and Safety Data

- Benefit more pronounced in Fav/Int risk, FLT3-ITD+
- More hematologic toxicity
- Non-heme toxicity similar
- 31 patients in GO arm had subsequent allo-HCT
 - Recommended 2 month interval between GO and allo-HCT
 - 6 cases of VOD (5%) in GO arm
 - 3 without HSCT (3%)
 - Two fatal
 - 3 post-transplant (9.7%)
 - All three non-fatal

	Control group (n=139)	Gemtuzumab ozogamicin group (n=139)	Relative risk (95% Cl)	p value
Induction death	5/139 (4%)	9/139 (6%)	0.56 (0.20-1.54)	0.41
Transfer to intensive-care unit	17/139 (12%)	20/139 (14%)	0.85 (0.47-1.54)	0.72
Treatment-related death during CR or CRp	8/104* (8%)	2/113 (2%)	4.35 (1.07–17.84)	0.051
Grade 3 and 4 adverse events				
Haemorrhage	4/139 (3%)	12/139 (9%)	0-33 (0-12-0-95)	0.068
Cardiac	9/139 (6%)	11/139 (8%)	0.82 (0.36-1.87)	0.82
Liver	9/139 (6%)	18/139 (13%)	0.50 (0.24-1.05)	0.10
Skin or mucosa	25/139 (18%)	32/139 (23%)	0·11 (0·03-0·42)	0.37
Gastrointestinal	14/139 (10%)	22/139 (16%)	0·64 (0·34-1·18)	0.21
Pulmonary	16/139 (12%)	16/139 (12%)	1.00 (0.53-1.90)	1-00
Grade 3 and 4 infections				
During induction	50/131 (38%)	59/129 (46%)	0.83 (0.62-1.11)	0.26
During first consolidation	38/95 (40%)	48/97 (49%)	0-80 (0-59-1-11)	0.19
During second consolidation	38/82 (46%)	38/81 (47%)	0.99 (0.71-1.37)	0.99

Data are n/N (%), unless otherwise indicated. CR=complete remission. CRp=complete remission with incomplete platelet recovery. *Includes five deaths after stem-cell transplantation.

Table 4: Non-haematological toxicity

Meta-Analysis of Trials Adding Gemtuzumab to Induction Chemo in AML

- Independent patient data meta-analysis of 5 randomized trials (3325 pts): ALFA-0701, MRC AML15, NCRI AML16, SWOG-0106, GOELAMS AML2006IR
- No difference in CR/CRi rate
- Doses of 3mg/m2 associated with fewer early deaths than 6mg/m2 with equal efficacy
- Addition of GO significantly reduced relapse risk (HR: 0.81 [0.73-0.90]; p = 0.0001) and improved OS (HR: 0.90 [0.82-0.98]; p = 0.01)
 - Benefit limited to patients with favorable- or intermediate-risk cytogenetics (HR: 0.47 and 0.84; p = .0006 and 0.005, respectively)

6-Yr OS, %	GO + Chemo	Chemo	<i>P</i> Value
All patients	34.6	30.7	.01
Cytogenetic risk			
 Favorable 	76.3	55.0	.005
Intermediate	39.4	34.1	.007
 Adverse 	9.2	6.7	> .10

CPX-351 Phase 3 Study Design

- Liposomal formulation of cytarabine and daunorubicin encapsulated at a 5:1 molar ratio
 - Prolonged fixed molar ratio in plasma, prolonged drug exposure, selective uptake by leukemic cells



CPX-351 Improved Outcomes Compared to 7+3

	CPX-351 n=153	7+3 n=156		
			Odds Ratio	P value
CR	37.3%	25.6%	1.67 (1.02, 2.74)	0.040
CR+CRi	47.7%	33.3%	1.77 (1.11, 2.81)	0.016
Stem Cell Transplant	34.0%	25.0%	1.54 (0.92, 2.56)	0.098



CALGB10603 - RATIFY TRIAL



study

- Primary endpoint: OS (not censored for SCT)
- Secondary endpoint: EFS

RATIFY TRIAL - Efficacy





Table 3. Summary of Complete Remission.*						
Variable	Midostaurin Group (N = 360)	Placebo Group (N=357)	P Value†			
Protocol-specified complete remission — no. (%)	212 (59)	191 (54)	0.15			
Kaplan–Meier estimate of time to complete remission — days						
Median	35	35				
Range	20–60	20–60				

* Complete remission was defined as the presence of less than 5% blasts in the marrow or extramedullary leukemia, an absolute neutrophil count of more than 1000 per microliter, a platelet count of more than 100,000 per microliter, and the absence of blasts in the peripheral blood; in addition, per protocol, complete remission had to occur by day 60. \uparrow P value is two-sided and was calculated with the use of Fisher's exact test.

For CR patients, no difference in median time to ANC or Plt recovery

Med EFS 8.2 vs 3.0 months (p=0.002) Med DFS 26.7 vs 15.5 months (p=0.01) 57% of patients had HSCT 4yr OS (censored for HSCT) 63.7% vs 55.7% (p=0.08)

Targeting Mutated IDH



- Mutation frequency = ~15-20%
- Neomorphic activity
- Cooperates with FLT3, RAS, DNMT3A mutations to drive leukemia
- Ivosidenib (IDH1i)
- Enasidenib (IDH2i)

Response in R/R AML 500 mg (n=179)

	R/R AML 500 mg (n=179)		R/R AML 500 (n=179)
CR+CRh rate, n (%) [95% Cl]	57 (31.8) [25.1, 39.2]	Overall Response Rate, n (%) [95% Cl]	75 (41.9) [34.6,
Time to CR/CRh, median (range) months	2.0 (0.9, 5.6)	Time to first response, median (range) months	1.9 (0.8, 4.7)
Duration of CR/CRh, median [95% CI] months	8.2 [5.6, 12.0]	Duration of response, median [95% CI] months	6.5 [5.5, 10.1
CR rate, n (%) [95% Cl]	43 (24.0) [18.0, 31.0]	Best response, n (%)	
Time to CR, median (range) months	2.8 (0.9, 8.3)	CR	43 (24.0)
Duration of CR median [95% CI] months	10 1 [6 5 22 2]	CRi or CRp	21 (11.7)
Delation of erk, median [56 % of] months	10.1[0.0, 22.2]	MLFS	11 (6.1)
CRh rate, n (%)	14 (7.8)	SD	68 (38.0)
Duration of CPh. modian [05% CI]	36[1055]	PD	15 (8.4)
months	5.0 [1.0, 5.5]	NA	21 (11.7)

CRh = 9 patients with investigator-assessed responses of CRi/CRp and 5 with MLFS

Among the 179 patients with R/R AML, 5 from dose escalation and 1 from dose expansion were not positive for mIDH1 by the companion diagnostic test and none of these 6 patients achieved a CR or CRh CR+CRh was consistent across baseline age groups, including patients who were > 65 years of age Overall response rate includes CR, CRi/CRp, MLFS and PR

Data cutoff: 10Nov2017. PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response

11

*On May 2, 2019, the FDA approved Ivosidenib for newly diagnosed AML with an IDH1 mutation that are 75 or older or unfit for induction.

Based on NCT02074839 arm two.

CR+CRh rate of 42.9% (95% CI: 24.5-62.8)

Transfusion-independence rate of 41.2% (among transfusion-dependent patients).

Venetoclax and AML





- BCL-2 is highly expressed in AML and is associated with poor outcomes
- Ven is an oral BCL-2 inhibitor with activity in AML

Venetoclax plus HMA is Highly Active Overall and Across AML Subtypes

CRs in 1-2 cycles CR/CRi and MRD Negative:

48% AZA 39% DEC 10⁻³ at any time

> Pollyea et al, Blood 2018, Abstract #285. DiNardo et al, Lancet Oncology 2018. DiNardo et al, Blood 2019.

Venetoclax for AML – OS

Median Survival Follow-up Venetoclax + azacitidine 14.9 months (range 0.4–42.0) Venetoclax + decitabine 16.2 months (range 0.7–42.7)

- Phase 3 study ongoing
- Follow-up studies evaluating triplets, using the regimen earlier, etc.

Venetoclax plus LDAC for Elderly AML

- 65+yo untreated AML patients
- Venetoclax 600mg plus LDAC
- 61 subjects, median age 74 (66-87)
- Deaths <30 days 3%
- CR+CRi **54**%, most CR/CRi in C1-2
- Median OS NR, estimated 1yr OS ~65%
- Most common G3/4 AE >10%: febrile neutropenia, hypokalemia, hypophosphatemia, HTN

Glasdegib plus LDAC for Elderly AML

- Oral HH pathway (Smoothened) inhibitor with anti-LSC activity
- 75 or older or unfit for induction
- Glasdegib 100mg daily plus LDAC
 20mg SQ BID for 10d every 28 days
- Randomization of 88 pts to glasdegib/LDAC and 44 pts to LDAC
- CR rate was 17% for glasdegib/LDAC vs 2.3% in LDAC (p<0.05)
- G3+ AE in more than 10% included anemia, febrile neutropenia, fatigue, thrombocytopenia and pneumonia.

How I Treat AML in 2019: Precision and Imprecision Medicine

Effects of Time To Treatment on AML Outcomes

Effect of Time to Treatment (TTT) on Overall Survival among Hospitalized Acute Myeloid Leukemia Patients

	Overall Survival		
	HR	95% CI	
Patients age <60			
TTT 1-5 days	REF	-	
TTT 6-7 days	1.10	(0.94, 1.29)	
TTT 8-10 days	1.24	(1.04, 1.49)	
TTT > 10 days	1.26	(1.11, 1.42)	
Patients age ≥60			
TTT 1-5 days	REF	-	
TTT 6-7 days	1.10	(0.96, 1.26)	
TTT 8-10 days	1.06	(0.92, 1.23)	
TTT > 10 days	1.16	(1.06, 1.28)	

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) adjusted for time to treatment, age, sex, race/ethnicity, year of diagnosis, number of comorbidities, marital status, neighborhood socioeconomic status, health insurance type, treatment at National Cancer Institute designated (NCI) vs non-NCI designated facility, and receipt of leukapheresis.

- There is sufficient time in most patients to gather baseline data to recommend optimal therapy.
- BEAT AML trial
 - Treatment
 assignment within 7
 days in 95.2% of
 patients (200/210)
 - Showed feasibility of assigning treatment prospectively based on mutation profiling in older patients

How I Use Diagnostic Testing for AML in 2019

How I Treat AML Fit for Induction in 2019 – Precision Medicine

Venetoclax plus HMA – An Argument for Imprecision Medicine in AML

Regimen							
	Venetoclax 400 mg plus HMA (Overall)	Glasdegib plus LDAC	GO Monotherapy	7+3 plus GO	CPX-351	Venetoclax 400 mg plus HMA (Secondary AML, N=30)	Venetoclax 400 mg plus HMA (TP53 mutated, N=27)
Response Rate	71.8% CR/CRi	26.9% CR/CRi/MLFS	27% CR/CRh	81% CR/CRi	47.7% CR/CRi	63% CR/CRi	70% CR/CRi
Median Overall Survival (months)	17.5	8.8	4.9	34	9.56	Not available	Not available
Citation	Pollyea et al, ASH 2018; Dinardo et al, Blood 2019	Cortes et al, Leukemia 2019	Amadori et al, JCO 2016	Castaigne et al, Lancet 2012	Lancet et al, JCO 2018	Pollyea et al, ASH 2018; Dinardo et al, Blood 2019	Pollyea et al, ASH 2018; Dinardo et al, Blood 2019

= ?

Venetoclax plus HMA – Further Support for Imprecision Medicine in AML

	lse	FLT3				
	Enasidenib (N=39)	Enasidenib -/+ Azacitidine (N=23)	Ivosidenib (N=33)	Venetoclax 400 mg plus HMA (N=25)	Sorafenib plus Azacitidine (N=6)	Venetoclax 400 mg plus HMA (N=14)
Response Rate	21% CR/CRi	43% CR/CRi	42% CR/CRh	92% CR/CRi	50% CR/CRi	64% CR/CRi
Median Overall Survival (months)	11.3	Not available	12.6	Not available (17.5 months for the entire study population)	Not available	Not available (17.5 months for the entire study population)
Citation	Pollyea et al, Leukemia 2019	Stein et al, ASH 2018	Roboz et al, ASCO 2019	Pollyea et al, ASH 2018; Dinardo et al, Blood 2019	Ravandi et al, Blood 2013	Pollyea et al, ASH 2018; Dinardo et al, Blood 2019

First-Line Treatment of Unfit AML in 2019

How I Treat AML Unfit for Induction in 2019 – Imprecision Medicine

Summary and Conclusions

Summary and Conclusions

- Exciting time for new FDA therapy approvals for AML
 - 8 new drugs approved since 4/2017 including 6 for first-line
- Standards of care for AML are rapidly evolving
- Additional challenges are arising, such as need for rapid assessment of select mutation and FISH markers to allow for optimal initial therapy
- Clinical trial designs need to evolve as trials continue to advance new treatments
- Apologies to those interested in updates on other AML treatment subjects, including MRD, post-remission therapy, maintenance and treatment of R/R AML, due to time constraints

Questions?

